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The evaluation of research and doctoral training is being carried out in the years 2010–2012 and will end in 2012. The steering group appointed by the Rector in January 2010 set the conditions for participating in the evaluation and prepared the Terms of Reference to present the evaluation procedure and criteria. The publications and other scientific activities included in the evaluation covered the years 2005–2010.

The participating unit in the evaluation was defined as a Researcher Community (RC). To obtain a critical mass with university-level impact, the number of members was set to range from 20 to 120. The RCs were required to contain researchers in all stages of their research career, from doctoral students to principal investigators (PIs). All in all, 136 Researcher Communities participated in this voluntary evaluation, 5857 persons in total, of whom 1131 were principal investigators. PIs were allowed to participate in two communities in certain cases, and 72 of them used this opportunity and participated in two RCs.

This evaluation enabled researchers to define RCs from the “bottom up” and across disciplines. The aim of the evaluation was not to assess individual performance but a community with shared aims and researcher-training activities. The RCs were able to choose among five different categories that characterised the status and main aims of their research. The steering group considered the process of applying to participate in the evaluation to be important, which lead to the establishment of these categories. In addition, providing a service for the RCs to enable them to benchmark their research at the global level was a main goal of the evaluation.

The data for the evaluation consisted of the RCs’ answers to evaluation questions on supplied e-forms and a compilation extracted from the TUHAT – Research Information System (RIS) on 12 April 2011. The compilation covered scientific and other publications as well as certain areas of scientific activities. During the process, the RCs were asked to check the list of publications and other scientific activities and make corrections if needed. These TUHAT compilations are public and available on the evaluation project sites of each RC in the TUHAT-RIS.

In addition to the e-form and TUHAT compilation, University of Leiden (CWTS) carried out bibliometric analyses from the articles included in the Web of Science (WoS). This was done on University and RC levels. In cases where the publication forums of the RC were clearly not represented by the WoS data, the Library of the University of Helsinki conducted a separate analysis of the publications. This was done for 66 RCs representing the humanities and social sciences.

The evaluation office also carried out an enquiry targeted to the supervisors and PhD candidates about the organisation of doctoral studies at the University of Helsinki. This and other documents describing the University and the Finnish higher education system were provided to the panellists.

The panel feedback for each RC is unique and presented as an entity. The first collective evaluation reports available for the whole panel were prepared in July–August 2011. The reports were accessible to all panel members via the electronic evaluation platform in August. Scoring from 1 to 5 was used to complement written feedback in association with evaluation questions 1–4 (scientific focus and quality, doctoral training, societal impact, cooperation) and in addition to the category evaluating the fitness for participation in the evaluation. Panellists used the international level as a point of comparison in the evaluation. Scoring was not expected to go along with a preset deviation.

Each of the draft reports were discussed and dealt with by the panel in meetings in Helsinki (from 11 September to 13 September or from 18 September to 20 September 2011). In these meetings the panels also examined the deviations among the scores and finalised the draft reports together.

The current RC-specific report deals shortly with the background of the evaluation and the terms of participation. The main evaluation feedback is provided in the evaluation report, organised according to the evaluation questions. The original material provided by the RCs for the panellists has been attached to these documents.
On behalf of the evaluation steering group and office, I sincerely wish to thank you warmly for your participation in this evaluation. The effort you made in submitting the data to TUHAT-RIS is gratefully acknowledged by the University. We wish that you find this panel feedback useful in many ways. The bibliometric profiles may open a new view on your publication forums and provide a perspective for discussion on your choice of forums. We especially hope that this evaluation report will help you in setting the future goals of your research.

Johanna Björkroth
Vice-Rector
Chair of the Steering Group of the Evaluation
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1 Introduction to the Evaluation

1.1 RC-specific evaluation reports

The participants in the evaluation of research and doctoral training were Researcher Communities (hereafter referred to as the RC). The RC refers to the group of researchers who registered together in the evaluation of their research and doctoral training. Preconditions in forming RCs were stated in the Guidelines for the Participating Researcher Communities. The RCs defined themselves whether their compositions should be considered well-established or new.

It is essential to emphasise that the evaluation combines both meta-evaluation\(^3\) and traditional research assessment exercise and its focus is both on the research outcomes and procedures associated with research and doctoral training. The approach to the evaluation is enhancement-led where self-evaluation constituted the main information. The answers to the evaluation questions formed together with the information of publications and other scientific activities an entity that was to be reviewed as a whole.

The present evaluation recognizes and justifies the diversity of research practices and publication traditions. Traditional Research Assessment Exercises do not necessarily value high quality research with low volumes or research distinct from mainstream research. It is challenging to expose the diversity of research to fair comparison. To understand the essence of different research practices and to do justice to their diversity was one of the main challenges of the present evaluation method. Understanding the divergent starting points of the RCs demanded sensitivity from the evaluators.

1.2 Aims and objectives in the evaluation

The aims of the evaluation are as follows:

- to improve the level of research and doctoral training at the University of Helsinki and to raise their international profile in accordance with the University’s strategic policies. The improvement of doctoral training should be compared to the University’s policy.\(^4\)
- to enhance the research conducted at the University by taking into account the diversity, originality, multidisciplinary nature, success and field-specificity,
- to recognize the conditions and prerequisites under which excellent, original and high-impact research is carried out,
- to offer the academic community the opportunity to receive topical and versatile international peer feedback,
- to better recognize the University’s research potential.
- to exploit the University’s TUHAT research information system to enable transparency of publishing activities and in the production of reliable, comparable data.

1.3 Evaluation method

The evaluation can be considered as an enhancement-led evaluation. Instead of ranking, the main aim is to provide useful information for the enhancement of research and doctoral training of the participating RCs. The comparison should take into account each field of science and acknowledge their special character.

---

\(^3\) The panellists did not read research reports or abstracts but instead, they evaluated answers to the evaluation questions, tables and compilations of publications, other scientific activities, bibliometrics or comparable analyses.

\(^4\) Policies on doctoral degrees and other postgraduate degrees at the University of Helsinki.
The comparison produced information about the present status and factors that have lead to success. Also challenges in the operations and outcomes were recognized.

The evaluation approach has been designed to recognize better the significance and specific nature of researcher communities and research areas in the multidisciplinary top-level university. Furthermore, one of the aims of the evaluation is to bring to light those evaluation aspects that differ from the prevalent ones. Thus the views of various fields of research can be described and research arising from various starting points understood better. The doctoral training is integrated into the evaluation as a natural component related to research. Operational processes of doctoral training are being examined in the evaluation.

**Five stages of the evaluation method were:**
1. Registration – Stage 1
2. Self-evaluation – Stage 2
3. TUHAT\(^5\) compilations on publications and other scientific activities\(^6\)
4. External evaluation
5. Public reporting

**1.4 Implementation of the external evaluation**

**Five Evaluation Panels**
Five evaluation panels consisted of independent, renowned and highly respected experts. The main domains of the panels are:
1. biological, agricultural and veterinary sciences
2. medicine, biomedicine and health sciences
3. natural sciences
4. humanities
5. social sciences

The University invited 10 renowned scientists to act as chairs or vice-chairs of the five panels based on the suggestions of faculties and independent institutes. Besides leading the work of the panel, an additional role of the chairs was to discuss with other panel chairs in order to adopt a broadly similar approach. The panel chairs and vice-chairs had a pre-meeting on 27 May 2011 in Amsterdam.

The panel compositions were nominated by the Rector of the University 27 April 2011. The participating RCs suggested the panel members. The total number of panel members was 50. The reason for a smaller number of panelists as compared to the previous evaluations was the character of the evaluation as a meta-evaluation. The panelists did not read research reports or abstracts but instead, they evaluated answers to the evaluation questions, tables and compilations of publications, other scientific activities, bibliometrics and comparable analyses.

The panel meetings were held in Helsinki:
- On 11–13 September 2011: (1) biological, agricultural and veterinary sciences, (2) medicine, biomedicine and health sciences and (3) natural sciences.
- On 18–20 September 2011: (4) humanities and (5) social sciences.

\(^5\) TUHAT (acronym) of Research Information System (RIS) of the University of Helsinki

\(^6\) Supervision of thesis, prizes and awards, editorial work and peer reviews, participation in committees, boards and networks and public appearances.
1.5 Evaluation material

The main material in the evaluation was the RCs’ self-evaluations that were qualitative in character and allowed the RCs to choose what was important to mention or emphasise and what was left unmentioned.

The present evaluation is exceptional at least in the Finnish context because it is based on both the evaluation documentation (self-evaluation questions, publications and other scientific activities) and the bibliometric reports. All documents were delivered to the panellists for examination.

Traditional bibliometrics can be reasonably done mainly in medicine, biosciences and natural sciences when using the Web of Science database, for example. Bibliometrics, provided by CWTS/The Centre for Science and Technology Studies, University of Leiden, cover only the publications that include WoS identification in the TUHAT-RIS.

Traditional bibliometrics are seldom relevant in humanities and social sciences because the international comparable databases do not store every type of high quality research publications, such as books and monographs and scientific journals in other languages than English. The Helsinki University Library has done analysis to the RCs, if their publications were not well represented in the Web of Science databases (RCs should have at least 50 publications and internal coverage of publications more than 40%) – it meant 58 RCs. The bibliometric material for the evaluation panels was available in June 2011. The RC-specific bibliometric reports are attached at the end of each report.

The panels were provided with the evaluation material and all other necessary background information, such as the basic information about the University of Helsinki and the Finnish higher education system.

Evaluation material
1. Registration documents of the RCs for the background information
2. Self evaluation material – answers to the evaluation questions
3. Publications and other scientific activities based on the TUHAT RIS:
   3.1. statistics of publications
   3.2. list of publications
   3.3. statistics of other scientific activities
   3.4. list of other scientific activities
4. Bibliometrics and comparable analyses:
   4.1. Analyses of publications based on the verification of TUHAT-RIS publications with the Web of Science publications (CWTS/University of Leiden)
   4.2. Publication statistics analysed by the Helsinki University Library - mainly for humanities and social sciences
5. University level survey on doctoral training (August 2011)
6. University level analysis on publications 2005–2010 (August 2011) provided by CWTS/University of Leiden

Background material

University of Helsinki
- Basic information about the University of the Helsinki
- The structure of doctoral training at the University of Helsinki
- Previous evaluations of research at the University of Helsinki – links to the reports: 1998 and 2005

The Finnish Universities/Research Institutes
- Finnish University system
- Evaluation of the Finnish National Innovation System
- The State and Quality of Scientific Research in Finland. Publication of the Academy of Finland 9/09.

The evaluation panels were provided also with other relevant material on request before the meetings in Helsinki.
1.6 Evaluation questions and material

The participating RCs answered the following evaluation questions which are presented according to the evaluation form. In addition, TUHAT RIS was used to provide the additional material as explained. For giving the feedback to the RCs, the panellists received the evaluation feedback form constructed in line with the evaluation questions:

1. Focus and quality of the RC’s research
   - Description of
     - the RC’s research focus.
     - the quality of the RC’s research (incl. key research questions and results)
     - the scientific significance of the RC’s research in the research field(s)
   - Identification of the ways to strengthen the focus and improve the quality of the RC’s research

   The additional material: TUHAT compilation of the RC’s publications, analysis of the RC’s publications data (provided by University of Leiden and the Helsinki University Library)
   A written feedback from the aspects of: scientific quality, scientific significance, societal impact, innovativeness
   - Strengths
   - Areas of development
   - Other remarks
   - Recommendations

   Numeric evaluation: OUTSTANDING (5), EXCELLENT (4), VERY GOOD (3), GOOD (2), SUFFICIENT (1)

2. Practises and quality of doctoral training
   - Organising of the doctoral training in the RC. Description of the RC’s principles for:
     - recruitment and selection of doctoral candidates
     - supervision of doctoral candidates
     - collaboration with faculties, departments/institutes, and potential graduate schools/doctoral programmes
     - good practises and quality assurance in doctoral training
   - Identification of the RC’s strengths and challenges related to the practises and quality of doctoral training, and the actions planned for their development.

   The additional material: TUHAT compilation of the RC’s other scientific activities/supervision of doctoral dissertations
   A written feedback from the aspects of: processes and good practices related to leadership and management
   - Strengths
   - Areas of development
   - Other remarks
   - Recommendations

   Numeric evaluation: OUTSTANDING (5), EXCELLENT (4), VERY GOOD (3), GOOD (2), SUFFICIENT (1)

3. The societal impact of research and doctoral training
   - Description on how the RC interacts with and contributes to the society (collaboration with public, private and/or 3rd sector).
   - Identification of the ways to strengthen the societal impact of the RC’s research and doctoral training.

   The additional material: TUHAT compilation of the RC’s other scientific activities.
   A written feedback from the aspects of: societal impact, national and international collaboration, innovativeness
   - Strengths
   - Areas of development
   - Other remarks
   - Recommendations

   Numeric evaluation: OUTSTANDING (5), EXCELLENT (4), VERY GOOD (3), GOOD (2), SUFFICIENT (1)
4. International and national (incl. intersectoral) research collaboration and researcher mobility

- Description of
  - the RC’s research collaborations and joint doctoral training activities
  - how the RC has promoted researcher mobility
- Identification of the RC’s strengths and challenges related to research collaboration and researcher mobility, and the actions planned for their development.

A written feedback from the aspects of: scientific quality, national and international collaboration
- Strengths
- Areas of development
- Other remarks
- Recommendations

Numeric evaluation: OUTSTANDING (5), EXCELLENT (4), VERY GOOD (3), GOOD (2), SUFFICIENT (1)

5. Operational conditions

- Description of the operational conditions in the RC’s research environment (e.g. research infrastructure, balance between research and teaching duties).
- Identification of the RC’s strengths and challenges related to operational conditions, and the actions planned for their development.

A written feedback from the aspects of: processes and good practices related to leadership and management
- Strengths
- Areas of development
- Other remarks
- Recommendations

6. Leadership and management in the researcher community

- Description of
  - the execution and processes of leadership in the RC
  - how the management-related responsibilities and roles are distributed in the RC
  - how the leadership- and management-related processes support
    - high quality research
    - collaboration between principal investigators and other researchers in the RC
    - the RC’s research focus
    - strengthening of the RC’s know-how
- Identification of the RC’s strengths and challenges related to leadership and management, and the actions planned for developing the processes

7. External competitive funding of the RC

- The RCs were asked to provide information of such external competitive funding, where:
  - the funding decisions have been made during 1.1.2005-31.12.2010, and
  - the administrator of the funding is/has been the University of Helsinki
- On the e-form the RCs were asked to provide:
  1) The relevant funding source(s) from a given list (Academy of Finland/Research Council, TEKES/The Finnish Funding Agency for Technology and Innovation, EU, ERC, foundations, other national funding organisations, other international funding organisations), and
  2) The total sum of funding which the organisation in question had decided to allocate to the RCs members during 1.1.2005–31.12.2010.

Competitive funding reported in the text is also to be considered when evaluating this point.
A written feedback from the aspects of: scientific quality, scientific significance, societal impact, innovativeness, future significance
- Strengths
- Areas of development
- Other remarks
- Recommendations

8. The RC’s strategic action plan for 2011–2013

- RC’s description of their future perspectives in relation to research and doctoral training.

A written feedback from the aspects of: scientific quality, scientific significance, societal impact, processes and good practices related to leadership and management, national and international collaboration, innovativeness, future significance
- Strengths
- Areas of development
9. Evaluation of the category of the RC in the context of entity of the evaluation material (1-8)

The RC’s fitness to the chosen participation category
A written feedback evaluating the RC’s fitness to the chosen participation category
- Strengths
- Areas of development
- Other remarks
- Recommendations

Numeric evaluation: OUTSTANDING (5), EXCELLENT (4), VERY GOOD (3), GOOD (2), SUFFICIENT (1)

10. Short description of how the RC members contributed the compilation of the stage 2 material
Comments on the compilation of evaluation material

11. How the UH’s focus areas are presented in the RC’s research?
Comments if applicable

12. RC-specific main recommendations based on the previous questions 1–11

13. RC-specific conclusions

1.7 Evaluation criteria

The panellists were expected to give evaluative and analytical feedback to each evaluation question according to their aspects in order to describe and justify the quality of the submitted material. In addition, the evaluation feedback was asked to be pointed out the level of the performance according to the following classifications:
- outstanding (5)
- excellent (4)
- very good (3)
- good (2)
- sufficient (1)

Evaluation according to the criteria was to be made with thorough consideration of the entire evaluation material of the RC in question. Finally, in questions 1-4 and 9, the panellists were expected to classify their written feedback into one of the provided levels (the levels included respective descriptions, ‘criteria’). Some panels used decimals in marks. The descriptive level was interpreted according to the integers and not rounding up the decimals by the editors.

Description of criteria levels

Question 1 – FOCUS AND QUALITY OF THE RC’S RESEARCH

Classification: Criteria (level of procedures and results)

Outstanding quality of procedures and results (5)
Outstandingly strong research, also from international perspective. Attracts great international interest with a wide impact, including publications in leading journals and/or monographs published by leading international publishing houses. The research has world leading qualities. The research focus, key research questions scientific significance, societal impact and innovativeness are of outstanding quality.

In cases where the research is of a national character and, in the judgement of the evaluators, should remain so, the concepts of “international attention” or “international impact” etc. in the grading criteria above may be replaced by “international comparability”.
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Operations and procedures are of outstanding quality, transparent and shared in the community. The improvement of research and other efforts are documented and operations and practices are in alignment with the documentation. The ambition to develop the community together is of outstanding quality.

**Excellent quality of procedures and results (4)**

Research of excellent quality. Typically published with great impact, also internationally. Without doubt, the research has a leading position in its field in Finland.

Operations and procedures are of excellent quality, transparent and shared in the community. The improvement of research and other efforts are documented and operations and practices are to large extent in alignment with the documentation. The ambition to develop the community together is of excellent quality.

