Urbaria Summaries Series 2020/6 ISSN 2670-2363



JOURNEY PLANNERS CAN PROMOTE ACTIVE, HEALTHY AND SUSTAINABLE URBAN TRAVEL

Age Poom, Joose Helle & Tuuli Toivonen



Urbaria Research Report 2020/6

Journey planners can promote active, healthy and sustainable urban travel

Age Poom Postdoctoral researcher University of Helsinki **Joose Helle** MSc graduate University of Helsinki

Tuuli Toivonen Professor University of Helsinki



Summary

- Environmental exposure during commuting affects daily travel experience, health and wellbeing.
- People experience their surrounding environment through sounds, smells and scenery.
- Pedestrians and cyclist can reduce their exposure to pollution and improve travel experience with the help of dedicated journey planners.
- Green Paths routing tool promotes sustainable urban commuting by helping people to find
- pleasant routes while on bike or on foot.
- It is a proof-of-concept type open source development that makes suggestions on low-pollution active travel routes in Helsinki capital region.
- Land use and transport planning can benefit from the tool as it allows evaluating if access to healthy and pleasant urban travel is equally available in space.

Travel environment and environmental exposure

People usually make several trips and experience different travel environments every day. During commuting, people **sense surrounding environment with all their senses**: seeing the views, hearing the sounds or smelling the air. Some of the interaction is rather passive, routine and unconscious, and not even actively registered. However, passing through **heavily polluted areas** may cause disturbances and lead to severe physical and mental health problems such as respiratory or cardiovascular diseases, increased stress levels, insomnia or in worse cases even premature death [1, 2]. In fact, air and noise pollution are the top environmental threats to health in Europe [3].

On the other hand, commuting does not need to be considered as a burden. **Pleasant and calm commuting environments** may trigger travel satisfaction and bring health benefits such as increased cognitive performance and recovery from stress [4, 5]. This is particularly evident in case of urban greenery that forms the main restorative environment in urban areas [6, 7]. Urban greenery also helps to reduce harm from traffic pollution and excess heat, functioning thus as a substitute for nature.



Sensing the environment

Travel time environmental exposure is formed out of the numerous environmental encounters such as smells, sounds, views or temperatures that people experience while travelling. Harmful exposure from pollution may cause severe health problems or in worst cases even premature death. Exposure to urban greenery, on the other hand, can bring several health and wellbeing benefits. The intensity of environmental exposure and its effects depend on travel mode. **Pedestrians and cyclists** are directly exposed to the ambient environment. The increased inhalation due to physical activity makes active travellers more vulnerable to pollution when compared to people travelling by public transit or personal vehicles [8]. At the same time, **physical activity** is associated with several health benefits in comparison to sedentary (travel) behaviour [9]. Despite these opposite effects and complex relations between environmental conditions and physical activity, **the benefits of active travel tend to overweigh the adverse effects caused by traffic pollution** [10, 11], particularly when healthy environments are available for travellers.

Environmentally sensitive journey planners

In order to improve the benefits of active travel, pedestrians and cyclists may have the possibility to choose more pleasant commuting routes in comparison to the faster and often heavily polluted main streets. This is where journey planners that take into consideration the quality of travel environment can be of help.

Journey planners are routing tools that assist people in finding **optimal routes from their origin to destination** based on spatial data and routing. Traditionally, these tools consider either distance or travel time when making route suggestions. The approach was developed already in 1950s when Edsger W. Dijkstra developed his well-known shortest paths algorithm [12].

Recently, several research groups have started to develop methods and tools for environmentally sensitive journey planning by combining **environmental information** to the equation. Namely, comparative research has demonstrated that the shortest routes are not necessarily the most beneficial for human health [13, 14].

If equipped with environmental data, journey planners enable to **prioritize healthier** and **more pleasant commuting routes**, help to achieve **more satisfying travel experience**, and thus support **active and climate-responsible travel choices**.

Novel examples of exposure-optimised routing methods and tools consider one or even several environmental variables in route optimisation. The core ambient environmental characteristics are:

- air quality [15, 16, 17, 18],
- noise levels [17, 19, 20],
- heat exposure [19, 21] and
- greenery [22].

Some other approaches consider also:

- **aesthetic, cultural and social qualities** such as the presence of landmarks [23, 24] or socio-semantic places [22, 25],
- traffic safety aspects such as street width or light conditions [23], or
- the prevention of greenhouse gas emissions [13, 26].

