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Foreword

The evaluation of research and doctoral training is being carried out in the years 2010–2012 and will end in 2012. The steering group appointed by the Rector in January 2010 set the conditions for participating in the evaluation and prepared the Terms of Reference to present the evaluation procedure and criteria. The publications and other scientific activities included in the evaluation covered the years 2005–2010.

The participating unit in the evaluation was defined as a Researcher Community (RC). To obtain a critical mass with university-level impact, the number of members was set to range from 20 to 120. The RCs were required to contain researchers in all stages of their research career, from doctoral students to principal investigators (PIs). All in all, 136 Researcher Communities participated in this voluntary evaluation, 5857 persons in total, of whom 1131 were principal investigators. PIs were allowed to participate in two communities in certain cases, and 72 of them used this opportunity and participated in two RCs.

This evaluation enabled researchers to define RCs from the “bottom up” and across disciplines. The aim of the evaluation was not to assess individual performance but a community with shared aims and researcher-training activities. The RCs were able to choose among five different categories that characterised the status and main aims of their research. The steering group considered the process of applying to participate in the evaluation to be important, which lead to the establishment of these categories. In addition, providing a service for the RCs to enable them to benchmark their research at the global level was a main goal of the evaluation.

The data for the evaluation consisted of the RCs’ answers to evaluation questions on supplied e-forms and a compilation extracted from the TUHAT – Research Information System (RIS) on 12 April 2011. The compilation covered scientific and other publications as well as certain areas of scientific activities. During the process, the RCs were asked to check the list of publications and other scientific activities and make corrections if needed. These TUHAT compilations are public and available on the evaluation project sites of each RC in the TUHAT-RIS.

In addition to the e-form and TUHAT compilation, University of Leiden (CWTS) carried out bibliometric analyses from the articles included in the Web of Science (WoS). This was done on University and RC levels. In cases where the publication forums of the RC were clearly not represented by the WoS data, the Library of the University of Helsinki conducted a separate analysis of the publications. This was done for 66 RCs representing the humanities and social sciences.

The evaluation office also carried out an enquiry targeted to the supervisors and PhD candidates about the organisation of doctoral studies at the University of Helsinki. This and other documents describing the University and the Finnish higher education system were provided to the panellists.

The panel feedback for each RC is unique and presented as an entity. The first collective evaluation reports available for the whole panel were prepared in July–August 2011. The reports were accessible to all panel members via the electronic evaluation platform in August. Scoring from 1 to 5 was used to complement written feedback in association with evaluation questions 1–4 (scientific focus and quality, doctoral training, societal impact, cooperation) and in addition to the category evaluating the fitness for participation in the evaluation. Panellists used the international level as a point of comparison in the evaluation. Scoring was not expected to go along with a preset deviation.

Each of the draft reports were discussed and dealt with by the panel in meetings in Helsinki (from 11 September to 13 September or from 18 September to 20 September 2011). In these meetings the panels also examined the deviations among the scores and finalised the draft reports together.

The current RC-specific report deals shortly with the background of the evaluation and the terms of participation. The main evaluation feedback is provided in the evaluation report, organised according to the evaluation questions. The original material provided by the RCs for the panellists has been attached to these documents.
On behalf of the evaluation steering group and office, I sincerely wish to thank you warmly for your participation in this evaluation. The effort you made in submitting the data to TUHAT-RIS is gratefully acknowledged by the University. We wish that you find this panel feedback useful in many ways. The bibliometric profiles may open a new view on your publication forums and provide a perspective for discussion on your choice of forums. We especially hope that this evaluation report will help you in setting the future goals of your research.

Johanna Björkroth
Vice-Rector
Chair of the Steering Group of the Evaluation
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1 Introduction to the Evaluation

1.1 RC-specific evaluation reports

The participants in the evaluation of research and doctoral training were Researcher Communities (hereafter referred to as the RC). The RC refers to the group of researchers who registered together in the evaluation of their research and doctoral training. Preconditions in forming RCs were stated in the Guidelines for the Participating Researcher Communities. The RCs defined themselves whether their compositions should be considered well-established or new.

It is essential to emphasise that the evaluation combines both meta-evaluation\(^1\) and traditional research assessment exercise and its focus is both on the research outcomes and procedures associated with research and doctoral training. The approach to the evaluation is enhancement-led where self-evaluation constituted the main information. The answers to the evaluation questions formed together with the information of publications and other scientific activities an entity that was to be reviewed as a whole.

The present evaluation recognizes and justifies the diversity of research practices and publication traditions. Traditional Research Assessment Exercises do not necessarily value high quality research with low volumes or research distinct from mainstream research. It is challenging to expose the diversity of research to fair comparison. To understand the essence of different research practices and to do justice to their diversity was one of the main challenges of the present evaluation method. Understanding the divergent starting points of the RCs demanded sensitivity from the evaluators.

1.2 Aims and objectives in the evaluation

The aims of the evaluation are as follows:

- to improve the level of research and doctoral training at the University of Helsinki and to raise their international profile in accordance with the University’s strategic policies. The improvement of doctoral training should be compared to the University's policy.\(^2\)
- to enhance the research conducted at the University by taking into account the diversity, originality, multidisciplinary nature, success and field-specificity,
- to recognize the conditions and prerequisites under which excellent, original and high-impact research is carried out,
- to offer the academic community the opportunity to receive topical and versatile international peer feedback,
- to better recognize the University’s research potential,
- to exploit the University’s TUHAT research information system to enable transparency of publishing activities and in the production of reliable, comparable data.