**Very good quality of procedures and results (3)**

The research is of such very good quality that it attracts wide national and international attention.

Operations and procedures are of very good quality, transparent and shared in the community. The improvement of research and other efforts are documented and operations and practices are to large extent in alignment with the documentation. The ambition to develop the community together is of very good quality.

**Good quality of procedures and results (2)**

Good research attracting mainly national attention but possessing international potential, extraordinarily high relevance may motivate good research.

Operations and procedures are of good quality, shared occasionally in the community. The improvement of research and other efforts are occasionally documented and operations and practices are to large extent in alignment with the documentation. The ambition to develop the community together is of good quality.

**Sufficient quality of procedures and results (1)**

In some cases the research is insufficient and reports do not gain wide circulation or do not have national or international attention. Research activities should be revised.

Operations and procedures are of sufficient quality, shared occasionally in the community. The improvement of research and other efforts are occasionally documented and operations and practices are to some extent in alignment with the documentation. The ambition to develop the community together is of sufficient quality.

**Question 2 – DOCTORAL TRAINING**

**Question 3 – SOCIETAL IMPACT**

**Question 4 – COLLABORATION**

**Classification: Criteria (level of procedures and results)**

**Outstanding quality of procedures and results (5)**

Procedures are of outstanding quality, transparent and shared in the community. The practices and quality of doctoral training/societal impact/international and national collaboration/leadership and management are documented and operations and practices are in alignment with the documentation. The ambition to develop the community together is of outstanding quality. The procedures and results are regularly evaluated and the feedback has an effect on the planning.

**Excellent quality of procedures and results (4)**

Procedures are of excellent quality, transparent and shared in the community. The practices and quality of doctoral training/societal impact/international and national collaboration/leadership and management are documented and operations and practices are to large extent in alignment with the documentation. The ambition to develop the community together is of excellent quality. The procedures and outcomes are evaluated and the feedback has an effect on the planning.

**Very good quality of procedures and results (3)**

Procedures are of very good quality, transparent and shared in the community. The practices and quality of doctoral training/societal impact/international and national collaboration/leadership and management are documented and operations and practices are to large extent in alignment with the documentation. The ambition to develop the community together is of very good quality. The procedures and outcomes are evaluated and the feedback has an effect on the planning.
management are documented and operations and practices are to large extent in alignment with the documentation. The ambition to develop the community together is of very good quality.

**Good quality of procedures and results (2)**

Procedures are of good quality, shared occasionally in the community. The practices and quality of doctoral training/societal impact/international and national collaboration/leadership and management are documented and operations and practices are to large extent in alignment with the documentation. The ambition to develop the community together is of good quality.

**Sufficient quality of procedures and results (1)**

Procedures are of sufficient quality, transparent and shared in the community. The practices and quality of doctoral training/societal impact/international and national collaboration/leadership and management are occasionally documented and operations and practices are to some extent in alignment with the documentation. The ambition to develop the community together is of sufficient quality.

**Question 9 – CATEGORY**

Participation category – fitness for the category chosen

The choice and justification for the chosen category below should be reflected in the RC’s responses to the evaluation questions 1–8.

1. *The research of the participating community represents the international cutting edge in its field.*
2. *The research of the participating community is of high quality, but the community in its present composition has yet to achieve strong international recognition or a clear break-through.*
3. *The research of the participating community is distinct from mainstream research, and the special features of the research tradition in the field must be considered in the evaluation.* The research is of high quality and has great significance and impact in its field. However, the generally used research evaluation methods do not necessarily shed sufficient light on the merits of the research.
4. *The research of the participating community represents an innovative opening.* A new opening can be an innovative combination of research fields, or it can be proven to have a special social, national or international demand or other significance. Even if the researcher community in its present composition has yet to obtain proof of international success, its members can produce convincing evidence of the high level of their previous research.
5. *The research of the participating community has a highly significant societal impact.* The participating researcher community is able to justify the high social significance of its research. The research may relate to national legislation, media visibility or participation in social debate, or other activities promoting social development and human welfare. In addition to having societal impact, the research must be of a high standard.

**An example of outstanding fitness for category choice (5)**

The RC’s representation and argumentation for the chosen category were convincing. The RC recognized its real capacity and apparent outcomes in a wider context to the research communities. The specific character of the RC was well-recognized and well stated in the responses. The RC fitted optimally for the category.

- Outstanding (5)
- Excellent (4)
- Very good (3)
- Good (2)
- Sufficient (1)

The above-mentioned definition of outstanding was only an example in order to assist the panellists in the positioning of the classification. There was no exact definition for the category fitness.

---

7 The panels discussed the category fitness and made the final conclusions of the interpretation of it.
1.8 Timetable of the evaluation

The main timetable of the evaluation:

1. Registration   November 2010
3. External peer review    May–September 2011
4. Published reports   March–April 2012
   - University level public report
   - RC specific reports

The entire evaluation was implemented during the university’s strategy period 2010–2012. The preliminary results were available for the planning of the following strategy period in late autumn 2011. The evaluation reports will be published in March/April 2012. More detailed time schedule is published in the University report.

1.9 Evaluation feedback – consensus of the entire panel

The panellists evaluated all the RC-specific material before the meetings in Helsinki and mailed the draft reports to the evaluation office. The latest interim versions were on-line available to all the panellists on the Wiki-sites. In September 2011, in Helsinki the panels discussed the material, revised the first draft reports and decided the final numeric evaluation. After the meetings in Helsinki, the panels continued working and finalised the reports before the end of November 2011. The final RC-specific reports are the consensus of the entire panel.

The evaluation reports were written by the panels independently. During the editing process, the evaluation office requested some clarifications from the panels when necessary. The tone and style in the reports were not harmonized in the editing process. All the reports follow the original texts written by the panels as far as it was possible.

The original evaluation material of the RCs, provided for the panellists is attached at the end of the report. It is essential to notice that the exported lists of publications and other scientific activities depend how the data was stored in the TUHAT-RIS by the RCs.
2 Evaluation feedback

2.1 Focus and quality of the RC’s research

- Description of
  - the RC’s research focus
  - the quality of the RC’s research (incl. key research questions and results)
  - the scientific significance of the RC’s research in the research field(s)
- Identification of the ways to strengthen the focus and improve the quality of the RC’s research

ASPECTS: Scientific quality, scientific significance, societal impact, innovativeness

Strengths


Central issues of HM were cognition, self-consciousness, will and emotions in the context of the distinction between body and soul, the various abilities of the mind, conceptual teleology in knowledge and perception, as well as the discussions of normal and abnormal mental conditions. A joint enterprise of the CoE was the sourcebook of philosophical psychology from Plato to Kant (ed. S. Knuuttila and J. Sihvola), a comprehensive collection of historically important texts with commentaries and introductions. This work will be published in 2011.

PMP then explores historically situated psychological assumptions in moral and political philosophy, again from Plato to Kant and evidently building on the work done in HM.

- One of the major strengths of PPMP is the very broad chronological range of the fields it investigates: from Plato to Kant. As far as we know, nothing similar has been attempted anywhere else even though it is an obvious task to consider the developments, continuities and changes from Antiquity to the Enlightenment.
- Another major strength is the (relatively) strong focus of either CoE, which helps greatly to create the required unity of the research that is being done.
- A further major strength of PPMP is the high quality of the PIs (Knuuttila, Sihvola, Saarinen and others), which appears to set the scene for PPMP as a whole.
- An additional major strength is the international visibility of the research done within PPMP, not just in its publications but also in its broad international contacts.
- Yet another major strength is the shared work on two major publications: the Sourcebook of the History of Philosophical Psychology referred to above and the Handbook of Moral Psychology, which is under development.
- All in all, there is no doubt that PPMP situates the University of Helsinki squarely at the forefront of research in the history of philosophy in these areas anywhere in the world.

Areas of development

PPMP does not plan any immediate changes for 2011-2013 in its already successful work. There are plans, however (though unspecified), for how possibly to continue the work after 2013.

- With regard to 2011-2013, the panel suggests – on the basis of the material for evaluation (in particular the account given in Stage 2, p. 2) – that the emphasis on politics (in “with a special emphasis in morality and politics”, Stage 2, p. 1) might be strengthened, which might also contribute to bringing out the intrinsic, contemporary interest of the historical work being done in PPMP.
Recommendations
While the panel is looking forward to the publication this year of the Sourcebook of the History of Philosophical Psychology, which reflects the work done in HM (up until 2007), and note that work on the Handbook of Moral Psychology will have an important place in PPMP for 2011-2013, the panel strongly recommends that the work on the latter be in principle concluded by 2013 (so as not to wait until, say, 2017).

Numeric evaluation: 5 (Outstanding)

2.2 Practises and quality of doctoral training

- Organising of the doctoral training in the RC. Description of the RC's principles for:
  - recruitment and selection of doctoral candidates
  - supervision of doctoral candidates
  - collaboration with faculties, departments/institutes, and potential graduate schools/doctoral programmes
  - good practises and quality assurance in doctoral training
  - assuring of good career perspectives for the doctoral candidates/fresh doctors

- Identification of the RC's strengths and challenges related to the practises and quality of doctoral training, and the actions planned for their development.

- Additional material: TUHAT compilation of the RC's other scientific activities/supervision of doctoral dissertations

ASPECTS: Processes and good practices related to leadership and management

Strengths
- PPMP has produced an excellent number of PhDs between 2005 and 2010.
- It is clear that a focused attempt is made to recruit candidates for PhD posts, e.g. by courses (‘The Medieval School’), by opening up regular seminars and international conferences to interested undergraduate/graduate students, and the like.
- PPMP has also been active in co-organizing courses specifically designed for PhD students in cooperation across departments and faculties.
- Supervision of the PhD students is by a fruitful mixture of participation (a) (optional) in special seminars for junior researchers once every month and (b) (obligatory) of the whole RC once every month – and individual supervision of the classic form. The quality of the latter may be surmised from the results.
- PPMP has secured further career possibilities for 8 out of 13 PhDs, with five continuing as (presumably unpaid) postdoc researchers of the community. This is excellent by Finnish standards, but of course does leave the five unpaid postdoc researchers in a difficult situation.

Areas of development
PPMP itself mentions its wish to secure funding to bring young scholars to Helsinki.

In addition the panel wishes to mention the following as a potential area of development:
- About half of the PhD students have spent a shorter or longer time abroad. We find that in accordance with PPMP's international profile, it would be desirable if this percentage was raised so that it became unusual if a PhD student had not spent some time abroad. The panel notes that PPMP pays for linguistic correction of publications in English by its participants, but we cannot emphasize sufficiently the value of actual stays abroad, also with respect to developing younger researchers’ linguistic capacities.
- Little information is given about the extent to which PhD students from PPMP will participate in international conferences. They most likely do participate to a great extent. However, the panel would like to emphasize the huge importance of this for the future research careers of PhD students.

Numeric evaluation: 4.5 (Excellent)
2.3 The societal impact of research and doctoral training

- Description on how the RC interacts with and contributes to the society (collaboration with public, private and/or 3rd sector).
- Identification of the ways to strengthen the societal impact of the RC’s research and doctoral training.
- Additional material: TUHAT compilation of the RC’s other scientific activities.

ASPECTS: Societal impact, national and international collaboration, innovativeness

Strengths
PPMP contributes greatly to society in the following respects:
- publications both at an international and a national level,
- extensive participation in a number of ‘other scientific activities’,
- popular scientific publications (mainly in Finnish),
- appearances in the media,
- and more.

Areas of development
We cannot think of any further need.

Numeric evaluation: 4.5 (Excellent)

2.4 International and national (incl. intersectoral) research collaboration and researcher mobility

- Description of
  - the RC’s research collaborations and joint doctoral training activities
  - how the RC has promoted researcher mobility
- Identification of the RC’s strengths and challenges related to research collaboration and researcher mobility, and the actions planned for their development.

ASPECTS: Scientific quality, national and international collaboration

Strengths
PPMP has a number of strengths in this area:
- there is – almost by definition – an excellent level of collaboration nationally, at least between Helsinki and Jyväskylä,
- the international collaboration appears to be excellent too (although one misses a clearer specification of seminars and conferences with international participation),
- the joint doctoral training activities appear to be excellent too.

Areas of development
The main area of development that the panel can see is the one mentioned under 3:
- further emphasis on study abroad and international participation on the part of PhD students.

Numeric evaluation: 4.5 (Excellent)

2.5 Operational conditions

- Description of the operational conditions in the RC’s research environment (e.g. research infrastructure, balance between research and teaching duties).
- Identification of the RC’s strengths and challenges related to operational conditions, and the actions planned for their development.

ASPECTS: Processes and good practices related to leadership and management
**Strengths**
As a consecutive set of two CoEs, PPMP has apparently had an exceedingly good research infrastructure, both from the University of Helsinki and the Academy of Finland. This holds for:

- the teaching load of the various PIs, many of whom have been free from teaching for some periods without losing contact with students,
- the teaching load of PhD students, which is fairly limited and focused on their areas of research,
- also, it appears that the administrative support from the Faculty of Theology, in particular, of the University of Helsinki has been very valuable,
- in addition, PPMP has had its own secretary, who has to some degree been in charge of day-to-day business.

**Areas of development**
At the present stage of this particular RC, there does not seem to be any need for changes in the operation Conditions:

- PPMP operates across at least five different original departments, and the self-evaluation considers whether the RC would be able to operate even better by being in a separate building. However, the conclusion is – and the panel agrees – that the academic impact on the universities as wholes is better served when PPMP researchers are physically located in close contact with other research milieus at the universities.
- the balance between research and teaching seems to work very well.

### 2.6 Leadership and management in the researcher community

- **Description of**
  - the execution and processes of leadership in the RC
  - how the management-related responsibilities and roles are distributed in the RC
  - how the leadership- and management-related processes support
    - high quality research
    - collaboration between principal investigators and other researchers in the RC
    - the RC’s research focus
    - strengthening of the RC’s know-how
- **Identification of the RC’s strengths and challenges related to leadership and management, and the actions planned for developing the processes**

**ASPECTS: Processes and good practices related to leadership and management**

**Strengths**
The leadership structure of PPMP is very clear and at the same time also flexible. It consists of a single responsible leader, two vice-leaders and a steering group consisting of the four team leaders of the four teams under the RC.

- One particularly notes the close contact among all leaders of the individual units.
- Also highly commendable is the high degree of self-determination within each team, at the same time as everything is being monitored by the steering group.
- In addition, one notes the very laudable aim of trying to educate the younger researchers in research administrative skills by letting them organize seminars and the like.
- Especially valuable is the focus on the two shared publications from either CoE, which helps greatly to secure the required quality and focus of the research.

**Areas of development**
The panel cannot think of anything to suggest here. It all depends, of course, on the good will and mutual trust among all researchers involved. When that is present there is no need for stricter management. When it is lacking it is difficult to suggest any improvements. Here it definitely seems to be present.
2.7 External competitive funding of the RC

- The RCs were asked to provide information of such external competitive funding, where:
  - the funding decisions have been made during 1.1.2005–31.12.2010, and
  - the administrator of the funding is/has been the University of Helsinki
- On the e-form the RCs were asked to provide:
  1) The relevant funding source(s) from a given list (Academy of Finland/Research Council, TEKES/The Finnish Funding Agency for Technology and Innovation, EU, ERC, foundations, other national funding organisations, other international funding organizations), and
  2) The total sum of funding which the organisation in question had decided to allocate to the RCs members during 1.1.2005–31.12.2010.

Competitive funding reported in the text is also to be considered when evaluating this point.

ASPECTS: Scientific quality, scientific significance, societal impact, innovativeness and future significance

Strengths
PPMP has secured ample funding from outside the University of Helsinki, not least from the Academy of Finland, but also from other, private foundations.

Areas of development
One notices that no funding has been (applied for? or) obtained from the ERC or other international funding organizations. There may be very good reasons for this. Still, one should have thought that a RC of the quality of PPMP would have a good chance in international competition to the extent that it falls within the parameters of those funding organizations.

2.8 The RC’s strategic action plan for 2011–2013

- RC’s description of their future perspectives in relation to research and doctoral training.
  ASPECTS: Scientific quality, scientific significance, societal Impact, processes and good practices related to leadership and management, national and international collaboration, innovativeness, future significance

Strengths
PPMP does not plan to change course for the next few years. And there are excellent reasons for this.

Areas of development
We have noted a few areas where one might intensify what is already excellently done:
- Could the theme of ‘politics’ be strengthened in the research profile?
- Could one intensify work on the Handbook of Moral Psychology so that it will in principle be done by 2013?
- Could one work towards in principle sending all PhD students abroad for a shorter or longer period of time?
- Could one intensify the participation of younger researchers in international conferences?
2.9 Evaluation of the category of the RC in the context of entity of the evaluation material (1-8)

The RC’s fitness to the chosen participation category. 
Category 1. The research of the participating community represents the international cutting edge in its field.

There can be no doubt that PPMP belongs squarely where it has situated itself, in cat. 1. There is no need to rehearse here what has already been said to substantiate that evaluation.

Numeric evaluation: 5 (Outstanding)

2.10 Short description of how the RC members contributed the compilation of the stage 2 material

The evaluation material was put together by a small group of central figures within PPMP, but with feedback from all involved.

The evaluation material fully fits the expectations raised by the questions etc. submitted to the RCs. On a few points in particular, the panel feels relatively uninformed:

- the number of seminars and conferences with international participation,
- the average number of participants from within PPMP itself in the monthly obligatory meetings for all members of PPMP.

2.11 How the UH’s focus areas are presented in the RC’s research

Focus area 4: The thinking and learning human being

PPMP belongs squarely under – and is indeed even mentioned in – the University of Helsinki’s focus area of ‘The thinking and learning human being’ (under ‘Cultures and society’).

2.12 RC-specific main recommendations

Please see under 8, where the panel has summarized its recommendations.

2.13 RC-specific conclusions

It is greatly to be hoped that PPMP will be given an opportunity to continue its very impressive work also beyond 2013.