Several studies have shown that environmentally optimised routing that is distant from motorized traffic results in bigger drop in pollution exposure than the accompanied increase in travel time [13, 14, 26, 27]. Thus, route choices that help to avoid pollution or aim to support wellbeing are often accessible at a low additional time cost to pedestrians and cyclists.

Green Paths routing tool

Inspired by the idea that pleasant routes improve travel experience by allowing positive interaction with the urban environment, we at the Digital Geography Lab, University of Helsinki, have developed a **Green Paths routing tool**. This proof-of-concept routing tool allows pedestrians and cyclists to choose routes with less air and noise pollution in Helsinki capital region. By suggesting **exposure-optimised alterna-tives for active travelling**,

Green Paths routing tool also **promotes sustainable urban transport**: people are more likely to choose an active travel mode if the experience is positive. Furthermore, it highlights the need to consider health and wellbeing aspects in spatial and transport planning as these create the underlying opportunity structures for population to experience healthy routes.

Green Paths tool deploys open source environmental information. As a novel aspect, the tool applies real-time air quality information that is produced by the Finnish Meteorological Institute. We apply **Air Quality Index** in particular, which is an hourly composite data product of the ENFUSER modelling system [29]. For noise data, we use average **modelled noise levels** from road, rail and air traffic (Figure 2). The data is provided by the Finnish Environmental Institute and local municipalities, and is modelled in accordance with the EU Environmental Noise Directive in 2017 [30, 31].

For the underlying street and path network, we fetch data from OpenStreetMap, which is a collaborative open source geodata project [32]. We use separate networks for walking and cycling in Helsinki capital region. For now, the tool is developed as a proof of concept with advancing features and functionalities in time. It should be considered as a demonstration of environmentally sensitive routing based on spatially explicit and advancing open access data sets, and it is not guaranteed to work smoothly at all times. **Figure 1.** The user interface of the Green Paths routing tool showing noise exposure for cycling routes between the central and Kumpula campus of the





55–60 60–65 65–70 70–75 75–80 As an end-user application, Green Paths routing tool is publicly available via a **mobile-friendly website** https://greenpaths.web.app/, both in Finnish and English (Figure 1). It is an **open code development** [28] to ensure transparency and further development steps. By providing the open code, we aim to encourage other developers to consider environmental cost factors in their active travel oriented applications.

Figure 2. An example of the underlying traffic noise data set of the Green Paths routing tool [27].

Environmental exposure as a matter of environmental justice

Green Paths routing tool is a continuation to the master's thesis by Joose Helle [27] on developing a web application for quiet paths, and analysing **areal level variation in noise exposure of public transit commuting**. The latter serves as one of the first attempts to understand environmental equity structures behind daily commuting in Helsinki. The analysis shows that pedestrians' exposure to noise pollution on their way to local public transit stop has strong spatial variation (Figure 3), and that intensive noise exposure can be significantly reduced by using alternative, somewhat longer routes.

Environmental exposure is a matter of environmental justice. Research from many cities has documented that low-income or minority population groups are often disproportionately exposed to harmful living environments [33, 34]. However, much less scholarly attention has been paid to the **equal access of healthy commuting** environment for different socio-spatial population groups. The topic needs more attention considering how much people get exposed to the environment while being outdoors, and particularly during active travel. Ensuring equal access to health-benefitting environments is important when promoting active travel modes in urban transport [35]. It is also a global goal of sustainability [36].

Next, we aim to understand how the residents in Helsinki metropolitan region are exposed to both pollution as well as health-benefitting urban greenery during their commuting trips, and how equally accessible pleasant travel routes are for different socio-spatial population groups.



Figure 3. Mean traffic noise level (dB(A)) on walks from homes to the closest public transit stop [27]. The averages are weighted with the estimated utilization rates of the walks based on the total flow of commutes using each origin – public transit stop pair.

References

[1] Khreis, H., Warsow, K.M., Verlinghieri, E., Guzman, A., Pellecuer, L., Ferreira, A., Jones, I., Heinen, E., Rojas-Rueda, D., Mueller, N., Schepers, P., Lucas, K., Nieuwenhuijsen, M., 2016. The health impacts of traffic-related exposures in urban areas: Understanding real effects, underlying driving forces and co-producing future directions. J. Transp. Heal. 3, 249-267.

