Federal Department of Economic Affairs FDEA Agroscope Reckenholz-Tänikon Research Station ART

## Free farrowing systems

## **Roland Weber**



#### Contents

- Systems for free farrowing
- Basic designs for free farrowing
- Different Systems
- Details for free farrowing systems
- Pen size
- Substrate (nest building)
- Piglet protection facilities
- Temperature
- Connected factors: structure, air inlet and floor on the dunging area
- Other important factors (not dependent from farrowing system)
- What farmers, advisers and manufacturers also recommend
- Management (personality of stockmen)
- Dead-end-systems

Free farrowing systems Roland Weber | © Agroscope Reckenholz-Tänikon Research Station ART

Basic designs for free farrowing

- Crates that can be opened
- with minimum control of th sow
- with no control of the sow
- 5.5 6 m<sup>2</sup>
- No possibility of confinement
- no separation of lying / dunging area
  > 6 m<sup>2</sup>
- with separation of lying / dunging area
  7.0 7.5 m<sup>2</sup>





# Crates that can be opened / minimal control of the sow





Crates that can be opened / no control of the sow





5

7

Free farrowing systems Roland Weber | C Agroscope Reckenholz-Tänikon Research Station ART



Free farrowing systems Roland Weber | C Agroscope Reckenholz-Tänikon Research Station ART

O





Free farrowing systems Roland Weber | @ Agroscope Reckenholz-Tänikon Research Station ART

## O



# MOSER Abferkelbuchten

«Label» 7.0 m²

8

6

## Rostanteil (Label) max. 30 % der Buc italiitboder i0 / 40 cm min. 2.00 - max. 3.00 min. 2.00 - max. 3.00

Free farrowing systems Roland Weber | © Agroscope Reckenholz-Tänikon Research Station ART

Theoretical possibility of confinement









11

Free farrowing systems Roland Weber | © Agroscope Reckenholz-Tänikon Research Station ART

#### 



Werribee (AUS)



Nürtingen (D)

Pens with no possibility of confinement and separation between lying and dunging area U



Free farrowing systems Roland Weber | C Agroscope Reckenholz-Tänikon Research Station ART

O





Free farrowing systems Roland Weber | © Agroscope Reckenholz-Tänikon Research Station ART

U

13

15



Ein System ohne Tierschutzproblem

Comfort-Box Die Abferkelboxe ohne Fixierung

Systembeschreibung

Entmistung oder Umspülung
 Boxenfläche ca. 7.6 m2
 Ferkeinest mit Streifenvorhang
 Diverse Heizungsmöglichkeiten
 Komplett aus Chrom-Nickel-Stahl
 Einfache Montage



, ca.2.20 , Rost Liegen

Free farrowing systems Roland Weber | C Agroscope Reckenholz-Tänikon Research Station ART





HeKu (D)



Free farrowing systems Roland Weber |  $\ensuremath{\mathbb{G}}$  Agroscope Reckenholz-Tänikon Research Station ART



Self-construction by a farmer (CH)



Free farrowing systems Roland Weber | © Agroscope Reckenholz-Tänikon Research Station ART

O







HAKA-Ethobox (D)





Free farrowing systems Roland Weber | © Agroscope Reckenholz-Tänikon Research Station ART



ep feeding boal sold flor main drinker on wall in mucking passage (sow and piglet comi CONFIDENTIAL Newcastle University Prototype for famowing pen convention with limited space. Durging area would ideally be wider to allow turning of sow and folding back of short wall Please note this is currently untested and will be subject to modification Illustrations: S.A. Edwards

Free farrowing systems Roland Weber | © Agroscope Reckenholz-Tänikon Research Station ART





sding



Free farrowing systems Roland Weber | © Agroscope Reckenholz-Tänikon Research Station ART

19

17

U

20

#### **Creep-area inside the pen**



#### **Group-suckling**



Free farrowing systems Roland Weber | © Agroscope Reckenholz-Tänikon Research Station ART

V

#### Details for free farrowing

See also:

Baxter, E.M., Lawrence, A.B., Edwards, S.A., 2011. Alternative farrowing systems: design criteria for farrowing systems based on the biological needs of sows and piglets. Animal 5, 580-600.