2.14 Preliminary findings in the Panel-specific feedback

Practices and quality of doctoral training

Findings: (a) About 50% of PhD students within PPMP had studied abroad for a shorter or longer period. (b) Little or no information was given about their participation in international conferences.

Potential area of development: It may be worth emphasizing to the University the benefits for PhD students of shorter or longer studies abroad and participation in international conferences. In particular, one might stipulate that it should be unusual for a PhD student not to engage in these activities.
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RC-SPECIFIC MATERIAL FOR THE PEER REVIEW

NAME OF THE RESEARCHER COMMUNITY:
Philosophical Psychology, Morality, and Politics (PPMP)

LEADER OF THE RESEARCHER COMMUNITY:
Professor Simo Knuuttila, Department of Systematic Theology

RC-SPECIFIC MATERIAL FOR THE PEER REVIEW:

- Material submitted by the RC at stages 1 and 2 of the evaluation
  - STAGE 1 material: RC’s registration form (incl. list of RC participants in an excel table)
  - STAGE 2 material: RC’s answers to evaluation questions
- TUHAT compilations of the RC members’ other scientific activities 1.1.2005-31.12.2010

NB! Since Web of Science (WoS)-based bibliometrics does not provide representative results for most RCs representing humanities, social sciences and computer sciences, the publications of these RCs will be analyzed by the UH Library (results available by the end of June, 2011)
Name: Knuuttila, Simo
E-mail: simo.knuuttila@helsinki.fi
Phone: +358 (0)9-191 23024
Affiliation: Department of systematic theology
Street address: Aleksanterinkatu 7 b

Name of the participating RC (max. 30 characters): Philosophical Psychology, Morality, and Politics
Acronym for the participating RC (max. 10 characters): PPMP

Description of the operational basis in 2005-2010 (eg. research collaboration, joint doctoral training activities) on which the RC was formed (MAX. 2200 characters with spaces):
The RC called 'Philosophical Psychology, Morality, and Politics' (PPMP) is part of the Philosophical Psychology, Morality and Politics Research Unit (PMP), which is a National Centre of Excellence funded by the Academy of Finland, the University of Helsinki, and the University of Jyväskylä 2008-2013. All members of this unit who are associated with the University of Helsinki are included in this evaluation as members of the RCP group. Many of them were also members of its predecessor, the National Centre of Excellence 'History of Mind' funded by the Academy of Finland, University of Helsinki, and University of Jyväskylä in 2002-2007. All members of this unit who are associated with the University of Helsinki are also included in this evaluation as members of the PPMP group. The work of the present CoE is largely based on the basic research of the History of Mind Unit which concentrated on the philosophical psychology from Plato to Kant. The research theme of the present CoE is the relation of philosophical psychology to morality and politics from Plato to Kant. The History of Mind unit included three teams (Ancient, Medieval Arabic and Latin and Early Modern), together 24 researchers, the PMP unit includes four teams (Ancient, Medieval Arabic and Latin, Renaissance and Reformation, Early Modern), together 35 researchers. The regular forum of co-operation is provided by two monthly research seminars, one of which is the official seminar of the unit and the other a less formal occasion for junior researchers. Both seminars are open and often have foreign visitors, participants and presenters. The teams have their own meetings. PhD and post-doctoral training takes place in the teams. The Mind unit was one of the founding organizations of the joint graduate school of the CoEs units in the humanities at the University of Helsinki 'Meaning. Language, and Cultural Change' and the PMP units continues this activity.

Main scientific field of the RC’s research: humanities
RC’s scientific subfield 1: Philosophy
RC’s scientific subfield 2: Theology
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RC's scientific subfield 3: History
RC's scientific subfield 4: --Select--
Other, if not in the list:

4 RC'S PARTICIPATION CATEGORY

Participation category: 1. Research of the participating community represents the international cutting edge in its field

Justification for the selected participation category (MAX. 2200 characters with spaces): The RC represents the international cutting edge in its field. It consists of members of the History of Mind Centre of Excellence and the Philosophical Psychology, Morality and Politics Centre of Excellence, which were elected by the Academy of Finland on the basis of international evaluation and funded by the Academy of Finland and their host universities. In the public self-evaluation report of the History of Mind Research Unit 2002-2007 its major scientific breakthroughs are listed as follows: 1. The introduction of the new international book series Studies in the History of the Philosophy of Mind (Kluwer, later Springer), 2. Several international monographs, edited books and articles in the history of emotions which has made the CoE one of the leading international units for emotions in the history of philosophy, 3. Several international monographs, edited works and articles on the history of consciousness which has made History of Mind one of the leading international units for Western traditions of self-awareness from ancient to early modern times, 4. Other significant new results, such as the first monograph on the discussion of mental illness in ancient philosophy, several articles on psychology, theology and natural philosophy in medieval thought, feminist research on the history of philosophical psychology. The PMP unit is the internationally largest joint project on the history of moral psychology in ancient, medieval, and early modern times, and part of its pioneering work is the extensive Handbook of Moral Psychology which is edited and partly written by the researchers of the unit. According to the International advisory group’s (Prof. Julia Annas, Dr. Annabel Brett, Dr. John Marenbon) report (2010), ‘the CoE has a very high degree of international visibility, thanks both to the publications of its members for international presses or in international journals (usually in English), as well as the international profile of members’.

5 DESCRIPTION OF THE RC'S RESEARCH AND DOCTORAL TRAINING

Public description of the RC’s research and doctoral training (MAX. 2200 characters with spaces): The ‘History of Mind’ CoE (2002-2007) was divided into three teams (Ancient, Medieval Latin and Arabic, Early Modern). Central issues were cognition, self-consciousness, will and emotions in the context of the distinction between body and soul, the various abilities of the mind, conceptual teleology in knowledge and perception, as well as the discussions of normal and abnormal mental conditions. A joint enterprise of the CoE was the sourcebook of philosophical psychology from Plato to Kant (ed. S. Knuuttila and J. Sihvola), a comprehensive collection of historically important texts with commentaries and introductions. The work, the first of its kind, will be published in 2011. The CoE was a central actor in the European Science Foundation programme ‘From Natural Philosophy to Science (2003-2007).

The PMP CoE (2008-2013) explores historically situated psychological assumptions in moral and political philosophy from classical Antiquity to the Enlightenment. The CoE is chaired by Simo Knuuttila with Juha Sihvola and Virpi Mäkinen acting as deputy chairs. The four teams are Ancient Philosophy (led by Juha
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Sihvola), Medieval Philosophy (led by Mikko Yrjönsuuri and Taneli Kukkonen), Renaissance and Reformation Thought (led by Risto Saarinen) and Early Modern Philosophy (led by Virpi Mäkinen). The research subjects include: (1) The ethical and political value of natural inclinations, emotions, and habits, (2) The psychology of knowledge, will, and choice in ethics and politics, (3) The psychology of gender, (4) The tradition of classical conceptions of human sociability and its fragmentation, (5) Natural law, human rights and the emergence of political individualism, (6) The psychology of happiness.

In 2005-2009 the publications of the RC include 19 international monographs or edited books, c. 100 other international publications, 10 Finnish or Swedish monographs or edited works, c. 60 other Finnish or Swedish monographs or edited works, c. 60 other Finnish or Swedish publications, and 12 Ph.D. theses.

In 2005-2010 the researchers of the RC have acted as supervisors of a great number of Ph.D. theses, 10 of these accepted in the University of Helsinki.

Significance of the RC’s research and doctoral training for the University of Helsinki (MAX. 2200 characters with spaces):

The RC is the most significant unit of the research and teaching of the history of philosophy at the University of Helsinki. Philosophical psychology has become one of the most intensively studied fields in the research of the history of Western philosophy. The RC (History of Mind and PMP CoEs) has contributed to this international development by its publication activities, extensive research networks and congress programme. The special strength of the RC has been its ability to explore similar themes in teams focusing on ancient and Arabic philosophy, medieval Latin philosophy, Reformation and Renaissance philosophy and early modern philosophy. There is no other project of the same size working with a similar scope of long historical perspectives. The RC has built in the University of Helsinki an internationally visible and highly evaluated interdisciplinary research unit on the tradition of philosophical psychology, which has researchers from departments of philosophy, theology, history and classical philology. Its wide international research network involves similar departments in Europe (Humboldt University Berlin, Oxford, Cambridge, Leuven, Uppsala, Copenhagen, Oslo, Athens, St. Petersburg) the US (Chicago, Arizona, Los Angeles) and Canada (University of Western Ontario, Victoria, Vancouver). The RC has actively organized international symposia and conferences (11 in Helsinki) and hosted other international speakers and visitors. While the RC has brought a strong international research unit with extensive research network into the University of Helsinki, thus contributing to its international research profile, the researchers of the RC have also added to its national visibility by translating ancient, medieval and early modern works in Finnish, publishing books and articles directed as a more general audience, and participating in contemporary cultural debates.

Keywords: Philosophical psychology, History of Philosophy, Moral psychology, Political psychology, Ancient philosophy, Medieval philosophy, Arabic philosophy, Early modern philosophy, reformation thought

6 QUALITY OF RC’S RESEARCH AND DOCTORAL TRAINING
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Koivuniemi), which have made the CoE one the leading international research unit for the history of these themes from ancient to early modern times, 2. The cognitive capacities and their disturbances: international monographs (Tuominen 2007), edited works (Knuuttila & Kärkkäinen 2008), articles (Aho, Alanen, Knuuttila, Kärkkäinen, Silva, Tuominen), and Ph.D. theses (Ahonen, Kitanov, Lemetti, Perälä, Silva), the most significant new results being about the history of the discussions of mental illness and the philosophical theories of perception in ancient and medieval philosophy, 3. The history of ancient, medieval and early modern views of psychology in morality and politics: international monographs (Korkman 2006), edited works (Saarinen and Kraye 2005, Mäkinen 2005, 2010, Mäkinen and Korkman 2006 and 2008, Savonius Wroth 2010), articles (Alanen, Korkman, Mäkinen, Reuter, Roinila, Saarinen, Savonius-Wroth, Sihvola, Tolonen, Varkemaa) and Ph. D. theses (Roinila 2007, Koivuniemi 2008, Tolonen 2009, Varkemaa 2009), the most significant results being associated with the themes of individual rights and sociability. Many of these themes have also been studied by the researchers of the CoEs at the University of Jyväskylä, particularly medieval Arabic psychology and consciousness in medieval and early modern thought.

The RC researchers are members of the editorial boards of a great number of international journals and book series, such as Documenti e Studi, Theoria, Early Science and Medicine, Investigating Medieval Philosophy (Brill), Hobbes Studies. Simo Knuuttila is the managing editor of the New Synthese Historical Library (Springer).

Comments on how the RC’s scientific productivity and doctoral training should be evaluated (MAX. 2200 characters with spaces): International monographs and edited works have been published by leading international publishers, such as Oxford University Press, Cambridge University Press, Springer, Brill, Continuum, as well as Acta Philosophical Fennica. Many of the international journal articles are published in high ranked journals, such as Phronesis, Vivarium, Journal of the History of Philosophy, History of Philosophy Quarterly, Neue Zeitschrift für systematische Theologie und Religionsphilosophie, Ethics. Many of the book chapters are published in collected works published by leading international publishers, such as Brill, Brepols, Cambridge University Press, Continuum, Fortress, Elsevier, Mohr, Oxford University Press, Springer, University of California Press. Finnish and Swedish articles are published in refereed journals or edited volumes, and monographs or edited works by Gaudeamus, Kirjapaja, SKS, STKS, WSOY.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Last name</th>
<th>First name</th>
<th>PI-status</th>
<th>Title of research and teaching personnel</th>
<th>Affiliation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aho</td>
<td>Tuomo</td>
<td>University Researcher</td>
<td>Department of Philosophy, History, Culture and Art Studies</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ahonen</td>
<td>Marke</td>
<td>Postdoctoral Researcher</td>
<td>Department of World Cultures</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alanne</td>
<td>Lilli</td>
<td>Professor, university researcher</td>
<td>Department of Philosophy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grahn</td>
<td>Malin</td>
<td>Doctoral candidate</td>
<td>Department of Political and Economic Studies</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hallamaa</td>
<td>Olli</td>
<td>Postdoctoral Researcher</td>
<td>Department of systematic theology</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Holopainen</td>
<td>Tiina</td>
<td>Postdoctoral Researcher</td>
<td>Department of systematic theology</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Holopainen</td>
<td>Toivo</td>
<td>University Lecturer</td>
<td>Department of systematic theology</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kaitaro</td>
<td>Timo</td>
<td>University Researcher</td>
<td>Department of Philosophy, History, Culture and Art Studies</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Karimies</td>
<td>Tarii</td>
<td>Doctoral candidate</td>
<td>Department of systematic theology</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Karkkinen</td>
<td>Pekka</td>
<td>University Lecturer</td>
<td>Department of systematic theology</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knuuttila</td>
<td>Simo</td>
<td>Professor</td>
<td>Department of systematic theology</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Koivuniemi</td>
<td>Minna</td>
<td>Postdoctoral Researcher</td>
<td>Department of systematic theology</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Korkman</td>
<td>Petter</td>
<td>Postdoctoral Researcher</td>
<td>HCAS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lehmitöki-Gardner</td>
<td>Maija</td>
<td>University researcher</td>
<td>Department of Political and Economic Studies</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lemetti</td>
<td>Juhana</td>
<td>Postdoctoral Researcher</td>
<td>Department of Political and Economic Studies</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leikke</td>
<td>Hävard</td>
<td>Postdoctoral Researcher</td>
<td>Department of systematic theology</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mäkinen</td>
<td>Virpi</td>
<td>Senior researcher (Academy research fellow)</td>
<td>Department of systematic theology</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mikkeli</td>
<td>Heikki</td>
<td>University lecturer</td>
<td>Department of World Cultures</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Niula</td>
<td>Timo</td>
<td>Doctoral candidate</td>
<td>Department of systematic theology</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paakkinen</td>
<td>Ilse</td>
<td>Doctoral candidate</td>
<td>Department of systematic theology</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palmén</td>
<td>Ritva</td>
<td>Doctoral candidate</td>
<td>Department of systematic theology</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paulkonten</td>
<td>Ville</td>
<td>Doctoral candidate</td>
<td>Department of Political and Economic Studies</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perälä</td>
<td>Mika</td>
<td>Postdoctoral researcher</td>
<td>Department of Philosophy, History, Culture and Art Studies</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remes</td>
<td>Pauliina</td>
<td>University lecturer, Postdoctoral researcher</td>
<td>Department of Philosophy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reuter</td>
<td>Martina</td>
<td>Senior researcher (Academy research fellow)</td>
<td>Department of Philosophy, History, Culture and Art Studies</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roinila</td>
<td>Markku</td>
<td>Postdoctoral researcher</td>
<td>Department of Philosophy, History, Culture and Art Studies</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saarinen</td>
<td>Risto</td>
<td>Professor</td>
<td>Department of systematic theology</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Savonius-Wroth</td>
<td>Sami-Juhani</td>
<td>Postdoctoral researcher</td>
<td>Department of Philosophy, History, Culture and Art Studies</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silvola</td>
<td>Juha</td>
<td>Professor, Director</td>
<td>HCAS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tolonen</td>
<td>Mikko</td>
<td>Postdoctoral Researcher</td>
<td>Department of Philosophy, History, Culture and Art Studies</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tuominen</td>
<td>Miira</td>
<td>University lecturer, Postdoctoral researcher</td>
<td>HCAS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vainio</td>
<td>Olli-Pekka</td>
<td>University researcher</td>
<td>Department of systematic theology</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Varkemaa</td>
<td>Jussi</td>
<td>Postdoctoral researcher</td>
<td>Department of systematic theology</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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BACKGROUND INFORMATION

Name of the RC’s responsible person: Knuuttila, Simo
E-mail of the RC’s responsible person: simo.knuuttila@helsinki.fi

Name and acronym of the participating RC: Philosophical Psychology, Morality, and Politics, PPMP

The RC’s research represents the following key focus area of UH: 4. Ajatteleva ja oppiva ihminen - The thinking and learning human being

Comments for selecting/not selecting the key focus area: The PPMP is the most significant unit of the research and teaching of the history of philosophy at the University of Helsinki. Focusing on the philosophical psychology of human beings from Plato to Kant, it analyses the historical formation of key conceptions pertaining to cognition, self-consciousness, will and emotions with a special interest in morality and politics. The PPMP has contributed to the increasing international research in the history of philosophical psychology by its extensive internationally networked research programme. The special strength of the PPMP is its ability to explore similar themes in ancient and Arabic philosophy, medieval Latin philosophy, Reformation and Renaissance philosophy and early modern philosophy. This research community has built in the University of Helsinki an internationally visible and highly evaluated interdisciplinary research unit, which has researchers from the departments of philosophy, theology, history and classical philology.

1. FOCUS AND QUALITY OF RC’S RESEARCH (MAX. 8800 CHARACTERS WITH SPACES)

- Description of the RC’s research focus, the quality of the RC’s research (incl. key research questions and results) and the scientific significance of the RC’s research for the research field(s).

The PPMP research community (2005-2010) consists of the Helsinki associated members of two National Centres of Excellences (CoE), History of Mind (HM, 2002-2007) and Philosophical Psychology, Morality, and Politics (PMP, 2008-2013), which were elected on the basis of international evaluation by the Academy of Finland.

The Focus of the Research. Philosophical psychology has become one of the most intensively studied fields in the research of the history of Western philosophy. The PPMP has contributed to the international development in this field by its publications, extensive research networks and congress programme. The special strength of the PPMP has been its ability to explore the research subjects focusing at the same time on ancient and Arabic philosophy, medieval Latin philosophy, Reformation and Renaissance philosophy and early modern philosophy. This is a unique opportunity since there is no other project of the same size working with a similar scope of long historical perspectives. The PPMP is the internationally largest joint project on the history of moral psychology in ancient, medieval, and early modern times. Part of its pioneering work is the extensive The Handbook of Moral Psychology which is edited and partly written by the researchers of the unit. Another great joint enterprise is The Sourcebook of the History of Philosophical Psychology which is prepared by the PPMP scholars in cooperation with some foreign experts. This work completed in spring 2011 is the first of its kind in the study of the history of Western philosophy.