[2] Ye, R., Titheridge, H., 2017. Satisfaction with the commute: The role of travel mode choice, built environment and attitudes. Transp. Res. Part D Transp. Environ. 52, 535–547.

[3] EEA, 2020. Healthy environment, healthy lives: how the environment influences health and well-being in Europe, EEA Report No 21/2019. European Environment Agency, Luxembourg.

[4] Markevych, I., Schoierer, J., Hartig, T., Chudnovsky, A., Hystad, P., Dzhambov, A.M., de Vries, S., Triguero-Mas, M., Brauer, M., Nieuwenhuijsen, M.J., Lupp, G., Richardson, E.A., Astell-Burt, T., Dimitrova, D., Feng, X., Sadeh, M., Standl, M., Heinrich, J., Fuertes, E., 2017. Exploring pathways linking greenspace to health: Theoretical and methodological guidance. Environ. Res. 158, 301–317.

[5] WHO, 2016. Urban Green Spaces and Health: A review of evidence. Copenhagen: WHO Regional Office for Europe.

[6] Berto, R., 2014. The role of nature in coping with psycho-physiological stress: A literature review on restorativeness. Behav. Sci. 4, 394-409.

[7] Ojala, A., Korpela, K., Tyrväinen, L., Tiittanen, P., Lanki, T., 2019. Restorative effects of urban green environments and the role of urban-nature orientedness and noise sensitivity: A field experiment. Heal. Place 55, 59–70.

[8] Zuurbier, M., Hoek, G., Oldenwening, M., Lenters, V., Meliefste, K., van den Hazel, P., Brunekreef, B., 2010. Commuters' exposure to particulate matter air pollution is affected by mode of transport, fuel type, and route. Environ. Health Perspect. 118, 783–789.

[9] Rojas-Rueda, D., De Nazelle, A., Andersen, Z.J., Braun-Fahrländer, C., Bruha, J., Bruhova-Foltynova, H., Desqueyroux, H., Praznoczy, C., Ragettli, M.S., Tainio, M., Nieuwenhuijsen, M.J., 2016. Health impacts of active transportation in Europe. PLoS One 11.

[10] de Nazelle, A., Nieuwenhuijsen, M.J., Anto, J.M., Brauer, M., Briggs, D., Braun-Fahrlander, C., Cavill, N., Cooper, A.R., Desqueyroux, H., Fruin, S., Hoek, G., Panis, L.I., Janssen, N., Jerrett, M., Joffe, M., Andersen, Z.J., van Kempen, E., Kingham, S., Kubesch, N., Leyden, K.M., Marshall, J.D., Matamala, J., Mellios, G., Mendez, M., Nassif, H., Ogilvie, D., Peiro, R., Perez, K., Rabl, A., Ragettli, M., Rodriguez, D., Rojas, D., Ruiz, P., Sallis, J.F., Terwoert, J., Toussaint, J.-F., Tuomisto, J., Zuurbier, M., Lebret, E., 2011. Improving health through policies that promote active travel: A review of evidence to support integrated health impact assessment. Environ. Int. 37, 766–777.

[11] Wolkinger, B., Haas, W., Bachner, G., Weisz, U., Steininger, K., Hutter, H.-P., Delcour, J., Griebler, R., Mittelbach, B., Maier, P., Reifeltshammer, R., 2018. Evaluating Health Co-Benefits of Climate Change Mitigation in Urban Mobility. Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health 15, 880.

[12] Dijkstra, E.W., 1959. A Note on Two Problems in Connexion with Graphs. Numer. Math. 1, 269–271.

[13] Alam, M.S., Perugu, H., McNabola, A., 2018. A comparison of route-choice navigation across air pollution exposure, CO2 emission and traditional travel cost factors. Transp. Res. Part D Transp. Environ. 65, 82–100.

[14] Mölter, A., Lindley, S., 2015. Influence of walking route choice on primary school children's exposure to air pollution - A proof of concept study using simulation. Sci. Total Environ. 530–531, 257–262.

[15] Davies, G., Whyatt, J.D., 2014. A network-based approach for estimating pedestrian journey-time exposure to air pollution. Sci. Total Environ. 485, 62–70.

[16] Müller, S., Voisard, A., 2015. Air Quality Adjusted Routing for Cyclists and Pedestrians. In: EM-GIS '15: Proceedings of the 1st ACM SIGSPATIAL International Workshop on the Use of GIS in Emergency Management, Bellevue, Nov 2015, pp. 1-6.