Free farrowing systems Roland Weber | @ Agroscope Reckenholz-Tänikon Research Station ART

23

#### C Pen size

|                    |                                     | Pen size   | Losses                |          |
|--------------------|-------------------------------------|------------|-----------------------|----------|
|                    |                                     | (m²)       | total                 | crushed  |
|                    | Blackshaw et al. (1994)             | 3.9        | 77                    | 77       |
|                    | Mardarowicz (2000)                  | 4.4        | →                     | no info  |
| ≤ 5 m²             | Haus Düsse (1995-96)                | 4.6<br>4.4 | N N                   | ת<br>ת   |
|                    | Kamphues (2004)                     | 5.0        | 7                     | 7        |
| > 5 m <sup>2</sup> | Stabenow (2001)                     | 6.0        | ÷                     | <b>→</b> |
|                    | Fritsche and Kempkens (1999)        | 6.5        | Ы                     | no info  |
|                    | Arkenau et al. (1999)               | 7.0        | +                     | 7        |
|                    | Hessel et al. (2000)                | 7.0        | <b>→</b>              | 7        |
|                    | Schmid and Weber (1992)             | 7.0        | <b>→</b>              | 7        |
|                    | Weber and Schick (1996)             | 7.3<br>7.0 | **                    | ת<br>ת   |
|                    | Cronin et al. (2000)                | 7.2        | +                     | no info  |
|                    | Anonymous (1999)                    | 7.6<br>7.8 | ע<br>(ע) <del>ל</del> | no info  |
|                    | Hofstetter (1998)                   | 5.3 - 8.1  | → - 7                 | 7        |
|                    | Steiner (2001)                      | >6.5       | R                     | 7        |
|                    | Weber et al. (2007) 482 / 173 farms | 5.1 - 12.2 | <b>→</b>              | 7        |

Baxter, E.M., Lawrence, A.B., Edwards, S.A., 2011. Alternative farrowing systems: design criteria for farrowing systems based on the biological needs of sows and piglets.

Sow needs to be able to:

- circle around during nest building
- lie latterally during parturition and suckling
- turn around to contact the piglets during parturition and group the piglets before lying down



25

27

→ 2.79 m<sup>2</sup> / sow and 1.21- 1.31 m for udder access

O

Free farrowing systems Roland Weber | C Agroscope Reckenholz-Tänikon Research Station ART

**Piglet protection facilities** C

- "It is said that" piglet protection facilities prevent crushings
- Solid sloped or vertical walls are prefered over farrowing rails

On the other hand:

Most piglets are crushed in the middle of the pen and not at a wall





Free farrowing systems Roland Weber | @ Agroscope Reckenholz-Tänikon Research Station ART

#### Substrate (nest building) 0

• Substrate allows nest building  $\rightarrow$  motivation can be satisfied  $\rightarrow$ several authors proposed that high nest building activity reduces risk of crushing

(farmers and advisers in CH say that sows with no possibility of nest building are more "nervous" during parturition and afterwards)

- Nest building material must allow:
- pawing
- rooting
- carrying



Free farrowing systems Roland Weber | C Agroscope Reckenholz-Tänikon Research Station ART

O

Weber, R., Keil, N.M., Fehr, M., Horat, R., 2009. Factors affecting piglet mortality in loose farrowing systems on commercial farms. Livestock Science 124, 216-222.



Free farrowing systems Roland Weber | @ Agroscope Reckenholz-Tänikon Research Station ART

28

Farrowing rails no

yes

 $7.1 \pm 0.5$ 

#### 😲 Temperature

• Temperature not to warm even in wintertime (16 °C is better than 25 °C)  $\rightarrow$  enough litter is required





Free farrowing systems Roland Weber | © Agroscope Reckenholz-Tänikon Research Station ART

## Other important factors (not dependent from farrowing system)

- Litter size at birth
- Increased losses in large litters



- Birthweight
- Underweight piglets have a higher risc to be crushed or die later as runts

## Connected factors: structure, air inlet and floor on the dunging area

- Pen should be structured  $\rightarrow$  sow can distinguish between lying and dunging area
- Air inlet should be over the dunging area  $\rightarrow$  no air draught in the lying area
- Cast iron floors in the dunging area instead of concrete slatted floors → dunging area should not be to comfortable to lie on
- $\rightarrow$  More cleanliness of the pen



## What farmers, advisers and manufacturers also recommend

- Creep area at the service corridor → piglets could be enclosed in the creep area and handeled from the service corridor and not from the pen
- When ever possible, let the sow alone during parturition and short afterwards → sows get "nervous" when people are permanently around them during parturition → naturally they want to be alone
- Drinking facilities in the dunging area

Free farrowing systems Roland Weber | @ Agroscope Reckenholz-Tänikon Research Station ART

Free farrowing systems Roland Weber |  $\ensuremath{\mathbb{G}}$  Agroscope Reckenholz-Tänikon Research Station ART

31

#### **O** Management (personality of the stockperson)

Ravel et al. (1996): Influence of management, housing and personality of the stockperson on preweaning performances on independent and integrated swine farms in Quebec.  $\rightarrow$  Research on farms with crates

#### Indepentent farms:

- High performance: stockpersons high self-discipline
- Poor performances: stockpersons exaggeratedly self-assured and sensitive
- Integrated farms:
- High performance: stockpersons high self-discipline, warmth and emotional stable

33

- Poor performances: stockpersons rather bold, suspecting and tense
- → If personality is important for farrowing crates then it is even much more important for free farrowing

Free farrowing systems Roland Weber | © Agroscope Reckenholz-Tänikon Research Station ART