The PPMP is a publication oriented basic research unit which believes that the research of the history of philosophy may improve the understanding of our contemporary ways of thinking by showing connections, analogies, or differences. Beside this general attitude, the special objective of the research
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of the PPMP unit is to serve contemporary philosophical culture through scholarly ambitious non-anachronistic studies in the history of philosophical psychology, particularly in morality and politics.

1. The Faculties and Functions of the Human Mind. The work of the PMP continues the basic research of the HM which concentrated on historical discourses of the structure, faculties and functions of the human mind in the history of Western philosophy from Plato to Kant. The HM included three groups (Ancient, Medieval Arabic and Latin and Early Modern), together 24 researchers. Questions of the philosophy of mind were investigated by analysing the historical formation of key conceptions pertaining to cognition, self-consciousness, will and the passions of the soul. Central issues in these areas involved 1. the distinction between body and soul, 2. the various abilities of the mind, 3. the subject and object of mental attitudes, 4. conceptual teleology and realism in knowledge and perception, 5. the discussions of normal and abnormal mental conditions and mental disorders. The CoE was a central actor in the European Science Foundation programme “From Natural Philosophy to Science (2003-2008).

2. Philosophical Psychology in Morality and Politics. The research focus of the PMP is the relation of philosophical psychology to morality and politics in the same temporal period as in the HM. The PMP includes four groups (Ancient, Medieval Arabic and Latin, Renaissance and Reformation, Early Modern), together 35 researchers. The research subjects include 1. The ethical and political value of natural inclinations, emotions, and habits, 2. The psychology of knowledge, will, and choice in ethics and politics, 3. The psychology of gender, 4. The tradition of classical conceptions of human sociability and its fragmentation, 5. Natural law, human rights and the emergence of political individualism, 6. The psychology of happiness. The PMP is interested in the influence of historically situated psychological conceptions on the disciplines of ethics and politics, as well as in other psychological assumptions in these areas. The unit does not entertain speculative constructions arising from modern psychological theories.

The Quality of the Research. The PPMP represents the international cutting edge in its field. The major scientific breakthroughs are as follows: 1. Several innovative works on the history of emotions, consciousness, and self-awareness which have made the PPMP one of the leading international units for these themes in the history of philosophy (international monographs by Hirvonen, Knuuttila, Remes; international edited books by Hirvonen, Holopainen and Tuominen 2006, Heinämaa, Lähteenmäki, Remes 2007, Remes and Sihvola 2008, Heinämaa and Reuter 2009; international articles by Alanen, Hirvonen, Knuuttila, Sihvola, Remes, Reuter, Roinila; Ph.D. theses by Kitanov and Koivuniemi. 2. Other significant new results on the history of psychological theories of cognitive capacities and their disturbances (international monograph by Tuominen 2007, international edited work by Knuuttila and Kärkkäinen 2008; international articles by Aho, Alanen, Knuuttila, Kärkkäinen, Silva, Tuominen; Ph.D. theses by Ahonen, Lemetti, Perälä, Silva; 3. New basic research on psychology in morality and politics, the most significant results being associated with the themes of weakness of the will, philosophy of gender, individual rights and sociability (international monograph by Korkman 2006; edited works by Saarinen and Kraye 2005, Mäkinen 2005, 2010, Mäkinen and Korkman 2006 and 2008, Savonius-Wroth 2010; international articles by Alanen, Korkman, Mäkinen, Reuter, Roinila, Saarinen, Saastamoinen, Savonius-Wroth, Sihvola, Tolonen, Varkemaa, Varkemaa; Ph. D. theses by Roinila, Tolonen, Varkemaa 2009.)

Many of the monographs and edited works are published by leading scientific publishers, for example Oxford University Press (Knuuttila, Emotions in Ancient and Medieval Philosophy; Saarinen, Weakness of Will in Renaissance and Reformation Thought), Cambridge University Press (Remes, Plotinus of Self); University of California Press (Tuominen, Ancient Commentators on Plato and Aristotle, Remes, Neoplatonism), Kluwer (Hirvonen, Passions in the Philosophical Psychology of William Ockham), Brill (Mäkinen, ed., Lutheran Reformation and the Law; Hirvonen, Holopainen and Tuominen, eds., Mind and
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Modality), Springer (Tuominen, Apprehension and Argument: Ancient Theories of Starting Points for Knowledge; Mäkinen and Korkman, eds., Transformations in Early-Modern Rights Discourse; Saarinen and Kraye, eds., Moral Philosophy on the Threshold of Modernity; Heinämaa, Lähteenmäki, and Remes, eds., Consciousness; Remes and Sihvola, eds., Ancient Philosophy of the Self; Knuuttila and Kärkkäinen, eds., Theories of Perception in Medieval and Early Modern Thought; Heinämaa and Reuter, eds., Psychology and Philosophy); Continuum (Savonius-Wroth, ed., The Continuum Companion to Locke). Other international publishers of books include Liberty Fund (Korkman 2006); Acta Philosophica Fennica (Mäkinen, ed., 2010); Finnish Academy of Science and Letters (Knuuttila and Saarinen, ed., 2010); Luther-Agricola Society (Kärkkäinen, ed. 2007).


- Ways to strengthen the focus and improve the quality of the RC’s research.

The Centres of Excellences which form the PPMP community have scientific advisory boards. One task of the boards is to make a report of the CoEs to the Academy of Finland. These reports have evaluated the focus and the quality of the projects as excellent. The advisory board of the PMP pointed out in their 2010 report the high quality and large amount of international publications during the first three years period. They also noticed the strong internationality which is supported by several international conferences and seminars organized by the unit and the extensive research connections with European and Northern-American scholars, research groups and institutes. The steering group of the PPMP tries to find more funds for offering a possibility for younger scholars from abroad to spend a period of research at the community.

2 PRACTISES AND QUALITY OF DOCTORAL TRAINING (MAX. 8800 CHARACTERS WITH SPACES)

- How is doctoral training organised in the RC? Description of the RC’s principles for recruitment and selection of doctoral candidates, supervision of doctoral candidates, collaboration with faculties, departments/institutes, and potential graduate schools/doctoral programmes, good practises and quality assurance in doctoral training, and assuring good career perspectives for the doctoral candidates/fresh doctorates.

The following doctoral theses are completed in the doctoral programme of the HM and the PMP in 2005-2010:

1. Olli Hallamaa, 2005, University of Helsinki
2. Severin Kitanov, 2007, University of Helsinki
3. Markku Roinila, 2007, University of Helsinki
4. Marke Ahonen, 2008, University of Helsinki
5. Jussi Varkemaa, 2009, University of Helsinki
6. Mikko Tolonen, 2010, University of Helsinki
7. Mika Perälä, 2010, University of Helsinki
8. Minna Koivuniemi, 2008, University of Uppsala
9. José Filipe Silva, 2009, University of Porto
10. Jari Kaukua, 2007, University of Jyväskylä
11. Susanna Niiranen, 2009, University of Jyväskylä
12. Juhana Toivanen, 2009, University of Jyväskylä
13. Vili Lähteenmäki, 2009, University of Jyväskylä

Of these 1-9 have been supervised by Alanen, Knuuttila or Peltonen and those in Jyväskylä (10-13) by Kukkonen, Yrjönsuuri or Siivola – of these Siivola belongs to the PPMP, Kukkonen ja Yrjönsuuri being team leaders of the PMP unit in Jyväskylä. All Ph.D. students have finished their theses according to the research plan. For the present, there are five Ph.D. students financed directly or by option by the PPMP of which Malin Grähn (Stoic Philosophy of Gender, supervised by Tuominen and Siivola) and Ritva Päkkönen (Richard of St. Victor on Imagination, supervised by Knuuttila) complete their theses in 2011 and Ville Paukkonen (Berkeley’s Philosophy of Mind, supervised by Airaksinen), Ilse Pääkkönen (Christine de Pisan on Women’s Right, supervised by Mäkinen and Reuter) and Ilmari Karimies (Ontological and Cognitive Aspects of Faith in Luther’s Theology, supervised by Saarinen) in 2012.

The PPMP is a research community for scholarly research which sets special requirements to the Ph.D. students. In addition to the skills of historical research, they need to know contemporary philosophy as well as Latin and/or Greek. Medieval Arabic philosophy is studied in the Jyväskylä team. This background knowledge makes the Bologna schedule of four years for a Ph.D. very challenging and not quite manageable, even though those younger persons who are seriously interested of the research work in the PPMP are exceptionally talented and motivated.

Recruitment and selection of doctoral candidates. The PPMP is primarily a research community which operates in teams of doctoral students, post-doctoral and senior researchers. Doctoral training belongs to the activities of the community in accordance with the dynamics associated with researcher career structures and because of the will to maintain and develop the scholarly research of the history of philosophy at the University Helsinki. Because doctoral studies involve the special requirements mentioned above, those interested in this kind of research have already planned their earlier studies at the master level to support doctoral studies. The team leaders discuss with outstanding graduate students about the possibility to continue as doctoral students in the PPMP. In order to increase interest in medieval and early modern thought, the PPMP has also been responsible for master studies in a study module called ‘The Medieval School’ in the Faculty of Theology. The senior and junior researchers of the PPMP have given lecture series, seminars and tutorial teaching in ‘The Medieval School’.

Supervision of doctoral candidates. The main reason for the very successful doctoral programme is the good research environment and personal supervising culture offered by the PPMP. The PPMP has also paid much attention to Ph.D. training in the humanities in general, being one of the founding organizations of the joint graduate school of the Centre of Excellences in the humanities at the University of Helsinki: ‘Meaning, Language, and Cultural Change’. It has been active in the Scandinavian doctoral training co-operation between the units in Uppsala, Oslo and Copenhagen, part of which was the Nordic NOS-H project ‘The Hellenistic Schools and Their Influence on Medieval and Early Modern Thought’ as well as in the European Science Foundation programme ‘From Natural Philosophy to Science’ which included doctoral training, for example a European summer school for doctoral students.

The basic form of supervision is continuous tutorial guidance. In addition all doctoral students participate in the meetings of their own teams and to the monthly research seminars of the PPMP which are the official seminar of the community and the less formal seminar for junior researchers. Both seminars are open and often have foreign visitors, participants and presenters. Participating in the latter seminar is optional, as distinct from the former one. These arrangements provide regular opportunities
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for meeting members of the community, presenting papers for various audiences from peer groups to international scholars and to learn social structures associated with international philosophy meetings.

Participating in international conferences is part of doctoral training. Doctoral students are encouraged to make longer research visits to foreign research institutes. Half of the present and past doctoral students have studied as short- or long-term visitors at the universities of Oxford, Cambridge, Boston, Cologne, Porto, Uppsala, Strasbourg, Oslo, Paris or New Jersey (Rutgers University).

Collaboration with faculties, departments & graduate schools. The PPMP operates in three faculties and five departments. In spite of this division caused by the traditional structures of the university, the researchers work together very closely. Many of the research themes cut across the usual period and discipline division which also adds to the unity of the multidisciplinary community. The ‘Medieval School’ is part of the teaching curriculum of the Faculty of Theology. The main form of collaboration in doctoral training with other faculties and departments is the joint graduate school ‘Meaning, Language, and Cultural Change.’ Two members of the PPMP have been financed by this school for some years. The PPMP has also co-operated with the Finnish graduate school of theology and the Finnish graduate school of philosophy.

Good practices and quality assurance. The very successful doctoral programme is based on the good research environment and personal supervising culture offered by the PPMP. Doctoral students have a special status as students, but they are also integrated in the activities of the community as much as possible. This is how the good practices and quality assurance of research are extended to doctoral training. Co-operation with the graduate school ‘Meaning, Language, and Cultural Change’, the national graduate schools and the doctoral courses of the faculties also contributes to the quality assurance of doctoral training.

Assuring career perspectives. The high quality of doctoral training and dissertations make the doctors successful in the academic market: of the doctors of the HM and PMP affiliated to Helsinki, three have found a university position in foreign universities and three are university lecturers in Finland. One received a research doctor position at the Academy of Finland, one at the Helsinki Collegium for Advanced Studies and one at the University of Helsinki. Five are postdoc researchers of the community. The PPMP understands that its good international research results also contribute to career options if they help to add state financing to humanist basic research.

RC’s strengths and challenges related to the practises and quality of doctoral training, and the actions planned for their development.

The strength of the doctoral training of the PPMP is effectiveness and high quality of the theses. The main reasons for this are talented students and well-organized training culture. The students usually need more than four years for their Ph.D., which is the Bologna suggestion, but this is understandable because their research subjects are demanding and require special scholarly expertise. The senior researchers are supervising doctoral students also outside the PPMP research community as part of their university teaching duties. The doctoral training of the PPMP has its own profile: all theses are associated with the research focus of the community which aims at providing the students with the skills of excellent scholars in the history of philosophy.
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3 SOCIETAL IMPACT OF RESEARCH AND DOCTORAL TRAINING (MAX. 4400 CHARACTERS WITH SPACES)

- Description of how the RC interacts with and contributes to the society (collaboration with public, private and/or 3rd sector).

The senior members of the PPMP have been very active in international research organizations and institutions: Sihvola has been the director and Mäkinen a deputy director of the Helsinki Collegium for Advanced Studies, Knuuttila and Saarinen having been its board members, Knuuttila is the vice-president of the Institute International de Philosophie (I.I.P.), a section leader in the Academia Europaea, and has been a board member of the ESEMIP. Saarinen is the chairman of the organizing committee of the World Congress of Luther Studies 2012. Many members have international editorial tasks. Knuuttila is the managing editor of the New Synthese Historical Library (Springer) and a co-editor of Ashgate studies for Medieval Philosophy (2005-8) and Lemetti is a co-editor of Hobbes Studies. Memberships in the editorial boards of international book series or journals are also numerous: Knuuttila 7, Saarinen 3, Mäkinen 2, Alanen 1. Markku Roinila maintains the internet page ‘Leibnizian resources’.

In addition to the international societal research activities, the PPMP members have also been active in national research organizations. Knuuttila has been the chairman of the Finnish Academy of Science and Letters and Saarinen the chairman of its theology section. Sihvola has been a member of the Research Council for Culture and Society of the Academy of Finland and the Chairman of the Finnish Institute in Rome. Knuuttila and Saarinen have been chairmen of The Finnish Theological Literature Society. Sihvola has been the managing editor of Historiallinen Aikakauskirja and Virpi Mäkinen an editor of Teologinen Aikakauskirja. Many researchers are members of the editorial boards of Finnish journals or book series.

Despite a very strong focus on top-level academic publication, many members of the PPMP have also popularized research in several books and articles. This has had an important cultural impact. Examples of these activities include books and pamphlets targeted at the general public, translations and commentaries of philosophical classics into Finnish, public lectures directed at general audiences, interviews in newspapers, radio, and television. The members have received several awards and prizes (Ahonen received the Annual prize for an outstanding scientific article in Finnish by Koneen Säätiö in 2010 and the Annual prize for an outstanding doctoral thesis in 2009, Sihvola the Lauri Jäntti Foundation book award 2005, Lehmiö-Gardner the 2008 award from Glossa, and Knuuttila the Nordic Gad Rausing Prize from the Royal Swedish Academy of Letters, History and Antiquities 2008).

The PPMP is the leading Finnish doctoral training community in the area of the history of philosophy. The open seminars also benefit students who are not members of the unit. The PPMP has co-operated in Ph.D. training in the humanities in general, for example in the joint graduate school of the Centre of Excellences in the humanities at the University of Helsinki. It has actively promoted Scandinavian Ph.D. training co-operation between the units in Uppsala, Oslo and Copenhagen, also in the Nordic NOS-H project mentioned above. Co-operation in doctoral training was also part of the ESF programme ‘From Natural Philosophy to Science’ in which the PPMP had an active role.

- Ways to strengthen the societal impact of the RC’s research and doctoral training.

The PPMP has a very strong societal impact. Its members have been very active in international and national research organizations and institutions. While the focus of the community is on scholarly basic research, many members have published books and articles directed at a more general audience, prepared translations and commentaries of philosophical classics into Finnish as well as given public lectures and interviews in newspapers, radio and television. These activities and the prizes and awards have greatly enhanced the general interest in the history of philosophy and the research of the
community. The PPMP is the leading Finnish doctoral training community in the history of philosophy and it has been very active in developing co-operation in this area with philosophy departments in Finland and research units in Scandinavia and Europe.

4 INTERNATIONAL AND NATIONAL (INCL. INTERSECTORAL) RESEARCH COLLABORATION AND RESEARCHER MOBILITY (MAX. 4400 CHARACTERS WITH SPACES)

- Description of the RC’s research collaborations and joint doctoral training activities and how the RC has promoted researcher mobility.

  International research collaboration is facilitated by positions in research organizations and institutions and various editorial tasks. (For details, see 3.) Research co-operation is realized in multilateral international research networks with external funding (a), long-term co-operation with Scandinavian history of philosophy groups (b), co-operation with the members of the advisory boards of the Centre of Excellences (c), other established research connections (d) and numerous conferences organized by the PPMP and active participation in international meetings.

  a. Alanen and Knuuttila were members of the board of the Nordic NOS-H project 'The Hellenistic Schools and Their Influence on Medieval and Early Modern Thought' and Steering Committee members of a European Science Foundation programme 'From Natural Philosophy to Science, many other PPMP researchers being members of both these projects.

  b. Long-term co-operation with Scandinavian research groups: University of Oslo (Prof. Eyjólfur Emilsson), University of Uppsala (Prof. Lilli Alanen, docent Thomas Ekenberg), University of Copenhagen (Prof. Sten Ebbesen).

  c. Permanent co-operation with advisory board members: Prof. David Charles, Oxford; Prof. Joël Biard, Tours; Prof. Julia Anna, Arizona; Dr. Annabel Brett, Cambridge; Dr. John Marenbon, Cambridge.

  d. Research co-operation with other international scholars, e.g., Prof. Martha Nussbaum, Chicago; Prof. Christoph Rapp, Berlin; Prof. Susan James, London; Prof. Edwin Curley, Ann Arbor; Prof. Russ Friedman, Leuven; Prof. Henrik Lagerlund, Western Ontario; Prof. Lauge Nielsen, Copenhagen; Prof. Noel Malcolm, Oxford; Prof. Jaffro, Paris.