1.3 Evaluation method

The evaluation can be considered as an enhancement-led evaluation. Instead of ranking, the main aim is to provide useful information for the enhancement of research and doctoral training of the participating RCs. The comparison should take into account each field of science and acknowledge their special character.

---

\(^1\) The panellists did not read research reports or abstracts but instead, they evaluated answers to the evaluation questions, tables and compilations of publications, other scientific activities, bibliometrics or comparable analyses.

\(^2\) Policies on doctoral degrees and other postgraduate degrees at the University of Helsinki.
The comparison produced information about the present status and factors that have lead to success. Also challenges in the operations and outcomes were recognized.

The evaluation approach has been designed to recognize better the significance and specific nature of researcher communities and research areas in the multidisciplinary top-level university. Furthermore, one of the aims of the evaluation is to bring to light those evaluation aspects that differ from the prevalent ones. Thus the views of various fields of research can be described and research arising from various starting points understood better. The doctoral training is integrated into the evaluation as a natural component related to research. Operational processes of doctoral training are being examined in the evaluation.

Five stages of the evaluation method were:
1. Registration – Stage 1
2. Self-evaluation – Stage 2
3. TUHAT\(^3\) compilations on publications and other scientific activities\(^4\)
4. External evaluation
5. Public reporting

1.4 Implementation of the external evaluation

Five Evaluation Panels

Five evaluation panels consisted of independent, renowned and highly respected experts. The main domains of the panels are:
1. biological, agricultural and veterinary sciences
2. medicine, biomedicine and health sciences
3. natural sciences
4. humanities
5. social sciences

The University invited 10 renowned scientists to act as chairs or vice-chairs of the five panels based on the suggestions of faculties and independent institutes. Besides leading the work of the panel, an additional role of the chairs was to discuss with other panel chairs in order to adopt a broadly similar approach. The panel chairs and vice-chairs had a pre-meeting on 27 May 2011 in Amsterdam.

The panel compositions were nominated by the Rector of the University 27 April 2011. The participating RCs suggested the panel members. The total number of panel members was 50. The reason for a smaller number of panellists as compared to the previous evaluations was the character of the evaluation as a meta-evaluation. The panellists did not read research reports or abstracts but instead, they evaluated answers to the evaluation questions, tables and compilations of publications, other scientific activities, bibliometrics and comparable analyses.

The panel meetings were held in Helsinki:
- On 11–13 September 2011: (1) biological, agricultural and veterinary sciences, (2) medicine, biomedicine and health sciences and (3) natural sciences.
- On 18–20 September 2011: (4) humanities and (5) social sciences.

\(^3\) TUHAT (acronym) of Research Information System (RIS) of the University of Helsinki

\(^4\) Supervision of thesis, prizes and awards, editorial work and peer reviews, participation in committees, boards and networks and public appearances.
1.5 Evaluation material

The main material in the evaluation was the RCs’ self-evaluations that were qualitative in character and allowed the RCs to choose what was important to mention or emphasise and what was left unmentioned.

The present evaluation is exceptional at least in the Finnish context because it is based on both the evaluation documentation (self-evaluation questions, publications and other scientific activities) and the bibliometric reports. All documents were delivered to the panellists for examination.

Traditional bibliometrics can be reasonably done mainly in medicine, biosciences and natural sciences when using the Web of Science database, for example. Bibliometrics, provided by CWTS/The Centre for Science and Technology Studies, University of Leiden, cover only the publications that include WoS identification in the TUHAT-RIS.

Traditional bibliometrics are seldom relevant in humanities and social sciences because the international comparable databases do not store every type of high quality research publications, such as books and monographs and scientific journals in other languages than English. The Helsinki University Library has done analysis to the RCs, if their publications were not well represented in the Web of Science databases (RCs should have at least 50 publications and internal coverage of publications more than 40%) – it meant 58 RCs. The bibliometric material for the evaluation panels was available in June 2011. The RC-specific bibliometric reports are attached at the end of each report.

The panels were provided with the evaluation material and all other necessary background information, such as the basic information about the University of Helsinki and the Finnish higher education system.

Evaluation material
1. Registration documents of the RCs for the background information
2. Self evaluation material – answers to the evaluation questions
3. Publications and other scientific activities based on the TUHAT RIS:
   3.1. statistics of publications
   3.2. list of publications
   3.3. statistics of other scientific activities
   3.4. list of other scientific activities
4. Bibliometrics and comparable analyses:
   4.1. Analyses of publications based on the verification of TUHAT-RIS publications with the Web of Science publications (CWTS/University of Leiden)
   4.2. Publication statistics analysed by the Helsinki University Library - mainly for humanities and social sciences
5. University level survey on doctoral training (August 2011)
6. University level analysis on publications 2005–2010 (August 2011) provided by CWTS/University of Leiden

Background material

University of Helsinki
- Basic information about the University of the Helsinki
- The structure of doctoral training at the University of Helsinki
- Previous evaluations of research at the University of Helsinki – links to the reports: 1998 and 2005

The Finnish Universities/Research Institutes
- Finnish University system
- Evaluation of the Finnish National Innovation System
- The State and Quality of Scientific Research in Finland. Publication of the Academy of Finland 9/09.