[17] Ribeiro, P., Mendes, J.F.G., 2011. Route planning for soft modes of transport: Healthy routes. In: Pratelli, Brebbia (eds.) Urban Transport XVII. WIT Transactions on the Built Environment, 116, pp. 677–688.

[18] Van den Hove, A., Verwaeren, J., Van den Bossche, J., Theunis, J., De Baets, B., 2019. Development of a land use regression model for black carbon using mobile monitoring data and its application to pollution-avoiding routing. Environ. Res. 183, 108619.

[19] Ueberham, M., Schlink, U., Dijst, M., Weiland, U., 2019. Cyclists' Multiple Environmental Urban Exposures-Comparing Subjective and Objective Measurements. Sustainability 11, 1412.

[20] Wang, Z., Novack, T., Yan, Y., Zipf, A., 2020. Quiet Route Planning for Pedestrians in Traffic Noise Polluted Environments. IEEE Trans. Intell. Transp. Syst. 1–12.

[21] Rußig, J., Bruns, J., 2017. Reducing Individual Heat Stress through Path Planning. Gl_Forum 1, 327–340.

[22] Novack, T., Wang, Z., Zipf, A., 2018. A system for generating customized pleasant pedestrian routes based on openstreetmap data. Sensors 18, 3794.

[23] Bao, S., Nitta, T., Ishikawa, K., Yanagisawa, M., Togawa, N., 2016. A safe and comprehensive route finding method for pedestrian based on lighting and landmark. In: 2016 IEEE 5th Global Conference on Consumer Electronics, Kyoto, Oct 1-14, 2016.

[24] Rousell, A., Zipf, A., 2017. Towards a landmark-based pedestrian navigation service using OSM data. ISPRS Int. J. Geo-Information 6.

[25] Shen, Z., Hu, F., Kawakami, M., 2011. Personalized route planning based on the semantic network: A case study of Kanazawa City, Japan, in: Proceedings - 2011 19th International Conference on Geoinformatics, Geoinformatics 2011.

[26] Cole-Hunter, T., Jayaratne, R., Stewart, I., Hadaway, M., Morawska, L., Solomon, C., 2013. Utility of an alternative bicycle commute route of lower proximity to motorised traffic in decreasing exposure to ultra-fine particles, respiratory symptoms and airway inflammation--a structured exposure experiment. Environ. Health 12, 29.

[26] Gajanand, M.S., Narendran, T.T., 2013. Green route planning to reduce the environmental impact of distribution. Int. J. Logist. Res. Appl. 16, 410-432.

[27] Helle, J., 2020. Quiet paths for people: Developing routing analysis and web GIS application. MSc thesis, University of Helsinki. Supervisors: Toivonen, T., Poom, A. http://urn.fi/URN:NBN:fi:hulib-202005202237

[28] DGL, 2020. Green paths server. Digital Geography Lab's Github repository. https://github.com/DigitalGeographyLab/

[29] Finnish Meteorological Institute, 2020. FMI-ENFUSER Modelling System. https://en.ilmatieteenlaitos.fi/environmental-information-fusion-service

[30] Helsinki Region Infoshare, 2017. Helsingin kaupungin meluselvitys 2017, CC BY 4.0. https://hri.fi/data/fi/dataset/helsingin-kaupungin-meluselvitys-2017

[31] SYKE, 2019. Environmental Noise Maps 2017, CC BY 4.0. https://www.syke.fi/en-US/Open_information/Spatial_datasets/Downloadable_spatial_dataset#E

[32] OSM, 2020. OpenStreetMap, CC BY-SA 2.0. https://www.openstreetmap.org/about/

[33] Hajat, A., Hsia, C., O'Neill, M.S., 2015. Socioeconomic Disparities and Air Pollution Exposure: a Global Review. Curr. Environ. Heal. Reports, 2, 440–450.

[34] Schaeffer, Y., Tivadar, M., 2019. Measuring Environmental Inequalities: Insights from the Residential Segregation Literature. Ecol. Econ. 164, 106329.



TOIMITUS / EDIT

liris Koivulehto Kaupunkitutkimusinstituutti Urbaria

OTA YHTEYTTÄ / CONTACT

urbaria@helsinki.fi 0294150056 / 0504719810

PL 4 (Yliopistonkatu 3) 00014 Helsingin Yliopisto

SEURAA / FOLLOW US

@urbariahelsinki www.helsinki.fi/urbaria