  National research co-operation is included in the structure of the PPMP, part of the CoEs acting in the University of Jyväskylä. In addition, all other history of philosophy units in Finland (Prof. Haaparanta, Tampere, Prof. Koistinen, Turku, Prof. Airaksinen, Helsinki) are co-operative partners of the PPMP. Doctoral students and scholars from Jyväskylä, Tampere and Turku continuously participate in the research seminars of the unit.

  Research Mobility. The community has employed foreign scholars (Lokke, Casini, van Duffel, Silva) and has an extensive visiting programme (some 60 foreign visitors). Most Ph.D. students have studied in foreign universities (see 2) and researchers are very active in participating in international and national meetings.

- RC’s strengths and challenges related to research collaboration and researcher mobility, and the actions planned for their development.

  Many members of the community have been active in international research organizations and various editorial tasks which support the extensive research co-operation in multilateral international and Scandinavian research networks as well as in networks with several European and Northern-American scholars, research groups and institutes. The PPMP has organized numerous international conferences and its members attend to international and national meetings very actively. The community has
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employed foreign scholars and most of its members have made part of their research work as short- or long-term visitors at foreign universities. The community is actively seeking for financial sources to offer research periods for younger scholars from abroad at the PPMP.

5 OPERATIONAL CONDITIONS (MAX. 4400 CHARACTERS WITH SPACES)

- Description of the operational conditions in the RC’s research environment (e.g. research infrastructure, balance between research and teaching duties).

The PPMP operates in several institutes at the University of Helsinki which before the university reform were: Systematic Theology (Faculty of Theology), Classics, Theoretical Philosophy, History (Faculty of Humanities), Practical philosophy (Faculty of Social Sciences) and Helsinki Collegium for Advanced Studies. Since 1.1.2010 this structure is reorganized. Being a Centre of Excellence financed by the Academy of Finland and the University of Helsinki, the PPMP is relatively autonomous with respect to the university bureaucracy. All researchers are administratively members of the personnel of the Faculty of Theology, but many of them are working in their home institutions where they have an office with standard university research facilities. All working places are located in the central campus; the joint seminars are held in the university main building. The Faculty of Theology has been very helpful in taking care of various practical matters. Because the PPMP is a research organization with a common research programme, not merely an umbrella group put together for financial reasons, much of its research work takes place in co-operation inside the teams and between the teams. It might be good to have offices in the same building, but working in different departments maintains connections with various disciplines and academic cultures.

Full-time researchers including Ph. D. students have a 5 % teaching duty of overall working time in their home institutions, which means one course (or equivalent) per year (c. 20 hours) about a theme related to their research area. The majority of the researchers are full-time researchers, and the professors and university lecturers have also been longer times free from teaching: Knuttila was an Academy Professor until August 2009, Saarinen (one year) and Mäkinen (two years) Research Fellows at the Helsinki Collegium for Advanced Studies. Mäkinen is an Academy Research Fellow (2007-2012), and both Saarinen and Sihvola had one year research grant from the Academy of Finland. Sihvola was a senior researcher of the PPMP in 2009 and Alanen in 2005. The researchers have a positive attitude toward the teaching duty which is important for their own university career and is also a significant contribution to the teaching offer in the humanities. Researchers present their results regularly in the seminars and discuss them in smaller groups. They also organize themselves international conferences and seminars. The PPMP is a team-work oriented research community and this aspect is strengthened by its two major joint projects, The Handbook of Moral Psychology, edited by Virpi Mäkinen and Taneli Rukkonen, and The Sourcebook of the History of Philosophical Psychology, edited by Simo Knuttila and Juha Sihvola.

- RC’s strengths and challenges related to operational conditions, and the actions planned for their development.

Supporting the Centre of Excellence Programme is part of the strategic plans of the University of Helsinki and the operational frame and facilities offered by the university are good. The institutional conditions serve the teamwork oriented research practice of scholars contributing to a joint programme in a multidisciplinary environment. The CoE is active in two universities, Helsinki and Jyväskylä, which is caused by the fact that scholars from the research community in Helsinki have received permanents positions in Jyväskylä. The co-operation between the teams in Helsinki and Jyväskylä is very close. The two-university structure benefits the humanist basic research in Finland but of course the distance between the cities is also a challenge.
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6 LEADERSHIP AND MANAGEMENT IN THE RESEARCHER COMMUNITY (MAX. 4400 CHARACTERS WITH SPACES)

- Description of the execution and processes of leadership in the RC, how the management-related responsibilities and roles are distributed in the RC and how the leadership- and management-related processes support high quality research, collaboration between principal investigators and other researchers in the RC, the RC’s research focus and strengthening of the RC’s know-how.

The Centre of Excellence (PMP) is directed by Simo Knuuttila (responsible leader) with vice-leaders Virpi Mäkinen and Juha Sihvola. The steering group consists of the team leaders (Sihvola: ancient; Yrjönsuuri and Kukkonen: medieval; Saarinen: renaissance and reformation; Virpi Mäkinen: early modern). The scientific secretary is Jussi Varkemaa. The title of each team expresses its main research orientation – individual researchers of medieval philosophy may for pragmatic reasons belong to renaissance or early modern groups and vice versa. The team led by Yrjönsuuri and Kukkonen works mainly in Jyväskylä and does not belong to this evaluation.

All important scientific and administrative decisions are made in the regular meetings of the steering group. This enhances transparency and mutual communication among the teams. Minor issues are taken care by the responsible leader, the vice-leaders, and the scientific secretary. Some activities closely associated to one team, for example organizing specific seminars, are delegated to individual team leaders. The team leaders discuss practical questions with the team members in team meetings and in personal conversations. Researchers are encouraged to learn and practice administrative skills, in particular through organizing international conferences and other seminars. General practical issues are also dealt with in the regular monthly seminars of the whole community.

The general guidelines of the research are decided by the steering group. The team leaders are responsible for the scientific leadership of their own teams, taking care of the progress and quality of research in accordance with the research programme of the community, adequate postdoc guidance and doctoral supervision. Various forms of teamwork are encouraged inside the teams and between them. This research culture is supported by the two large joint enterprises in which researchers from all teams participate and which are planned in general discussions with all researchers: The Handbook of Moral Psychology and The Sourcebook of the History of Philosophical Psychology.

One special feature of the organization of the PMP is the monthly seminar structure. There are two monthly seminars: the official research seminar for the whole community in the afternoon of the last Friday of every month, and the history of philosophy seminar for junior researchers which is organized by them. These seminars provide an opportunity to meet the members of the community and international and national visitors as well as to present and discuss papers with other researchers. The working language in all seminars and main publications is English. The PMP’s publication strategy strongly supports to publish in high quality international journals and leading scientific publishers. The PMP covers all the costs of language revision by native speakers at the Language Centre of the University of Helsinki.

- RC’s strengths and challenges related to leadership and management, and the actions planned for developing the processes.

The present organization of the PMP is very effective. The co-operation between the leader and vice-leaders is regular and the steering group has meetings when needed, often once in month. The scientific secretary takes care of current practical matters. The combination of various joint activities in the whole community or in teams and the extensive international and national co-operation supports the high quality of research. The financing period of the present community is 2008-2013. Having educated a
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A group of highly qualified researchers in its area, the PPMP is very motivated to continue its activity after 2013 and actively considers the options after the next three years.

7 EXTERNAL COMPETITIVE FUNDING OF THE RC

- **Listing of the RCs external competitive funding**, where:
  - the funding decisions have been made during 1.1.2005-31.12.2010, and
  - the administrator of the funding is/has been the University of Helsinki

- **Academy of Finland (AF)** - total amount of funding (in euros) AF has decided to allocate to the RC members during 1.1.2005-31.12.2010: 4162000

- **Finnish Funding Agency for Technology and Innovation (TEKES)** - total amount of funding (in euros) TEKES has decided to allocate to the RC members during 1.1.2005-31.12.2010:

- **European Union (EU)** - total amount of funding (in euros) EU has decided to allocate to the RC members during 1.1.2005-31.12.2010:

- **European Research Council (ERC)** - total amount of funding (in euros) ERC has decided to allocate to the RC members during 1.1.2005-31.12.2010:

- **International and national foundations** - names of international and national foundations which have decided to allocate funding to the RC members during 1.1.2005-31.12.2010, and the amount of their funding (in euros).
  - names of the foundations: Emil Aaltonen Foundation, Kone Foundation, Finnish Cultural Foundation, Alfred Kordelin Foundation
  - total amount of funding (in euros) from the above-mentioned foundations: 178300

- **Other international funding** - names of other international funding organizations which have decided to allocate funding to the RC members during 1.1.2005-31.12.2010, and the amount of their funding (in euros).
  - names of the funding organizations:
  - total amount of funding (in euros) from the above-mentioned funding organizations:

- **Other national funding** (incl. EVO funding and Ministry of Education and Culture funded doctoral programme positions) - names of other national funding organizations which have decided to allocate funding to the RC members during 1.1.2005-31.12.2010, and the amount of their funding (in euros).
  - names of the funding organizations: Finnish graduate school of theology, Finnish graduate school of philosophy
  - total amount of funding (in euros) from the above-mentioned funding organizations: 80000

8 RC’S STRATEGIC ACTION PLAN FOR 2011–2013 (MAX. 4400 CHARACTERS WITH SPACES)

- **Description of the RC’s future perspectives in respect to research and doctoral training.**
  An important task is the edition of The Handbook of Moral Psychology which will be the first international work in this field and draws together many of the results of the group’s researchers.
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book will be edited by Virpi Mäkinen and Taneli Kukkonen, other contributors being leading
international scholars, including the members of the advisory board of the PPMP, many of its members,
and other foreign scholars. The innovative structure of this ambitious work is based on the research of
the community in 2008-2010. The Handbook work is of great scientific significance and also important
for the inner dynamics of the community as its joint enterprise. One of the main international events in
2011-2013 is the workshop in which the contributors to the Handbook will be present the first versions
of their chapters. This is useful for the book project and also very stimulating for the members of the
community.

The themes on which ongoing research work continues include 1. the concept of self-consciousness and
its ethical relevance in ancient, medieval Arabic and Latin philosophy, and early modern thought, 2.
Religious experience, authority, and toleration in ancient philosophy and in Islamic thought, 3. Gender
issues in ancient, medieval and early modern moral psychology, 4. Augustinian and other non-
Aristotelian themes in medieval Latin moral psychology, 5. Late medieval and early modern psychology
of rights and sociability, 6. Will, virtues, and emotions from Renaissance to Hume, 7. Friedship, self-
interest and self-love in early modern political philosophy. Most works published during the last three
years concentrate on these themes which many junior researchers also deal with in preparing
international monographs based on their Ph.D. thesis. In addition there will be new projects during the
next years which are included in the research plan of the PPMP but been less attended to until now: the
discrepancy between the ideal and reality in ancient and medieval social psychology, the impact of the
Spanish neo-scholasticism on early modern views of psychology in morality and politics, and early
modern discussions of the historical development of moral and social abilities. These are innovative
research plans of considerable scientific significance.

The PPMP is not planning to make any principal changes with respect to its ambitious publication
policy and its practice in issues related to societal impact and management. Because the unit can make
employment contracts only for the financial period 2011-2013 and these are for the most part fixed,
recruiting new members is not a central issue before positive financing decisions for the time after 2013
are made. The PPMP community is, however, actively considering its future after 2013 as well as various
financial possibilities.

The advisory board’s report from June 2010 summarized as follows: “The co-operation in so many
directions that characterizes this CoE – between Helsinki and Jyväskylä, between classicists, medievalists
and specialists in the 16th and 17th centuries, between theologians, philosophers, historians and literary
specialists, and between Finnish students and scholars and those from other Nordic countries, from
Europe more widely and from the US and Australia – is an achievement of great worth, which it would
be foolish to lose by allowing such a CoE to disappear.” This is also the attitude of the PPMP community
which works hard to guarantee that a closely related successor will be allowed to continue. Based on the
work of the PPMP, it might make an outstanding contribution to work in ancient, medieval and early
modern philosophy and intellectual history and also represent this kind of scholarship in the Finnish
research environment and culture in general.

SHORT DESCRIPTION OF HOW THE RC MEMBERS HAVE CONTRIBUTED TO THE COMPILATION OF THE STAGE 2
MATERIALS (MAX. 1100 CHARACTERS WITH SPACES).

All members were informed of the evaluation and they have fulfilled the Tuhat questionnaires
pertaining to individual researchers. The stage 2 e-forms are prepared by the steering group in co-
operation with the scientific secretary. Some details are discussed with other members of the
community.
1 Analysis of publications

- Associated person is one of Tuomo Aho , Tuomo.Aho@helsinki.fi, Marke Pauliina Ahonen , Marke.Ahonen@helsinki.fi, Malin Grahm, malin.grahm@helsinki.fi, Olli Tapio Hallermäki , Olli.Hallermaki@helsinki.fi, Tiina Holopainen , Tiina.Holopainen@helsinki.fi, Toivo Holopainen , Toivo.Holopainen@helsinki.fi, Timo Kaitarö, Timo.Kaitarö@helsinki.fi, Eemeli Kamme, Eemeli.Kamme@helsinki.fi, Peikus Kaislärinen, Peikus.Kaislarinen@helsinki.fi, Simo Knuuttila, Simo.Knuuttila@helsinki.fi, Minna Kristiina Kotronen, minna.k.kotronen@helsinki.fi, Pentti Korhman, Pentti.Korhman@helsinki.fi, Maia Lahnijoki-Gardner, maia.lahnijokigardner@helsinki.fi, Johanna Leinemäki, johanna.leinemaki@helsinki.fi, Urvi Mäkinen, Urvi.Makinen@helsinki.fi, Heikki Mäkelä, Heikki.Makela@helsinki.fi, Timo Nisula, timo.nisula@helsinki.fi, Ilse Paakkinen, ilse.paakkinen@helsinki.fi, Riku Palmén, Riku.Palmen@helsinki.fi, Ville Paakkinen, ville.paakkinen@helsinki.fi, Jussi Varkemaa, Jussi.Varkemaa@helsinki.fi, Jussi Varkemaa, Jussi.Varkemaa@helsinki.fi, Jussi Varkemaa, Jussi.Varkemaa@helsinki.fi, Jussi Varkemaa, Jussi.Varkemaa@helsinki.fi

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Publication Year</th>
<th>2005</th>
<th>2006</th>
<th>2007</th>
<th>2008</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>Total Count 2005 - 2010</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A1 Refereed journal article</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2 Review in scientific journal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A3 Contribution to book/other compilations (refereed)</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>196</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A4 Article in conference publication (refereed)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B1 Unrefereed journal article</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B2 Contribution to book/other compilations (non-refereed)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B3 Unrefereed article in conference proceedings</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C1 Published scientific monograph</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C2 Edited book, compilation, conference proceeding or special issue of journal</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D1 Article in professional journal</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D2 Article in professional hand or guide book or in a professional data system, or test book material</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D3 Text book or professional handbook or guidebook or dictionary</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E1 Popular article, newspaper article</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E2 Popular monograph</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2 Listing of publications

A1 Refereed journal article

2005


Nisula, T 2005, "Time has passed since you sent your letter": letter phraseology in 1 and 2 Maccabees, Journal for the study of the pseudepigrapha: JSP., pp. 201-222.


Saarinen, R 2005, 'Ecumenism according to Evangelical Lutheran understanding': a statement of the United Evangelical Lutheran Church in Germany', Pro Ecclesia: a journal of Catholic and Evangelical theology., vol 14, no. 2, pp. 133-142.


2006


Holopainen, TM 2006, 'The will and akratic action in William Ockham and John Duns Scotus', Documenti e studi sulla tradizione filosofica medievale: An international journal on the philosophical tradition from late antiquity to the late midd, vol 17, pp. 405-425.


2007
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PPMP/Knuuttila


2008


2009
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2010


A2 Review in scientific journal

2008


2010


A3 Contribution to book/other compilations (refereed)

2005
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PPMP/Knuuttila


Reuter, M 2005, 'Mary Wollstonecraft on love and friendship', Philosophical aspects on emotions, Thales, Stockholm, pp. 119-139.


2006


Holopainen, TJ 2006, 'Future contingents in the eleventh century', Mind and modality, Brill, Leiden, pp. 103-120.


Knuuttila, S 2006, 'Medieval theories of future contingents', Stanford encyclopedia of philosophy, Stanford university, [Stanford, Calif.].


Saarinen, R. 2006, 'Weakness of will: philosophical and theological theories of action', Intellett e imagazione dans la philosophie moderne, Brepols, Turnhout, pp. 53-71.


Saarinen, R 2008, 'Teologia', in V Hirvonen, R Saarinen (eds), Keskiajan filosofia, Gaudeamus, Helsinki, pp. 190-211.
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2009


A4 Article in conference publication (refereed)

2005


2006


Tuominen, M 2006, Kommentar på Øyvind Rabbås: Reasoning towards Happiness: Aristotle on Ethical Delegation.,

2007


B1 Unrefereed journal article

2005

Holopainen, T 2005, ‘[Book review]’, Philosophy in review., vol 25, no. 6, pp. 400-403.
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2006

2007

2008

2009
Vainio, O 2009, 'Voco Jumalaa ymmärtää?: Sij comprehenderis, non est Deus - Augustinus (Sermo 52, 16)', Perusta, no. 2, pp. 72-77.