The evaluation panels were provided also with other relevant material on request before the meetings in Helsinki.
1.6 Evaluation questions and material

The participating RCs answered the following evaluation questions which are presented according to the evaluation form. In addition, TUHAT RIS was used to provide the additional material as explained. For giving the feedback to the RCs, the panellists received the evaluation feedback form constructed in line with the evaluation questions:

1. Focus and quality of the RC’s research
   - Description of
     - the RC’s research focus.
     - the quality of the RC’s research (incl. key research questions and results)
     - the scientific significance of the RC’s research in the research field(s)
   - Identification of the ways to strengthen the focus and improve the quality of the RC’s research

   The additional material: TUHAT compilation of the RC’s publications, analysis of the RC’s publications data (provided by University of Leiden and the Helsinki University Library)
   A written feedback from the aspects of: scientific quality, scientific significance, societal impact, innovativeness
   - Strengths
   - Areas of development
   - Other remarks
   - Recommendations

   Numeric evaluation: OUTSTANDING (5), EXCELLENT (4), VERY GOOD (3), GOOD (2), SUFFICIENT (1)

2. Practises and quality of doctoral training
   - Organising of the doctoral training in the RC. Description of the RC’s principles for:
     - recruitment and selection of doctoral candidates
     - supervision of doctoral candidates
     - collaboration with faculties, departments/institutes, and potential graduate schools/docoral programmes
     - good practises and quality assurance in doctoral training
     - assuring of good career perspectives for the doctoral candidates/fresh doctorates
   - Identification of the RC’s strengths and challenges related to the practises and quality of doctoral training, and the actions planned for their development.

   The additional material: TUHAT compilation of the RC’s other scientific activities/supervision of doctoral dissertations
   A written feedback from the aspects of: processes and good practices related to leadership and management
   - Strengths
   - Areas of development
   - Other remarks
   - Recommendations

   Numeric evaluation: OUTSTANDING (5), EXCELLENT (4), VERY GOOD (3), GOOD (2), SUFFICIENT (1)

3. The societal impact of research and doctoral training
   - Description on how the RC interacts with and contributes to the society (collaboration with public, private and/or 3rd sector).
   - Identification of the ways to strengthen the societal impact of the RC’s research and doctoral training.

   The additional material: TUHAT compilation of the RC’s other scientific activities.
   A written feedback from the aspects of: societal impact, national and international collaboration, innovativeness
   - Strengths
   - Areas of development
   - Other remarks
   - Recommendations

   Numeric evaluation: OUTSTANDING (5), EXCELLENT (4), VERY GOOD (3), GOOD (2), SUFFICIENT (1)
4. International and national (incl. intersectoral) research collaboration and researcher mobility
- Description of
  - the RC’s research collaborations and joint doctoral training activities
  - how the RC has promoted researcher mobility
- Identification of the RC’s strengths and challenges related to research collaboration and researcher mobility, and the actions planned for their development.

A written feedback from the aspects of: scientific quality, national and international collaboration
- Strengths
- Areas of development
- Other remarks
- Recommendations

Numeric evaluation: OUTSTANDING (5), EXCELLENT (4), VERY GOOD (3), GOOD (2), SUFFICIENT (1)

5. Operational conditions
- Description of the operational conditions in the RC’s research environment (e.g. research infrastructure, balance between research and teaching duties).
- Identification of the RC’s strengths and challenges related to operational conditions, and the actions planned for their development.

A written feedback from the aspects of: processes and good practices related to leadership and management
- Strengths
- Areas of development
- Other remarks
- Recommendations

6. Leadership and management in the researcher community
- Description of
  - the execution and processes of leadership in the RC
  - how the management-related responsibilities and roles are distributed in the RC
  - how the leadership- and management-related processes support
    - high quality research
    - collaboration between principal investigators and other researchers in the RC
    - the RC's research focus
    - strengthening of the RC's know-how
- Identification of the RC’s strengths and challenges related to leadership and management, and the actions planned for developing the processes

7. External competitive funding of the RC
- The RCs were asked to provide information of such external competitive funding, where:
  - the funding decisions have been made during 1.1.2005-31.12.2010, and
  - the administrator of the funding is/has been the University of Helsinki
- On the e-form the RCs were asked to provide:
  1) The relevant funding source(s) from a given list (Academy of Finland/Research Council, TEKES/The Finnish Funding Agency for Technology and Innovation, EU, ERC, foundations, other national funding organisations, other international funding organisations), and
  2) The total sum of funding which the organisation in question had decided to allocate to the RCs members during 1.1.2005–31.12.2010.

Competitive funding reported in the text is also to be considered when evaluating this point.
A written feedback from the aspects of: scientific quality, scientific significance, societal impact, innovativeness, future significance
- Strengths
- Areas of development
- Other remarks
- Recommendations

8. The RC’s strategic action plan for 2011–2013
- RC’s description of their future perspectives in relation to research and doctoral training.
A written feedback from the aspects of: scientific quality, scientific significance, societal impact, processes and good practices related to leadership and management, national and international collaboration, innovativeness, future significance
- Strengths
- Areas of development
9. Evaluation of the category of the RC in the context of entity of the evaluation material (1-8)

The RC’s fitness to the chosen participation category
A written feedback evaluating the RC’s fitness to the chosen participation category
  - Strengths
  - Areas of development
  - Other remarks
  - Recommendations

Numeric evaluation: OUTSTANDING (5), EXCELLENT (4), VERY GOOD (3), GOOD (2), SUFFICIENT (1)

10. Short description of how the RC members contributed the compilation of the stage 2 material
Comments on the compilation of evaluation material

11. How the UH’s focus areas are presented in the RC’s research?
Comments if applicable

12. RC-specific main recommendations based on the previous questions 1-11

13. RC-specific conclusions

1.7 Evaluation criteria

The panellists were expected to give evaluative and analytical feedback to each evaluation question according to their aspects in order to describe and justify the quality of the submitted material. In addition, the evaluation feedback was asked to be pointed out the level of the performance according to the following classifications:
  - outstanding (5)
  - excellent (4)
  - very good (3)
  - good (2)
  - sufficient (1)

Evaluation according to the criteria was to be made with thorough consideration of the entire evaluation material of the RC in question. Finally, in questions 1-4 and 9, the panellists were expected to classify their written feedback into one of the provided levels (the levels included respective descriptions, ‘criteria’). Some panels used decimals in marks. The descriptive level was interpreted according to the integers and not rounding up the decimals by the editors.

Description of criteria levels

Question 1 – FOCUS AND QUALITY OF THE RC’S RESEARCH

Classification: Criteria (level of procedures and results)

Outstanding quality of procedures and results (5)
Outstandingly strong research, also from international perspective. Attracts great international interest with a wide impact, including publications in leading journals and/or monographs published by leading international publishing houses. The research has world leading qualities. The research focus, key research questions scientific significance, societal impact and innovativeness are of outstanding quality.

In cases where the research is of a national character and, in the judgement of the evaluators, should remain so, the concepts of “international attention” or “international impact” etc. in the grading criteria above may be replaced by “international comparability”.

Other remarks
Recommendations
Operations and procedures are of outstanding quality, transparent and shared in the community. The improvement of research and other efforts are documented and operations and practices are in alignment with the documentation. The ambition to develop the community together is of outstanding quality.

**Excellent quality of procedures and results (4)**

Research of excellent quality. Typically published with great impact, also internationally. Without doubt, the research has a leading position in its field in Finland.

Operations and procedures are of excellent quality, transparent and shared in the community. The improvement of research and other efforts are documented and operations and practices are to large extent in alignment with the documentation. The ambition to develop the community together is of excellent quality.

**Very good quality of procedures and results (3)**

The research is of such very good quality that it attracts wide national and international attention.

Operations and procedures are of very good quality, transparent and shared in the community. The improvement of research and other efforts are documented and operations and practices are to large extent in alignment with the documentation. The ambition to develop the community together is of very good quality.

**Good quality of procedures and results (2)**

Good research attracting mainly national attention but possessing international potential, extraordinarily high relevance may motivate good research.

Operations and procedures are of good quality, shared occasionally in the community. The improvement of research and other efforts are occasionally documented and operations and practices are to large extent in alignment with the documentation. The ambition to develop the community together is of good quality.

**Sufficient quality of procedures and results (1)**

In some cases the research is insufficient and reports do not gain wide circulation or do not have national or international attention. Research activities should be revised.

Operations and procedures are of sufficient quality, shared occasionally in the community. The improvement of research and other efforts are occasionally documented and operations and practices are to some extent in alignment with the documentation. The ambition to develop the community together is of sufficient quality.

**Question 2 – DOCTORAL TRAINING**

**Question 3 – SOCIETAL IMPACT**

**Question 4 – COLLABORATION**

**Classification: Criteria (level of procedures and results)**

**Outstanding quality of procedures and results (5)**

Procedures are of outstanding quality, transparent and shared in the community. The practices and quality of doctoral training/societal impact/international and national collaboration/leadership and management are documented and operations and practices are in alignment with the documentation. The ambition to develop the community together is of outstanding quality. The procedures and results are regularly evaluated and the feedback has an effect on the planning.

**Excellent quality of procedures and results (4)**

Procedures are of excellent quality, transparent and shared in the community. The practices and quality of doctoral training/societal impact/international and national collaboration/leadership and management are documented and operations and practices are to large extent in alignment with the documentation. The ambition to develop the community together is of excellent quality. The procedures and outcomes are evaluated and the feedback has an effect on the planning.

**Very good quality of procedures and results (3)**

Procedures are of very good quality, transparent and shared in the community. The practices and quality of doctoral training/societal impact/international and national collaboration/leadership and
management are documented and operations and practices are to large extent in alignment with the documentation. The ambition to develop the community together is of very good quality.

**Good quality of procedures and results (2)**

Procedures are of good quality, shared occasionally in the community. The practices and quality of doctoral training/societal impact/international and national collaboration/leadership and management are documented and operations and practices are to large extent in alignment with the documentation. The ambition to develop the community together is of good quality.

**Sufficient quality of procedures and results (1)**

Procedures are of sufficient quality, transparent and shared in the community. The practices and quality of doctoral training/societal impact/international and national collaboration/leadership and management are occasionally documented and operations and practices are to some extent in alignment with the documentation. The ambition to develop the community together is of sufficient quality.

**Question 9 – CATEGORY**

Participation category – fitness for the category chosen

The choice and justification for the chosen category below should be reflected in the RC’s responses to the evaluation questions 1–8.