2010
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2007


2008


2009


B2 Contribution to book/other compilations (non-refereed)

2005


2006
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Tuominen, M 2010, Filosofian anti: tietoa vai terapiaa?, in H Kovalainen, H Rydenfelt (eds), Mitä on filosofia?.


B3 Unrefereed article in conference proceedings

2006


2010

Kaitaro, T 2010, Le surréalisme comme réalisme ouvert.

C1 Published scientific monograph

2005


2006


2007

Lehmijoki-Gardner, M 2007, Kristillinen mystiikka: läntinen perinne antiikista uudelle aikoihin, Kirjapaja, Helsinki.


2008


Saarinen, R 2008, The Pastoral Epistles with Philemon & Jude, Brazos theological commentary on the Bible, Brazos Press, Grand Rapids, MI.

Vainio, O 2008, Luther, Kristinuskon vaikuttajat, WSOY, Helsinki.

Vainio, O 2008, Justification and participation in Christ: the development of the Lutheran doctrine of justification from Luther to the Formula of concord (1580), Studies in medieval and Reformation traditions, no. vol. 130, Brill, Leiden.
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PPMP/Knuuttila

2009

2010

C2 Edited book, compilation, conference proceeding or special issue of journal

2005

2006
McLeod, H, Saarinen, R, Lauha, A 2006. North European churches from the Cold War to Globalisation, Publication / Church Research Institute, no. vol. 56, Church Research Institute, [Tampere].

2007
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2008


2009


2010


Vainio, O (ed.) 2010, Engaging Luther: a (New) Theological Assessment, Cascade, Eugene, OR.

D1 Article in professional journal

2006


2007


D2 Article in professional hand or guide book or in a professional data system, or text book material

2007


2008
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2009


2010


D5 Text book or professional handbook or guidebook or dictionary

2006


2007


2008


2009


Saarinen, R 2009, Reformaation tunnustukset, Suomalaisen teologisen kirjallisuusseuran julkaisuja, Suomalainen teologinen kirjallisuus, Helsinki.

2010


E1 Popular article, newspaper article

2005


Vainio, O 2005, Kirja-arvostelu, Perusta, no. 5, pp. 300-301.

2006
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2007


2008


2009

2010
Reuter, M. 2010, ‘Statitsa folk: Kolumn’, Ny Tid, no. 34.
E1 Popular contribution to book/other compilations

2005


2006

Niiniluoto, I, Knuuttila, S, Theisjäpp, H, Siitola, J 2006, 'Eispuhe, Sielusta ; Pieniä tutkielmia ; Eläinten liikkeestä ; Teokset / Aristoteles, no. 5, Gaudeamus, Helsinki, pp. 5.


2010


E2 Popular monograph

2006
Saarinen, R 2006, Lahjan henki, Kirjapaja, Helsinki.

2010
Roinila, M (ed.) 2010, Miten meistä tuli filosofian tohtoreita, Suomen Filosofinen Yhdistys ry, Helsinki.
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1 Analysis of activities 2005-2010

- Associated person is one of Tuomo Aho, Tuomo.Aho@helsinki.fi, Marke Pauliina Ahonen, Marke.Ahonen@helsinki.fi, Malin Gränm, malin.græhn@helsinki.fi, Olli Tapio Hallamaa, Olli.Hallamaa@helsinki.fi, Kalevi Kuusela, Kalevi.Kuusela@helsinki.fi, Jari Viholainen, Jari.Viholainen@helsinki.fi, Toivo Holopainen, Toivo.Holopainen@helsinki.fi, Toivo Holopainen, Toivo.Holopainen@helsinki.fi, Jorma Koven, Jorma.Koven@helsinki.fi, Teemu Kovanen, Teemu.Kovanen@helsinki.fi, Petter Krokman, Petter.Krokman@helsinki.fi, Miitta Lehtimäki-Gardner, miitta.levtimo-gardner@helsinki.fi, Ulla Laitinen, ulla.laitinen@helsinki.fi, Virpi Mäkinen, virpi.mäkinen@helsinki.fi, Heikki Metsälä, heikki.metsala@helsinki.fi, Tiina Nissi, tiina.nissi@helsinki.fi, Ilse Paakkinen, ilse.paakkinen@helsinki.fi, Ritva Palmen, ritva.palmen@helsinki.fi, Ville Paukkonen, ville.paukkonen@helsinki.fi, Ville Paukkonen, ville.paukkonen@helsinki.fi, Jussi Varkemaa, jussi.varkemaa@helsinki.fi

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity type</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Supervisor or co-supervisor of doctoral thesis</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prizes and awards</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Editor of research journal</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Editor of research anthology/collection/conference proceedings</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peer review of manuscripts</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Editor of communication journal</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Editor of series</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assessment of candidates for academic posts</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Membership or other role in review committee</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Membership or other role in research network</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Membership or other role in national/international committee, council, board</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Membership or other role in public Finnish or international organization</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Membership or other role of body in private company/organisation</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participation in interview for written media</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participation in radio programme</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participation in TV programme</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participation in interview for web based media</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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2 Listing of activities 2005-2010

Supervisor or co-supervisor of doctoral thesis

Timo Kaitaro , Timo.Kaitaro@helsinki.fi

Pekka Kärkkäinen , Pekka.Karkkainen@helsinki.fi
Väitöskirjatyön ohjaus, Pekka Kärkkäinen, 01.09.2006 → ...

Simo Knuuttila , Simo.Knuuttila@helsinki.fi
Supervision of doctoral thesis completed 2005, Simo Knuuttila, 2005, Finland
Supervision of doctoral thesis completed 2010, Simo Knuuttila, 2005 → 2010
Supervision of doctoral thesis completed 2010, Simo Knuuttila, 2006 → 2010, Finland
Supervision of doctoral thesis, Simo Knuuttila, 2009 → 2011, Finland
Supervision of doctoral thesis, Simo Knuuttila, 2010 → ...
Supervision of doctoral thesis, Simo Knuuttila, 2010 → ...
supervision of doctoral thesis, Simo Knuuttila, 2010 → ..., Finland
supervision of doctoral thesis, Simo Knuuttila, 2010 → ..., Finland

Maiju Lehmijoki-Gardner , maiju.lehmijoki-gardner@helsinki.fi

Heikki Mikkeli , Heikki.Mikke@helsinki.fi

Martina Reuter , Martina.Reuter@helsinki.fi
Supervision of Doctoral thesis, Martina Reuter, 2008 → ..., Finland

Risto Saarinen , Risto.Saarinen@helsinki.fi
diss supervisor, Risto Saarinen, 2005 → 2007
diss supervisor, Risto Saarinen, 2005 → 2006
diss supervisor, Risto Saarinen, 2005
diss supervisor, Risto Saarinen, 2005 → 2008
diss supervisor, Risto Saarinen, 2005 → 2010
diss supervisor, Risto Saarinen, 2005 → 2010
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diss supervisor, Risto Saarinen, 2005
diss supervisor, Risto Saarinen, 2005 → 2010
diss supervisor, Risto Saarinen, 2005 → ...
diss supervisor, Risto Saarinen, 2005 → ...
diss supervisor, Risto Saarinen, 2005 → ...
diss supervisor, Risto Saarinen, 2005 → ...
diss supervisor, Risto Saarinen, 2005 → ...
diss supervisor, Risto Saarinen, 2005 → ...
diss supervisor, Risto Saarinen, 2005 → ...
diss supervisor, Risto Saarinen, 2005 → ...
diss supervisor, Risto Saarinen, 2005 → ...
diss supervisor, Risto Saarinen, 2005 → ...
diss supervisor, Risto Saarinen, 2005 → ...

Miira Tuominen , Miira.Tuominen@helsinki.fi

Prizes and awards

Marke Pauliina Ahonen , Marke.Ahonen@helsinki.fi

Helsingin yliopiston väitöskirjapalkinto, Marke Pauliina Ahonen, 2009

Simo Knuuttila , Simo.Knuuttila@helsinki.fi

the Nordic Gad Rausing Prize from the Royal Swedish Academy of Letters, History and Antiquities, Simo Knuuttila, 2008, Sweden

Virpi Mäkinen , Virpi.Makinen@helsinki.fi

Ylisäät / Head Marshal / Doctoral promotion, Faculty of Theology, Virpi Mäkinen, 2006

Ilse Paakkinen , ilse.paakkinen@helsinki.fi

Helsingin yliopiston Työskentelävä 15 000 euroa. Väitöskirjatyöön., Ilse Paakkinen, 07.03.2008
Suomen Kulttuurirahasto 21 000 euroa. Christine de Pizanin oikeuskäsitystä käsittelevään väitöskirjatyöhön., Ilse Paakkinen, 27.02.2009

Editor of research journal

Tuomo Aho , Tuomo.Aho@helsinki.fi

Truth and Games, Tuomo Aho, 01.01.2005 → 31.12.2005, Finland
Truth and Games (Acta Philosophica Fennica 78), Tuomo Aho, 01.01.2006 → 31.12.2006, Finland

G.W. Leibniz, Valitut teokset (suom.), Tuomo Aho, 01.01.2007 → 31.12.2007

Olli Tapio Hallamaa , Olli.Hallamaa@helsinki.fi , olli.hallamaa@helsinki.fi
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Simo Knuuttila, Simo.Knuuttila@helsinki.fi


Medieval Philosophy and Theology, Simo Knuuttila, 01.01.2005 → 31.12.2005, United Kingdom

Medieval and Early Modern Science, Simo Knuuttila, 01.01.2005 → 31.12.2005, Netherlands


Teologinen aikakauskirja, Simo Knuuttila, 01.01.2005 → 31.12.2005, Finland

The New Synthese Historical Library, Simo Knuuttila, 01.01.2005 → 31.12.2005, Netherlands

Acta Philosophica Fennica, Simo Knuuttila, 01.01.2006 → 31.12.2006, Finland

Ashgate Studies in Medieval Philosophy, Simo Knuuttila, 01.01.2006 → 31.12.2006, United Kingdom

Medieval Philosophy and Theology, Simo Knuuttila, 01.01.2006 → 31.12.2006, United Kingdom

Medieval and Early Modern Science, Simo Knuuttila, 01.01.2006 → 31.12.2006, Netherlands

Studies in the History of Philosophy of Mind, Simo Knuuttila, 01.01.2006 → 31.12.2006, Netherlands

Suomalaisen teologisen kirjallisuusseuran julkaisuja, Simo Knuuttila, 01.01.2006 → 31.12.2006, Finland

Teologinen aikakauskirja, Simo Knuuttila, 01.01.2006 → 31.12.2006, Finland

The New Synthese Historical Library, Simo Knuuttila, 01.01.2006 → 31.12.2006, Netherlands

Acta Philosophica Fennica, Simo Knuuttila, 01.01.2007 → 31.12.2007, Finland

Ashgate Studies in Medieval Philosophy, Simo Knuuttila, 01.01.2007 → 31.12.2007, United Kingdom

Documenti e studi sulla tradizione filosofica medievale, Simo Knuuttila, 01.01.2007 → 31.12.2007, Italy

Medieval and Early Modern Science, Simo Knuuttila, 01.01.2007 → 31.12.2007, Netherlands

Studies in the History of Philosophy of Mind, Simo Knuuttila, 01.01.2007 → 31.12.2007, Netherlands

Suomalaisen teologisen kirjallisuusseuran julkaisuja, Simo Knuuttila, 01.01.2007 → 31.12.2007, Finland

Teologinen aikakauskirja, Simo Knuuttila, 01.01.2007 → 31.12.2007, Finland

The New Synthese Historical Library, Simo Knuuttila, 01.01.2007 → 31.12.2007, Netherlands


Documenti e studi sulla tradizione filosofica medievale, Simo Knuuttila, 01.01.2008 → 31.12.2008, Italy

Investigating Medieval Philosophy (Brill), Simo Knuuttila, 01.01.2008 → 31.12.2008, Netherlands

Medieval and Early Modern Science (Brill), Simo Knuuttila, 01.01.2008 → 31.12.2008, Netherlands

Studies in the History of Philosophy of Mind (Springer), Simo Knuuttila, 01.01.2008 → 31.12.2008, Netherlands

Suomalaisen teologisen kirjallisuusseuran julkaisuja, Simo Knuuttila, 01.01.2008 → 31.12.2008, Finland

Teologinen aikakauskirja, Simo Knuuttila, 01.01.2008 → 31.12.2008, Finland

The New Synthese Historical Library (Springer), Simo Knuuttila, 01.01.2008 → 31.12.2008, Netherlands

Theoria, Simo Knuuttila, 01.01.2008 → 31.12.2008, Sweden

Juhana Lemetti, juhana.lemetti@helsinki.fi

Hobbes Studies, Juhana Lemetti, 01.10.2006 → 31.12.2010


Hobbes Studies, Juhana Lemetti, 01.01.2007 → 31.12.2007


Philosophia: Philosophical Quarterly of Israel, Juhana Lemetti, 01.01.2008 → 31.12.2008, Israel

Virpi Mäkinen, Virpi.Makinen@helsinki.fi

Teologinen aikakauskirja, toimitaja / Finnish Journal of Theology, editor, Virpi Mäkinen, 01.01.2004 → ..., Finland
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Historiallinen Aikakauskirja, referee / Finnish Journal of History, referee, Virpi Mäkinen, 01.01.2007 → 31.12.2007, Finland
Teologinen Akademiakirja, toimittaja / Finnish Journal of Theology, editor, Virpi Mäkinen, 01.01.2007 → 31.12.2007, Finland
Teologinen Akademiakirja, toimittaja / Finnish Journal of Theology, editor, Virpi Mäkinen, 01.01.2008 → 31.12.2008, Finland

Heikki Mikkel, Heikki.Mikkel@helsinki.fi

Intellectual History Review, Heikki Mikkel, 01.01.2007 → 31.12.2007
Isis, Heikki Mikkel, 01.01.2007 → 31.12.2007
Intellectual History Review, Heikki Mikkel, 01.01.2008 → 31.12.2008, United Kingdom

Ilse Paakkinen, ilse.paakkinen@helsinki.fi

Koskemattomuus ihmisoikeutena. Länsimaisen ja intialaisen koskemattomuuskäsitteen vertailua., Ilse Paakkinen, 01.01.2008 → 31.12.2008, Finland

Risto Saarinen, Risto.Saarinen@helsinki.fi

Theophilos, Risto Saarinen, 01.01.1999 → ..., Brazil
Dialog, Risto Saarinen, 01.01.2003 → ..., United States
Pro Ecclesia, Risto Saarinen, 01.01.2007 → ..., United States
Berliner Theologische Zeitschrift, Risto Saarinen, 2009 → ...
Neue Zeitschrift für systematische Theologie, Risto Saarinen, 2009 → ...

Miira Tuominen, Miira.Tuominen@helsinki.fi


Editor of research anthology/collection/conference proceedings

Timo Kaitaro, Timo.Kaitaro@helsinki.fi


Virpi Mäkinen, Virpi.Makinen@helsinki.fi

Lutheran Reformation and the Law, editor, Virpi Mäkinen, 01.01.2006 → 31.12.2006, Belgium
Transformations in Medieval and Early-Modern Rights Discourse, editor, Virpi Mäkinen, 01.01.2006 → 31.12.2006, Netherlands
The Nature of Rights, editor, Virpi Mäkinen, 2010

Peer review of manuscripts

Toivo Holopainen, Toivo.Holopainen@helsinki.fi

Fordham University Press, Toivo Holopainen, 2007, United States

Timo Kaitaro, Timo.Kaitaro@helsinki.fi

Brain Research Bulletin, Timo Kaitaro, 12.2019 → ...