1. *The research of the participating community represents the international cutting edge in its field.*
2. *The research of the participating community is of high quality, but the community in its present composition has yet to achieve strong international recognition or a clear break-through.*
3. *The research of the participating community is distinct from mainstream research, and the special features of the research tradition in the field must be considered in the evaluation.* The research is of high quality and has great significance and impact in its field. However, the generally used research evaluation methods do not necessarily shed sufficient light on the merits of the research.
4. *The research of the participating community represents an innovative opening.* A new opening can be an innovative combination of research fields, or it can be proven to have a special social, national or international demand or other significance. Even if the researcher community in its present composition has yet to obtain proof of international success, its members can produce convincing evidence of the high level of their previous research.
5. *The research of the participating community has a highly significant societal impact.* The participating researcher community is able to justify the high social significance of its research. The research may relate to national legislation, media visibility or participation in social debate, or other activities promoting social development and human welfare. In addition to having societal impact, the research must be of a high standard.

**An example of outstanding fitness for category choice (5)**

The RC’s representation and argumentation for the chosen category were convincing. The RC recognized its real capacity and apparent outcomes in a wider context to the research communities. The specific character of the RC was well-recognized and well stated in the responses. The RC fitted optimally for the category.

- Outstanding (5)
- Excellent (4)
- Very good (3)
- Good (2)
- Sufficient (1)

The above-mentioned definition of outstanding was only an example in order to assist the panellists in the positioning of the classification. There was no exact definition for the category fitness.

---

5 The panels discussed the category fitness and made the final conclusions of the interpretation of it.
1.8 Timetable of the evaluation

The main timetable of the evaluation:

1. Registration           November 2010
3. External peer review    May–September 2011
4. Published reports
   - University level public report
   - RC specific reports
   March–April 2012

The entire evaluation was implemented during the university’s strategy period 2010–2012. The preliminary results were available for the planning of the following strategy period in late autumn 2011. The evaluation reports will be published in March/April 2012. More detailed time schedule is published in the University report.

1.9 Evaluation feedback – consensus of the entire panel

The panellists evaluated all the RC-specific material before the meetings in Helsinki and mailed the draft reports to the evaluation office. The latest interim versions were on-line available to all the panellists on the Wiki-sites. In September 2011, in Helsinki the panels discussed the material, revised the first draft reports and decided the final numeric evaluation. After the meetings in Helsinki, the panels continued working and finalised the reports before the end of November 2011. The final RC-specific reports are the consensus of the entire panel.

The evaluation reports were written by the panels independently. During the editing process, the evaluation office requested some clarifications from the panels when necessary. The tone and style in the reports were not harmonized in the editing process. All the reports follow the original texts written by the panels as far as it was possible.

The original evaluation material of the RCs, provided for the panellists is attached at the end of the report. It is essential to notice that the exported lists of publications and other scientific activities depend how the data was stored in the TUHAT-RIS by the RCs.
2 Evaluation feedback

2.1 Focus and quality of the RC’s research

- **Description of**
  - the RC’s research focus
  - the quality of the RC’s research (incl. key research questions and results)
  - the scientific significance of the RC’s research in the research field(s)
- **Identification of the ways to strengthen the focus and improve the quality of the RC’s research**

**ASPECTS:** Scientific quality, scientific significance, societal impact, innovativeness

AG ECON is a broad centre in agricultural economics. The research has at least three different sections. There is research related to the Finnish issues, there is EU-level research, and some of the research pertains to developing countries. Various economic issues have been considered, among them productivity growth, dairy and cereal production. Policy questions have been studies as well. A part of the research has connections with development economics, and some research problems have to do with management issues. The spectrum is thus wide, and the members of AG ECON cover a large number of topics in agricultural and development economics. Since this RC has its foundation in economics, it is commendable that AG ECON emphasizes empirical data analyzed with statistical and econometric methods. Besides, given its emphasis on hard data, it is another strength of the RC that it has a focus on ecological ethics.

The volume of high-level research is not very large, given the many interests of AG ECON. There is nothing wrong with the quality of research, however. Several papers have been published in respectable journals. (NOTE: The Library Analysis of AG ECON is completely worthless because it ignores most published articles.) The citation analysis indicates that the impact of the AG ECON research is not yet substantial (the potential is there), but there are some highly cited articles.

Reporting of results has to be improved. There is potential for an increased number of articles in good field journals.

**Numeric evaluation:** 3 (Very good)

2.2 Practises and quality of doctoral training

- **Organising of the doctoral training in the RC. Description of the RC’s principles for:**
  - recruitment and selection of doctoral candidates
  - supervision of doctoral candidates
  - collaboration with faculties, departments/institutes, and potential graduate schools/docoral programmes
  - good practises and quality assurance in doctoral training
  - assuring of good career perspectives for the doctoral candidates/fresh doctorates
- **Identification of the RC’s strengths and challenges related to the practises and quality of doctoral training, and the actions planned for their development.**
- **Additional material:** TUHAT compilation of the RC’s other scientific activities/supervision of doctoral dissertations

**ASPECTS:** Processes and good practices related to leadership and management

Teaching and supervision at the graduate level deserves high marks. AG ECON cooperates both with KATAJA and FDPE. The PhD programme also relies on NOVA University Network and involves the departments of agricultural economics in Denmark, Finland, Norway and Sweden. This is an excellent way of setting up a well functioning PhD programme. The list of foreign lecturers giving courses is quite
impressive. Importance of quantitative methods in this discipline has been well understood, which obvious
from courses on nonparametric statistics and Econometrics Methods for Censored Data. AG ECON has also
organized PhD courses on their own. The RC’s co-operation with KATAJA is valuable to the students
considering that agriculture is an important area of business administration.

In some occasions writing the thesis takes place in a research institute, which is an acceptable practice
in cases where the institute allows the student to work full time on his or her thesis. This has obviously
been the case here.

Supervision of graduate students is organised in a satisfactory fashion. It appears that every student
has at least one personal supervisor. Recruiting students is carried out within research projects but follows
all good practices (select the most talented students, admit no unfunded ones, send the students to
present in workshops and seminars, national and international, etc.).

Students are not trained to seek jobs (no job seminar or interview training) but AG ECON has been
helpful in the process of finding a job for a finishing graduate student. AG ECON is candid with the
potential doctoral students in helping them to understand the risks associated with funding and with their
doctoral studies in general.

As already mentioned, graduate students are recruited into projects. An alternative could be a common
(annual) selection procedure using NOVA. However, since most graduate students are financed via
projects, this may not be a realistic alternative at the moment but could be considered in the long run.

**Numeric evaluation: 5 (Outstanding)**

### 2.3 The societal impact of research and doctoral training

- **Description on how the RC interacts with and contributes to the society (collaboration with public,
private and/or 3rd sector).**
- **Identification of the ways to strengthen the societal impact of the RC’s research and doctoral training.**
- **Additional material: TUHAT compilation of the RC’s other scientific activities.**

**ASPECTS: Societal impact, national and international collaboration, innovativeness**

AG ECON collaborates with a number of research institutes and international organizations. Collaboration
with developing countries such as Namibia is important as is working with several Finnish ministries,
including the Ministry of Agriculture and Forestries and the Ministry of Foreign Affairs. It also collaborates
with several private sector groups such as producer organizations and other agriculture interest groups. It
offers expertise to international organizations in the area of sustainable development. Collaboration with
the research institute MTT Economics must be mentioned here also because of its physical location close
to AG ECON.

Given the size of AG ECON, there may not be much scope to expand the societal contributions, but the
existing level appears sufficient, given that he main tasks of AG ECON are and have to be research and
teaching.

**Numeric evaluation: 4 (Excellent)**

### 2.4 International and national (incl. intersectoral) research collaboration and researcher mobility

- **Description of**
  - the RC’s research collaborations and joint doctoral training activities
  - how the RC has promoted researcher mobility
- **Identification of the RC’s strengths and challenges related to research collaboration and researcher
mobility, and the actions planned for their development.**

**ASPECTS: Scientific quality, national and international collaboration**
Departments of Agricultural Economics in Scandinavia and Estonia are in regular contact with each other. Since these departments are generally small, AG ECON draws benefits from this. The PhD programme organized by NOVA has already been mentioned. AG ECON also has contacts with several European universities through research programmes funded by EU.

Research visits occur to many developing but also developed countries. AG ECON also hosts visitors from several countries.

Visiting foreign universities seems to be restricted by the lack of a functioning system of sabbatical leaves. The leading researchers of AG ECON obviously suffer from this. The University should look into this problem.

AG ECON plans to increase co-operation with Royal Swedish Academy of Agriculture and Forestry. The present form of co-operation is not described in the report, so it is not possible to assess the importance of increasing it.

Numeric evaluation: 4 (Excellent)

2.5 Operational conditions

- Description of the operational conditions in the RC’s research environment (e.g. research infrastructure, balance between research and teaching duties).
- Identification of the RC’s strengths and challenges related to operational conditions, and the actions planned for their development.

ASPECTS: Processes and good practices related to leadership and management

AG ECON profits from being housed in the same building as the research institute MTT Economics. This generates various synergies. Researchers at this institute help as thesis advisors, examiners, etc. The institute allows AG ECON to use its databases. All this is useful for AG ECON and should continue.

An advantage of the research environment of this RC is flexible working time. The more flexibility a professional scholar has, the better. In effect, top universities should not have much difference between 3 PM and 3 AM.

AG ECON members have heavy teaching loads and plenty of administration duties. This impairs their ability to carry out serious research. Joint research with graduate students (giving them relevant problems related to one’s own research) would alleviate the problem slightly but would not solve it. The University has to pay attention to this issue.

2.6 Leadership and management in the researcher community

- Description of
  - the execution and processes of leadership in the RC
  - how the management-related responsibilities and roles are distributed in the RC
  - how the leadership- and management-related processes support
    - high quality research
    - collaboration between principal investigators and other researchers in the RC
    - the RC’s research focus
    - strengthening of the RC’s know-how
  - Identification of the RC’s strengths and challenges related to leadership and management, and the actions planned for developing the processes

ASPECTS: Processes and good practices related to leadership and management

AG ECON is one of three RCs within the department, so it takes care of the general administration. Research administration is by project; each project is typically led by one professor.

The graduate students are selected on the basis of their achievements on the Master’s level. This is also true for foreign students, although it is not quite clear how the selection is made. A regular admission
system with (annual) application dates and subsequent selection does not seem to exist. Setting up one would make the admission procedure more competitive and at the end lead to improvements in the quality of admitted students. The number of applications from abroad would probably grow as well, given the reputation of the all-Scandinavian PhD programme.