Simo Knuuttila, Simo.Knuuttila@helsinki.fi

Journal of the History of Ideas, Simo Knuuttila, 2008, United States
Journal of Philosophical Logic, Simo Knuuttila, 2009, Netherlands
Oxford University Press, Simo Knuuttila, 2009, United Kingdom
Springer, Simo Knuuttila, 2009, Netherlands
Cambridge University Press, Simo Knuuttila, 2010, United Kingdom
Oxford University Press, Simo Knuuttila, 2010, United Kingdom
INTERNATIONAL EVALUATION OF RESEARCH AND DOCTORAL TRAINING AT THE UNIVERSITY OF HELSINKI

RC-SPECIFIC TUHAT COMPILATIONS OF OTHER SCIENTIFIC ACTIVITIES 2005-2010

Juhana Lemetti , juhana.lemetti@helsinki.fi
Philosophia: Philosophical Quarterly of Israel, Juhana Lemetti, 2008 → …, Israel
Theoria, Juhana Lemetti, 2010 → …, Sweden

Virpi Mäkinen , Virpi.Makinen@helsinki.fi
referee, Virpi Mäkinen, 2005 → …

Markku Roinila , Markku.Roinila@helsinki.fi
Routledge (Glenn Hartz: Leibniz’s Final System), Markku Roinila, 2004 → …
Tieto Tapahtuu, Markku Roinila, 2005
WSOY (Marjo T. Numelai: Tiedon tyytäret), Markku Roinila, 2007

Sami-Juhani Savonius-Wroth , sami-juhani.savonius@helsinki.fi
Reviews of submissions for, inter alia, Historical Journal, Historical Research, Journal of the History of Ideas, Sami-Juhani Savonius-Wroth, 2006 → …

Miira Tuominen , Miira.Tuominen@helsinki.fi
SATS, Miira Tuominen, 01.12.2008 → …

Olli-Pekka Vainio , oliv-pekka.vainio@helsinki.fi
Reviewer, Brill Academic Publishers, Olli-Pekka Vainio, 2010

Editor of communication journal
Martina Reuter , Martina.Reuter@helsinki.fi
Board of Editors, Martina Reuter, 2008 → …, Finland

Editor of series
Simo Knuuttila , Simo.Knuuttila@helsinki.fi
Ashgate Studies in Medieval Philosophy, Simo Knuuttila, 01.01.2005 → 31.12.2005, United Kingdom
Acta Philosophica Fennica, Simo Knuuttila, 2010, Finland
Documenti e studi sulla tradizione filosofica medievale, Simo Knuuttila, 2010, Italy
Investigating Medieval Philosophy, Simo Knuuttila, 2010, Netherlands
Medieval and Early Modern Science, Simo Knuuttila, 2010, Netherlands
Studies in the History of Philosophy of Mind, Simo Knuuttila, 2010, Netherlands
Teologinen Aikakauskirja, Simo Knuuttila, 2010, Finland
The New Synthese Historical Library, Simo Knuuttila, 2010, Netherlands
Theoria, Simo Knuuttila, 2010, United Kingdom

Risto Saarinen , Risto.Saarinen@helsinki.fi
Suomalaisen Teologisen Kirjallisuusseuran julkaisuja, Risto Saarinen, 2010 → …

Assessment of candidates for academic posts
Toivo Holopainen , Toivo.Holopainen@helsinki.fi
Assessment for docentship: Pekka Kärkkäinen, Toivo Holopainen, 2006, Finland

Ilmari Karimies , Ilmari.Karimies@helsinki.fi
Board for the estimation of teaching skills: Docenture application of Juha Ahvio, Ilmari Karimies, 2009

Virpi Mäkinen , Virpi.Makinen@helsinki.fi
Scientific evaluator, Philosophy, University of Uppsala, Virpi Mäkinen, 2009, Sweden
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Martina Reuter, Martina.Reuter@helsinki.fi

Hiring committee for the lecturership in the philosophy of religion, Martina Reuter, 2009

Membership or other role in review committee
Simo Knuuttila, Simo.Knuuttila@helsinki.fi
Programme Committee, Simo Knuuttila, 2010, Sweden
Risto Saarinen, Risto.Saarinen@helsinki.fi
Itävallan tiedeakatemia, arviointipaneeli, Risto Saarinen, 01.01.2007 → 31.12.2007, Austria
University of Copenhagen, huippuyksikön arviointipaneeli, Risto Saarinen, 01.01.2007 → 31.12.2007, Denmark
refor DFG, Risto Saarinen, 2010 → ...
Miira Tuominen, Miira.Tuominen@helsinki.fi
Israel Science Foundation, Miira Tuominen, 01.04.2010 → ...

Membership or other role in research network
Ilmari Karimies, Ilmari.Karimies@helsinki.fi
Membership: Nordic Luther Network, Ilmari Karimies, 2004 → ...
Juhana Lemetti, juhana.lemetti@helsinki.fi
Filosofian valtakunnallinen tutkijaokulu, Juhana Lemetti, 01.01.2005 → 31.12.2005, Finland
Olli-Pekka Vainio, olli-peetka.vainio@helsinki.fi
Secretary of Luther-Agricola-Society, Olli-Pekka Vainio, 01.01.2002 → 31.12.2005, Finland
Sören Kierkegaardin teosten käännöstyöryhmä, Olli-Pekka Vainio, 01.06.2007 → ..., Finland

Membership or other role in national/international committee, council, board
Malin Grahn, malin.grahn@helsinki.fi
Tutkijakollegiumin johtoryhmä, Malin Grahn, 09.2010 → ..., Finland
Olli Tapio Hallamaa, Olli.Hallamaa@helsinki.fi, olli.hallamaa@helsinki.fi
Luther-Agricola-Seura, Olli Tapio Hallamaa, 01.01.2007 → 31.12.2007, Finland
Luther-Agricola-Seura, Olli Tapio Hallamaa, 01.01.2008 → 31.12.2008, Finland
Kirjalliskokouksen puolestaarviointiryhma, Olli Tapio Hallamaa, 01.01.2010 → 31.05.2010
Timo Kaitaro, Timo.Kaitaro@helsinki.fi
Suomen 1700-luvun tutkimuksen seura ry, Timo Kaitaro, 01.01.2007 → 31.12.2007, Finland
Ilmari Karimies, Ilmari.Karimies@helsinki.fi
Secretary to the Roman Catholic - Lutheran Dialogue Group for Sweden and Finland, Ilmari Karimies, 2006 → 2010
Pekka Kärkkäinen, Pekka.Karkkainen@helsinki.fi
Jäsennys luterilais-helmentalaisessa neuvottelukunnassa, Pekka Kärkkäinen, 01.01.2010 → ...
Simo Knuuttila, Simo.Knuuttila@helsinki.fi
Institut International de Philosophie (IIP), Commission des Textes, Philosophie et communauté mondiale’, Simo Knuuttila, 01.01.2005 → 31.12.2005, France
Suomalainen Teologiin Kirjallisuusseura, Simo Knuuttila, 01.01.2005 → 31.12.2005, Finland
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Suomalainen Tiedeakatemia, Simo Knuuttila, 01.01.2005 → 31.12.2005, Finland

The European Science Foundation Scientific Programme "From Natural Philosophy to Science", Simo Knuuttila, 01.01.2005 → 31.12.2005, France

Institut International de Philosophie (IIP), Commission des Textes, Philosophie et communauté mondiale, Simo Knuuttila, 01.01.2006 → 31.12.2006, France

Mielen historian tutkimusyksikkö, Suomen Akatemian huippuyksikkö, Simo Knuuttila, 01.01.2006 → 31.12.2006, Finland

Suomalainen Teologinen Kirjallisuusseura, Simo Knuuttila, 01.01.2006 → 31.12.2006, Finland

Suomalainen Tiedeakatemia, Simo Knuuttila, 01.01.2006 → 31.12.2006, Finland

The European Science Foundation Scientific Programme "From Natural Philosophy to Science", Simo Knuuttila, 01.01.2006 → 31.12.2006, France

Helsingin yliopiston tutkijakollegium, Simo Knuuttila, 01.01.2007 → 31.12.2007, Finland

Institut International de Philosophie (IIP), Commission des Textes, Philosophie et communauté mondiale, Simo Knuuttila, 01.01.2007 → 31.12.2007, France

Kyproksen yliopisto, virantäytökomitetin jäsen (prof. Schabel), Simo Knuuttila, 01.01.2007 → 31.12.2007, Finland

Kööpenhaminan yliopisto, huippuyksikköohjelman arviointipaneelin jäsen, Simo Knuuttila, 01.01.2007 → 31.12.2007, Finland

Mielen historia, Suomen Akatemian huippuyksikkö, Simo Knuuttila, 01.01.2007 → 31.12.2007, Finland

Suomalainen Teologinen Kirjallisuusseura, Simo Knuuttila, 01.01.2007 → 31.12.2007, Finland

The European Science Foundation Scientific Programme "From Natural Philosophy to Science", Simo Knuuttila, 01.01.2007 → 31.12.2007, Finland

Uppsalan yliopisto, tieteellisen arvioinnin 6. paneelin jäsen, Simo Knuuttila, 01.01.2007 → 31.12.2007, Finland

European Society for Early Modern Philosophy (ESEMP), Simo Knuuttila, 01.01.2008 → 31.12.2008, Finland

Filosofisen psykologian, moraalin ja politiikan tutkimuksen huippuyksikkö 2008-2013 (Suomen Akatemia), Simo Knuuttila, 01.01.2008 → 31.12.2008, Finland

Helsingin yliopisto, Tutkijakollegium, Simo Knuuttila, 01.01.2008 → 31.12.2008, Finland

Institut International de Philosophie (IIP), Commission des Textes, Philosophie et communauté mondiale, Simo Knuuttila, 01.01.2008 → 31.12.2008, France

Riittäsaisen filosofian tutkimuksen arviointipaneeli (vetenskapsrådet), Simo Knuuttila, 01.01.2008 → 31.12.2008, Finland

Suomalainen Teologinen Kirjallisuusseura, Simo Knuuttila, 01.01.2008 → 31.12.2008, Finland

The European Science Foundation Scientific Programme "From Natural Philosophy to Science", Simo Knuuttila, 01.01.2008 → 31.12.2008, France

Chairman of the Commission des Textes Philosophie et communauté mondiale, Simo Knuuttila, 2010, France

Chairman of the Section Philosophy, Theology, and Religious Studies, Simo Knuuttila, 2010, United Kingdom

Vice President, Simo Knuuttila, 2010, France

Maiju Lehmijoki-Gardner, maiju.lehmijoki-gardner@helsinki.fi

Suomen kirkkohistoriallisen seuran vuosikirjan julkaisuneuvosto, Maiju Lehmijoki-Gardner, 01.01.2007 → 31.12.2007, Finland

Suomen kirkkohistoriallisen seuran vuosikirjan julkaisuneuvosto, Maiju Lehmijoki-Gardner, 01.01.2007 → 31.12.2007, Finland

Kirkkohistoriallisen seuran vuosikirjan toimitusneuvosto, Maiju Lehmijoki-Gardner, 01.01.2008 → 31.12.2008, Finland

Philosophical Psychology, Morality, and Politics Center of Excellence (huippuyksikkö), Maiju Lehmijoki-Gardner, 01.01.2008 → 31.12.2008, Finland

Philosophical Psychology, Morality, and Politics Center of Excellence (huippuyksikkö), Helsingin yliopisto, Maiju Lehmijoki-Gardner, 01.01.2008 → 31.12.2008, Finland

Juhana Lemetti, juhana.lemetti@helsinki.fi

Filosofian valtakunnallinen tutkijakoulu, Juhana Lemetti, 01.01.2005 → 14.10.2005, Finland
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Virpi Mäkinen, Virpi.Makinen@helsinki.fi

Société Internationale pour l’Étude de la Philosophie Médiévale, member, Virpi Mäkinen, 1997 → 2011, France
Nordic Society of Theological Ethics, member, Virpi Mäkinen, 2000 → 2012
Asiantuntijapaali, Helsingin yliopisto, Virpi Mäkinen, 01.01.2005 → 31.12.2005, Finland
Suomen Kulttuurirahasto, Virpi Mäkinen, 01.01.2005 → 31.12.2005, Finland
Member of Editorial Board, Diakonialtutkimus / Finnish Diaconical Journal, Virpi Mäkinen, 2006 → 2014
Helsingin yliopiston asiantuntijapaali, Virpi Mäkinen, 01.01.2008 → 31.12.2008, Finland
Suomen Kulttuurirahasto, Virpi Mäkinen, 01.01.2008 → 31.12.2008, Finland
Business Ethics and its Philosophical Foundations project, Virpi Mäkinen, 2009
NordForsk evaluation panel in Humanities, Virpi Mäkinen, 2009 → 2011, Norway

Heikki Mikkeli, Heikki.Mikkeli@helsinki.fi
Suomen tieteen ja tekniikan tutkimuksen seura (STTS), Heikki Mikkeli, 01.01.2005 → 31.12.2005, Finland

Martina Reuter, Martina.Reuter@helsinki.fi

Board of Department, Martina Reuter, 2005 → 2006
Board member, Martina Reuter, 2008 → ...

Markku Roinila, Markku.Roinila@helsinki.fi
SFY, webmaster, Markku Roinila, 01.01.2004 → 31.12.2010, Finland
Filosofia.fi-verkkoportaat, Markku Roinila, 01.01.2006 → ...
Nii &amp; Nii, Markku Roinila, 01.01.2006 → ...

Risto Saarinen, Risto.Saarinen@helsinki.fi

Wissenschaftliche Gesellschaft für Theologie, Risto Saarinen, 01.01.2000 → ..., Germany
Europäische Melanchtonakademie, Risto Saarinen, 01.01.2001 → 31.12.2009, Germany
History of Mind Centre of Excellence, Academy of Finland, Risto Saarinen, 01.01.2002 → 31.12.2007, Finland
Suomalainen Teologinen Kirjallisuusseura, Risto Saarinen, 01.01.2002 → ...
Luther-Agricola Society, Risto Saarinen, 01.01.2003 → ..., Finland
Strasbourg Ecumenical Institute Visiting Professor, Risto Saarinen, 01.01.2003 → 31.12.2007
Institut für Europäische Geschichte (Mainz), Risto Saarinen, 01.01.2005 → ...
Philosophical psychology, morality and politics centre of excellence, Risto Saarinen, 2008 → ...
Suomalainen Tiedeakatemia, theologian ryhmä, Risto Saarinen, 01.01.2008 → 31.12.2008
Forschungszentrum Internationale und Interdisziplinäre Theologie, Risto Saarinen, 2009 → ...
Global Network for Research Centers in Theology, Risto Saarinen, 2009
Suomalainen Tiedeakatemia, theologian jaoston puheenjohtaja, Risto Saarinen, 01.01.2009 → 31.12.2009
Suomen evankelis-luterilaisen kirkon teologisten asiantomiku, Risto Saarinen, 2009
World Congress for Luther Studies, Organizing Committee, Risto Saarinen, 2009 → ...
Suomalainen Teologinen Kirjallisuusseura, Risto Saarinen, 01.06.2010 → ...

Miira Tuominen, Miira.Tuominen@helsinki.fi

VIKSU-tiedekilpailu, Miira Tuominen, 01.01.2005 → 31.12.2005, Finland
VIKSU-tiedekilpailu, Miira Tuominen, 31.01.2006, Finland
International Society for Neoplatonic Studies, Miira Tuominen, 01.01.2007 → 30.06.2007
VIKSU-tiedekilpailu, Miira Tuominen, 31.01.2007, Finland
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VIKSU-tiedekilpailu, Mira Tuominen, 31.01.2008, Finland
VIKSU-tiedekilpailu, Mira Tuominen, 31.01.2009, Finland

Olli-Pekka Vainio, olli-peka.vainio@helsinki.fi
Member, Strategy 2015 Task Force, Olli-Pekka Vainio, 2006 → 2007, Finland
Varaajäsen, Systemaattisen teologian laitoksen johtoryhmä, Olli-Pekka Vainio, 01.08.2007 → 01.01.2008

Membership or other role in public Finnish or international organization
Olli Tapio Hallamaa, Olli.Hallamaa@helsinki.fi, olli.hallamaa@helsinki.fi
Kirkolliskokous, perustevaliokunta, Olli Tapio Hallamaa, 01.01.2005 → 31.12.2005, Finland
Kirkolliskokous, perustevaliokunta, Olli Tapio Hallamaa, 01.01.2006 → 31.12.2006, Finland
Kirkolliskokous, perustevaliokunta, Olli Tapio Hallamaa, 01.01.2007 → 31.12.2007, Finland
Kirkolliskokous, perustevaliokunta, Olli Tapio Hallamaa, 01.01.2008 → 31.12.2008, Finland

Taina Holopainen, Taina.Holopainen@helsinki.fi
Finnish Theological Literature Society, board member, Taina Holopainen, 2001 → 2011, Finland

Ilmari Karimies, Ilmari.Karimies@helsinki.fi
Secretary to the Constitutional Committee of the General Synod of the ELCF, Ilmari Karimies, 2010 → …, Finland

Virpi Mäkinen, Virpi.Makinen@helsinki.fi
Luterlais-katoliset oppineuvottelut, jäsen / Nordic Lutheran-Roman Catholic Dialog, member, Virpi Mäkinen, 01.01.2005 → 06.06.2010, Finland
Teologisten asiain toimikunta, jäsen / Advisory Board of Theological Affairs, member, Virpi Mäkinen, 01.01.2005 → 31.12.2009, Finland
Ylioppilastutkintolautakunnan sensori / Member of Student Examination Committee, Virpi Mäkinen, 01.01.2005 → 31.12.2012, Finland

Heikki Mikkeli, Heikki.Mikkeli@helsinki.fi
Ylioppilastutkintolautakunnan (YTL) jäsen, Heikki Mikkeli, 01.01.2005 → 31.12.2005, Finland

Martina Reuter, Martina.Reuter@helsinki.fi
Ylioppilastutkintolautakunta, apusensori, Martina Reuter, 01.01.1997 → …, Finland
Board vice-member, Martina Reuter, 2008 → …
Working group on education, Martina Reuter, 2010 → …

Risto Saarinen, Risto.Saarinen@helsinki.fi
Lutheran - Orthodox Joint Commission, Risto Saarinen, 01.01.1995 → …, Switzerland
Suomen Evankelisluterilaisen kirkon ekumeenisten yhteyskielen toimikunta, Risto Saarinen, 01.01.2002 → …
Suomen Evankelisluterilaisen kirkon teologisen asioiden toimikunta, Risto Saarinen, 01.01.2002 → …
Lutheran World Feder., Council, theological advisor, Risto Saarinen, 01.01.2003 → 31.12.2010
Ylioppilastutkintolautakunta, Risto Saarinen, 01.01.2004 → 31.12.2009, Finland
Aarhus university honorary professor, Risto Saarinen, 2006 → 2010
Luther-Jubiläum 2017: Wissenschaftlicher Beirat, Risto Saarinen, 01.01.2007 → …, Germany
Lutheran-Orthodox Joint Commission, Risto Saarinen, 2009

Membership or other role of body in private company/organisation
Virpi Mäkinen, Virpi.Makinen@helsinki.fi
Helsingin yliopiston asiantuntijaproduct, Virpi Mäkinen, 01.01.2008 → 31.12.2011, Finland
Suomen Kulttuurirahaston asiantuntija / Finnish Cultural Foundation, advisor, Virpi Mäkinen, 01.01.2008 → 31.12.2008, Finland
Teologian rahastot, jäsen / Theological Foundations, member, Virpi Mäkinen, 01.01.2008 → 01.01.2010, Finland
Ylioppilastutkintolautakunta, Virpi Mäkinen, 01.01.2008 → 31.12.2012, Finland
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Heikki Mikkeli, Heikki.Mikkeli@helsinki.fi
The International Society for Intellectual History (ISIH), Heikki Mikkeli, 01.01.2005 → 31.12.2005, Finland