Students receive information about the job opportunities when they are close to finishing their PhDs. The department could handle this in an organized fashion for all three RCs (‘a Placement Officer’).

2.7 External competitive funding of the RC

- The RCs were asked to provide information of such external competitive funding, where:
  - the funding decisions have been made during 1.1.2005–31.12.2010, and
  - the administrator of the funding is/has been the University of Helsinki
- On the e-form the RCs were asked to provide:
  1) The relevant funding source(s) from a given list (Academy of Finland/Research Council, TEKES/The Finnish Funding Agency for Technology and Innovation, EU, ERC, foundations, other national funding organisations, other international funding organizations), and
  2) The total sum of funding which the organisation in question had decided to allocate to the RCs members during 1.1.2005–31.12.2010.

Competitive funding reported in the text is also to be considered when evaluating this point.

ASPECTS: Scientific quality, scientific significance, societal impact, innovativeness and future significance

It should be noted that AG ECON has been successful in obtaining funding from the EU, which indicates that it has relevant international research connections. Its research has also been funded by the Academy of Finland and several private foundations and societies.

Government money has also been obtained in the form of grants from three ministries. A small amount has been obtained from the Nordic Council of Ministers, presumably as a result of the close co-operation of the Scandinavian agricultural economics departments. The 41 members of AG ECON obtained a total of 1,854,000 euros in external funding, or 309,000 euros per year, over the evaluation period. This amount is very good but the efforts to obtain external funding should not be relaxed.

Efforts to receive EU funds should continue. Contacts to the government should be cultivated even in the future. Private foundations have been important as sources of research money, obviously for individual projects and researchers. Funds from them should be actively searched even in the future.

2.8 The RC’s strategic action plan for 2011–2013

- RC’s description of their future perspectives in relation to research and doctoral training.

ASPECTS: Scientific quality, scientific significance, societal Impact, processes and good practices related to leadership and management, national and international collaboration, innovativeness, future significance

Deepening the co-operation with MTT Economics is an important part of the action plan. This is very sensible because the institute is now (since 2010) located in the same building as AG ECON.

A graduate school is being planned. As is pointed out in the plan, AG ECON by itself is too small to establish one by itself, and other RCs should join in. A Nordic graduate school could be a viable and even natural alternative, if arrangements to finance one can be made. Since a corresponding PhD programme already is in place, building upon that should be considered.

It is important to note that AG ECON is negotiating an additional permanent lecturer position. The action is an important move to strengthen AG ECON.
2.9 Evaluation of the category of the RC in the context of entity of the evaluation material (1-8)

The RC’s fitness to the chosen participation category.
Category 5. The research of the participating community has a highly significant societal impact.

AG ECON fits well into the category it has selected for itself (5). Its PhD programme is even much stronger than could be expected from an RC in this category. The same can be said about academic international collaboration and ties to government offices and national research institutes.

Attention has to be paid to the quality of research and dissemination of results in high-quality international field journals. AG ECON certainly has potential for this, and efforts in that direction would easily earn AG ECON a higher ranking.

Numeric evaluation: 5 (Outstanding)

2.10 Short description of how the RC members contributed the compilation of the stage 2 material

-

2.11 How the UH’s focus areas are presented in the RC’s research

Focus area 5: Welfare and safety

It is mentioned that the research of AG ECON relates to the UH Topic 5: Welfare and Safety. Is the question ‘are presented’ or ‘are represented’? If the former is correct, see the title 11, the answer is that they are not. If the second is correct, the answer is that they are represented in the sense that food is central both to safety and welfare, and thus AG ECON research on food safety is directly linked to Topic 5.

2.12 RC-specific main recommendations

- To increase the volume of high level publications
- To increase the number of sabbatical leaves for the leading researchers
- To continue to assure good career options for RC’s graduates

2.13 RC-specific conclusions

- Excellent international collaboration in the area of doctoral training
- The quality of research is high but research output both can and should be increased
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NAME OF THE RESEARCHER COMMUNITY:
Agricultural Economics (AG ECON)

LEADER OF THE RESEARCHER COMMUNITY:
Professor John Sumelius, Department of Economics and Management

RC-SPECIFIC MATERIAL FOR THE PEER REVIEW:

- Material submitted by the RC at stages 1 and 2 of the evaluation
  - STAGE 1 material: RC’s registration form (incl. list of RC participants in an excel table)
  - STAGE 2 material: RC’s answers to evaluation questions
- TUHAT compilations of the RC members’ other scientific activities 1.1.2005-31.12.2010

NB! Since Web of Science(WoS)-based bibliometrics does not provide representative results for most RCs representing humanities, social sciences and computer sciences, the publications of these RCs will be analyzed by the UH Library (results available by the end of June, 2011)
INTERNATIONAL EVALUATION OF RESEARCH AND DOCTORAL TRAINING AT THE UNIVERSITY OF HELSINKI

RC-SPECIFIC STAGE 1 MATERIAL (registration form)

1 RESPONSIBLE PERSON

Name: Sumelius, John
E-mail: john.sumelius@helsinki.fi
Phone: 0504151191
Affiliation: Employed by University of Helsinki 2005-2010
Street address: Latokartanokaari 9