Participation in interview for written media
Marke Pauliina Ahonen, Marke.Ahonen@helsinki.fi
Huolestun humanisti (in Finnish), Marke Pauliina Ahonen, 04.2009, Finland
Tohtorin hatusta (in Finnish), Marke Pauliina Ahonen, 11.2009, Finland
Toivo Holopainen, Toivo.Holopainen@helsinki.fi
Interview in a weekly newspaper, Toivo Holopainen, 12.05.2009, Finland

Maiju Lehmijoki-Gardner, maiju.lehmijoki-gardner@helsinki.fi
Studium Catholicum, Helsinki, Maiju Lehmijoki-Gardner, 16.03.1999 → 31.12.2011, Finland
Loyola College in Maryland, Baltimore. Koulutusesitelmä, Maiju Lehmijoki-Gardner, 24.08.2000 → 31.12.2011, United States
International Medieval Congress, Leeds, Maiju Lehmijoki-Gardner, 09.07.2001 → 31.12.2011, United Kingdom
Keskiajan maailma islam, kristikunta ja tieolo, historian ystävien kesäkoulu, Maiju Lehmijoki-Gardner, 06.06.2002 → 31.12.2011, United Kingdom
Die mediaevales keskiajan päivät, Maiju Lehmijoki-Gardner, 01.01.2004 → 31.12.2011, United States
Domestic Communities of Penitent Women., Maiju Lehmijoki-Gardner, 05.01.2003 → 31.12.2011, United States
Rethinking the History of Mentalities kollokvio, Maiju Lehmijoki-Gardner, 12.06.2004 → 31.12.2011, Finland
Juhlapuhe, Maiju Lehmijoki-Gardner, 04.02.2008 → 31.12.2011, Finland

Kristillinen mystiikka käytännöllisenä ja ruumiillisena kokemuksena historianäkön näkökulma tähän päivään, Maiju Lehmijoki-Gardner, 08.05.2008 → 31.12.2011, Finland
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Lectio Divina kristillisen mystikan aarteena, Maiju Lehmijoki-Gardner, 29.11.2008 → 31.12.2011, Finland
Lectio Divina - Raamatu allegorinen tulkinta keskiajan taiteessa ja kirjallisuudessa, Maiju Lehmijoki-Gardner, 05.02.2008 → 31.12.2011, Finland
Luterilainen mystiikka onko sitä?, Maiju Lehmijoki-Gardner, 01.01.2008 → 31.12.2011, Finland
Medieval Collation with Modern Condiments, Maiju Lehmijoki-Gardner, 14.05.2008 → 31.12.2011, Finland
Voimala-ohjelma, Maiju Lehmijoki-Gardner, 24.03.2008 → 31.12.2011, Finland

Virpi Mäkinen, Virpi.Mäkinen@helsinki.fi
Eläkemielistä menoa, Tieteessä tapahtuu, Helsingin yliopisto, Virpi Mäkinen, 01.01.2005 → 31.12.2011, Finland
Teologisen tiedekunnan toimintakertomus vuodelta 2007, haastattelu, Virpi Mäkinen, 01.01.2008 → 31.12.2011, Finland

Heikki Mikkeli, Heikki.Mikkeli@helsinki.fi
Ikäihmisten yliopisto, Kotka, Heikki Mikkeli, 14.03.2002 → 31.12.2011, Finland

Ilse Paakkinen, ilse.paakkinen@helsinki.fi
Uusateismin maailma on mustavalkoinen, Ilse Paakkinen, 29.04.2010, Finland

Martina Reuter, Martina.Reuter@helsinki.fi
Unohdetut filosofit, Martina Reuter, 15.05.2006, Finland
Koulu markkinalogiikan vastavoimana, Martina Reuter, 10.10.2008, Finland

Miira Tuominen, Miira.Tuominen@helsinki.fi
Helsingin yliopiston pääkirjaston filosofianäyttely, Miira Tuominen, 01.01.2004 → 31.12.2011, Canada
Salon Sanomat ja Länsi-uusimaa, Miira Tuominen, 01.01.2004 → 31.12.2011, Finland
Suusikki-lehti, Miira Tuominen, 01.01.2004 → 31.12.2011, Canada
Vapaan sivistystyön toimikunnan järjestämä luentotilaisuus, Miira Tuominen, 08.09.2004 → 31.12.2011, Canada
The Centre of Excellence is a Realm of Co-operation, not of Internal Competition, Miira Tuominen, 27.03.2007, Finland

Participation in radio programme
Marke Pauliina Ahonen, Marke.Ahonen@helsinki.fi
Filosofian historia, Marke Pauliina Ahonen, 08.2007, Finland
Filosofian historia, Marke Pauliina Ahonen, 08.2007, Finland
Filosofian historia, Marke Pauliina Ahonen, 10.2007, Finland
Ajantasa, Marke Pauliina Ahonen, 06.2008, Finland

Timo Kaitaro, Timo.Kaitaro@helsinki.fi

Juhana Lemetti, juhana.lemetti@helsinki.fi
"Vieraan valintoi, Yle Radio 1, Juhana Lemetti, 18.04.2005 → 31.12.2011, Finland
Heikki Mikkeli, Heikki.Mikkeli@helsinki.fi
2 kertaa radioesiintyminen Eeva Lusosn toimittamassa radio-ohjelmassa Vitsasten kerho, Heikki Mikkeli, 01.01.2005 → 31.12.2011, Finland

Ville Paukkonen, ville.paukkonen@helsinki.fi
Yle Radio 1 / Kultakumo, Ville Paukkonen, 22.02.2008

Martina Reuter, Martina.Reuter@helsinki.fi
Slaget efter tolv, Martina Reuter, 27.10.2010, Finland

Markku Roinila, Markku.Roinila@helsinki.fi
Teodiksen ongelma, Markku Roinila, 06.01.2005, Finland

Miira Tuominen, Miira.Tuominen@helsinki.fi
Stoic peace of mind, Miira Tuominen, 06.01.2005, Finland
What is there?, Miira Tuominen, 25.09.2007, Finland

Participation in TV programme

Martina Reuter, Martina.Reuter@helsinki.fi
Min morgon, Martina Reuter, 25.10.2010, Finland
Min morgon, Martina Reuter, 01.11.2010, Finland
Min morgon, Martina Reuter, 08.11.2010, Finland
Min morgon, Martina Reuter, 15.11.2010, Finland
Min morgon, Martina Reuter, 22.11.2010, Finland
Min morgon: Måndagsfilosoferna, Martina Reuter, 29.11.2010
Min morgon: Måndagsfilosoferna, Martina Reuter, 13.12.2010

Risto Saarinen, Risto.Saarinen@helsinki.fi
Abifarmi, tv-ohjelma YLE 23.3.06, Risto Saarinen, 23.03.2006, Switzerland
ainereaali 15.9. 2008, Yle tv-ohjelma, Risto Saarinen, 15.09.2008, Finland
mtv3 uutiset haastattelu, Risto Saarinen, 03.06.2010

Participation in interview for web based media

Marke Pauliina Ahonen, Marke.Ahonen@helsinki.fi
Kuukauden humanisti (in Finnish), Marke Pauliina Ahonen, 05.09.2009, Finland
Appendix B.b.

Maria Forsman, Chief Information Specialist, DSocSc
Helsinki University Library 7.7.2011

The bibliometric analyses by Helsinki University Library (HULib)

Background: The bibliometric analyses – especially citation analyses – have raised a lot of discussion and critics among researchers in social sciences and humanities. Researchers view that bibliometric analyses are often unfair to these fields of sciences because they do not give a good enough picture of the publishing. Citation databases – Web of Science and Scopus – cover only weakly the main publications in these fields. Also, in humanities and social sciences monograph is still the main form of publishing, and it does not include in these article databases.

At the University of Helsinki, the above mentioned concerns have been taken into account in the evaluation. The Evaluation Office has ordered analyses from the Helsinki University Library (HULib) for the participating researcher communities that are weakly represented in Web of Science. The database for the HULib analyses is TUHAT (https://tuhat.halvi.helsinki.fi/portal/en/) including all the publications that the researchers have considered important.

Based on this data, information specialists at HULib have carried out the following analyses:

1) Number of authors/publication/year as a table; a pie of authors/publication in the period 2005-2010;
2) Language of publication/year; a pie of language of publication in the period 2005-2010;
3) Articles/journal/year; journals have been compared by ISSN with the Norwegian, Australian and ERIH (2007-2008) journal ranking lists; number of articles in ranked journals;
4) Publisher/monograph type (according to TUHAT database); monographs have been compared with the Norwegian publisher ranking list. According to this, it has been counted how many monographs are published by a leading scientific publisher (2) or a scientific publisher (1).
5) Conference publications (from TUHAT database) especially in computer sciences; compared with the Australian conference ranking list.

Where relevant, some additional analyses and notes concerning the publication culture of a scientific field have been added. Overall, these analyses complement the other evaluation material and lists of the publications of the participating researcher communities.

If the publications of the RCs were less than 50 or/and the internal coverage less than 40 percentage, the WoS analyses were considered not reliable. These RCs were 58 altogether.

In addition, both Leiden and Library analyses were done to the RCs if WoS analyses covered less than 40 per cent of the peer review (A+C) publications of the RC. These RCs were 8 altogether.

The appendix includes the analyses of the RC under discussion.
Analysis of publications by Helsinki University
Library – 66 RCs altogether

**Biological, Agricultural and Veterinary Sciences**
Luukknen, Olavi – VITRI
Valsta, Lauri – SUVALUE

**Natural Sciences**
Abrahamsson, Pekka – SOFTSYS
Kangasharju, Jussi – NODES
Ukkonen, Esko – ALKO
Väänänen, Jouko – HLG

**Humanities**
Aejmelaeus, Anneli – CSTT
Anttonen, Pertti – CMVG
Dunderberg, Ismo – FC
Havu, Eva – CoCoLaC
Heikkilä, Markku – RCSP
Heinämäa, Sara – SHC
Henriksson, Markku – CITA
Janhunen, Juha – LDHFTA
Kajava Mika, – AMNE
Klippi, Anu – Interaction
Knuuttila, Simo – PPMP
Koskenniemi, Kimmo – BAULT
Lauha, Aila – CECH
Lavento, Mika – ARCH-HU
Lukkarinen, Ville – AHCI
Lyytikäinen, Pirjo – GLW
Mauranen, Anna – LFP
Meinander, Henrik – HIST
Nevalainen, Terttu – VARIENG
Pettersson, Bo – ILLC
Puikkonen, Tuija – Gender Studies
Pyrhönen, Heta – ART
Ruokanen, Miikka – RELDIAL
Saarinen, Risto – RELSOC
Sandu, Gabriel – LMPS
Tarasti, Eero – MusSig
Vehmas-Lehto, Inkeri – TraST
Östman, Jan-Ola – LMS

**Social Sciences**
Airaksinen, Timo – PPH
Engeström, Yrjö – CRADLE
Granberg, Leo – TRANSRURBAN
Haila, Anne – Sociopolis
Hautamäki, Jarkko – CEA
Heinonen, Visa – KUMU
Helén, Ilpo – STS
Hukkinen, Janne – GENU
Jallinoja, Riitta – SBII
Kaartinen, Timo – SCA
Kettunen, Pauli – NordSoc
Kivinen, Markku – FCREES
Koponen, Juhani – DEVERLE
Koskenniemi, Martti – ECI
Kultti, Klaus – EAT
Lahtela, Elina – KUFE
Lanne, Markku – TSEM
Lavonen, Jari – RCMSER
Lehtonen, Risto – SocStats
Lindblom-Ylänne, Sari – EdPsychHE
Nieminen, Hannu – MECOL
Nuotio, Kimmo – Law
Nyman, Göte – METEORI
Ollikainen, Markku – ENFIFO
Pirttilä-Backman, Anna-Maija – DYNASOBIC
Rahkonen, Keijo – CulCap
Roos, J P – HELPS
Simola, Hannu – SOCE-DGI
Sulkunen, Pekka – PosPus
Sumelius, John – AG ECON
Vaattovaara, Mari – STRUTSI
Vainio, Martti – SigMe

The next appendix includes the analyses of the RC under discussion.
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PUBLICATION DATA 2005-2010

RC/PPMP/Knuuttila

Category 1. The research of the participating community represents the international cutting edge in its field.

Number of authors in publications/year

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No. of authors</th>
<th>2005</th>
<th>2006</th>
<th>2007</th>
<th>2008</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>Grand Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>401</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grand Total</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>467</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The publications have mostly only one author (86%).
The commonest language is Finnish (49 %), as English (43 %) in the second place.
### Language of Publications 2005-2010

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Language</th>
<th>2005</th>
<th>2006</th>
<th>2007</th>
<th>2008</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>en_GB</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sv_SE</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>de_DE</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fr_FR</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fi_FI</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>no_NO</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mult</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Grand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Title</td>
<td>Volume</td>
<td>Issue</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kanava</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pro Ecclesia : a journal of Catholic and Evangelical theology.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stanford encyclopedia of philosophy</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teologia.fi</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tieteessä tapahtuu</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agricolan kirja-arvostelut</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apeiron (Kelowna)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Archivio di filosofia</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ars Disputandi</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ars Disputandi: The Online Journal for Philosophy of Religion</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Berkeley studies</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Classical Bulletin</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cortex</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cultural encounters : a journal for the theology of culture.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DATA - Documents Archives de Travail et Arguments</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duodecim</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethics</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Etsija : kristillinen opiskelijalehti</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finsk Tidskrift</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freiburger Zeitschrift für Philosophie und Theologie.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helsingin hippakuntautiset</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heythrop Journal</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hippokrates : Suomen lääketieteen historian seuran vuosikirja.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Historiillinen Aikakauskirja</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Historical journal</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of Philosophy Quarterly</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hobbes Studies</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hume Studies</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International Journal of Technology Management</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International Review of Eighteenth-Century Studies</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Journal for the study of the pseudepigrapha : JSP.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Journal of the History of Ideas</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Journal of the History of Philosophy</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kansalliskirjasto</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Katsaus</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kerygma und Dogma</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kirjallisuudentutkimuksen Aikakauslehti Avain</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lutheran forum : An independent monthly</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lutheran World Information</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Materialdienst des Konfessionskundlichen Instituts Bensheim.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medieval Review</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motmot : runouden vuosikirja</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neue Zeitschrift für systematische Theologie und Religionsphilosophie.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxford Studies in Ancient Philosophy</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Journal ranking (Norway, Australia, ERIH)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Teologinen Aikakauskirja</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Niin &amp; näin : filosofinen aikakauslehti.</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vivarium</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theologische Literaturzeitung : Monatsschrift für das gesamte Gebiet der Theologie und Religionswissenschaft.</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dialog (St Paul)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nya Argus</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronicle of the Oxford University C. S. Lewis Society.</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vartija : ihminen, uskonto, yhteiskunta.</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ajatus</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Documenti e studi sulla tradizione filosofica medievale : An international journal on the</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Journal Name</td>
<td>Volume</td>
<td>Issue</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kanava</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pro Ecclesia : a journal of Catholic and Evangelical theology.</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stanford encyclopedia of philosophy</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apeiron (Kelowna)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Archivio di filosofia</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ars Disputandi</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Classical Bulletin</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cortex</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethics</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2 A*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freiburger Zeitschrift für Philosophie und Theologie.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heythrop Journal</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1 A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hippokrates : Suomen lääketieteen historian seuran vuosikirja.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Historiallinen Aikakauskirja</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1 B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Historical journal</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2 A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of Philosophy Quarterly</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1 B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hobbes Studies</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hume Studies</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1 A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International Journal of Technology Management</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1 B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Journal for the study of the pseudepigrapha : JSP.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1 A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Journal of the History of Ideas</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2 A A A A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Journal of the History of Philosophy</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2 A*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kerygma und Dogma</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2 B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medieval Review</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neue Zeitschrift für systematische Theologie und Religionsphilosophie.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2 A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nora</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1 B B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxford Studies in Ancient Philosophy</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2 A A A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philosophy in review.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phronesis</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2 A* A A A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ruumin kulttuuri</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Science in Context</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2 B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Studia Leibnitiana</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2 A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Studia Theologica</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2 A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Svensk missionstidskrift.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1 C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theology Today</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1 A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ökumenische Rundschau.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1 B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Amount of ranked articles (Norway)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Norway</th>
<th>Journal articles</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Level 2</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level 1</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Amount of ranked articles (Australian)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Australia</th>
<th>Journal articles</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Level A*</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level A</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level B</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level C</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Book publishers

Publisher ranking (based on Norwegian ranking list)

2 = leading scientific  
1 = scientific  
no = non-scientific or not ranked

C1 Published scientific monograph (15)  
C2 Edited book, compilation, conference proceeding or special issue of journal (32)  
D5 Text book or professional handbook or guidebook or dictionary (7)  
E2 Popular monograph (2)

6 books of 56 have been published by a high ranked leading scientific publisher, 20 by a ranked scientific publisher.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Publisher</th>
<th>Publisher ranking</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kirjapaja</td>
<td>Kirjapaja</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Springer</td>
<td>Springer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRILL</td>
<td>BRILL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C4</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C5</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>no</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Springer</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRILL</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organization</td>
<td>Scandinavian</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gaudeamus</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Societas Philosophica Fennica</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finnish Literature Society</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finnish Society for Eighteenth-Century Studies</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luther-Agricola-Society</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WSOY</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ashgate</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brazos Press</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cascade</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Church Research Institute</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clarendon Press</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eurooppalaisen filosofian seura</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finnish Academy of Science and Letters</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harmattan</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helsingin yliopisto, Teologisen tiedekunnan kirjasto</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helsinki Collegium for Advanced Studies</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kluwer Academic</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kustannus Oy Arkki</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Like: Into</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liturgical Press</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paulist Press</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philosophical Society of Finland</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suomalainen teologinen kirjallisuusseura</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Liberty Fund</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theological institute of Finland</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of California Press</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vastapaino</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Von Zabern</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WSOY Oppimateriaalit</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Grand Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>15</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>