2 DESCRIPTION OF THE PARTICIPATING RESEARCHER COMMUNITY (RC)

Name of the participating RC (max. 30 characters): Agricultural Economics
Acronym for the participating RC (max. 10 characters): AG ECON
Description of the operational basis in 2005-2010 (eg. research collaboration, joint doctoral training activities) on which the RC was formed (MAX. 2200 characters with spaces): The motivation of forming the AG ECON RC is based on common research interest along different lines of research as well as a common training program for doctoral students. The AG ECON has arisen out of originally three separate majors, which today have become two majors (Agricultural Economics and Entrepreneurship, including on-farm diversification). The AG ECON has strong research collaboration within the different parts of the food chain along the following lines of research: 1. Research in Farm Management and Production Economics as well as Entrepreneurship 2. Agricultural Policy 3. Sustainable Agricultural and Rural Development in Developing Countries (SARG). These lines of research also interact and give synergy effects. The AG ECON has an established common training program for doctoral students to attain their licentiate and doctoral degrees including a regular doctoral seminar series where doctoral students can present and discuss their research with more experienced teachers and other doctoral students. Active cooperation with MTT Economics in the doctoral training and supervision is also taking place. Cooperation is also done with regard to the Farm Accountancy Database, a precious source of quantitative data annually updated and administered by MTT. Therefore external collaborators from MTT Economics to the AG ECON have been named. Since July 2010 MTT Economics is physically situated in the same building in the Vikki Campus as AG ECON.

3 SCIENTIFIC FIELDS OF THE RC

Main scientific field of the RC’s research: social sciences
RC’s scientific subfield 1: Agricultural Economics and Policy
RC’s scientific subfield 2: Business
RC’s scientific subfield 3: Management
RC’s scientific subfield 4: Agriculture, Multidisciplinary
Other, if not in the list:
Participation category: 5. Research of the participating community has a highly significant societal impact.

**Justification for the selected participation category (MAX. 2200 characters with spaces):** The AG ECON research doctoral education has a highly significant impact because it is the only RC giving doctoral training in Agricultural Economics and on-farm diversification in Finland. Food is a basic need. Farm Management, Agricultural Production Economics, Agricultural Policy or Agricultural Development in developing countries are not on the research agenda of other universities in Finland, nor is there any other doctoral education in the field. Research on these topics is also done at the MTT Economics research institute, a close collaboration partner to AG ECON. If AG ECON did not exist doctoral training on the mentioned topics would become marginal in Finland. This could have consequences for the primary food production including agricultural producers and their supporting institutions like extension, education and administration. Finland being a member of the European Community and the Common Agricultural Policy makes it very important to educate doctoral level experts on Agricultural Policy. National legislation and national regulation of the agricultural sector is another strong motivation of societal need for such research and doctoral training. One may furthermore note that the research on Sustainable Agricultural Development which has gained weight since 2006 is important from the point of view that Finnish experts on issues are needed in international collaboration. Many members of AGECON are members of advisory bodies that assist Ministries and other public bodies in policy matters. One member of the AG ECON, Professor Jukka Kola, has become vice-rector of the University of Helsinki.

**Public description of the RC’s research and doctoral training (MAX. 2200 characters with spaces):** In order to realize the program the AG ECON RC cooperates with The Finnish doctoral program in business studies, KATAJA, offered at 13 academic institutions in Finland, the Dep. of Econ.& Manag. being one of them according to topic and need of doctoral students. The other cornerstone of the AG ECON RC doctoral program is the Agricultural Economics research network within the NOVA University Network consisting of Agricultural Economics Departments from Sweden, Norway, Denmark and Finland. The AG ECON has developed a systematic doctoral course programme with these sister Departments through the Agricultural Economics network which NOVA finances on the basis of applications. Each RC in turn arranges one doctoral course. The courses arranged have been/will be the following: July 3-8, 2011, Helsinki, AG ECON, Finland: An introduction to the experimental economics method with applications to agriculture, forestry and environmental and natural resource economics (main teacher Jean Robert Tyran Professor of Economics Director, Centre for Experimental Economics, Switzerland) June 7-11, 2010, Dep. of Econ., Swedish Univ. of Agricultural Sciences: Applied Production Analysis (teacher Robert Chambers, Univ. of Maryland) August 17-28, 2009 Institute of Food and resource Economics, Life, Univ. of Copenhagen, Institutions, Information and Knowledge (teacher Thráinn Eggertsson, New York State Univ) June 8-12, 2008, Dep. of Econ, Swedish Univ. of Agric. Sci.: Applied Nonparametric Econometrics 2009 (teacher: Jeff S. Racine, Mc Master Univ., Canada) June 4-8, 2007 Helsinki, Food Chain, Econometric Methods with Censored Data (teacher Jeffrey M. Wooldridge, MSU). The AG ECON RC also organises some doctoral courses outside this network, particularly in cooperation with MTT Economics. We may mention the doctoral course in 2008 "Risk Theory and Applications in Agricultural Economics and Finance" models June
INTERNATIONAL EVALUATION OF RESEARCH AND DOCTORAL TRAINING AT THE UNIVERSITY OF HELSINKI

RC-SPECIFIC STAGE 1 MATERIAL (registration form)

30-July 3. 2008, Viikki campus. Lecturer was Associate Professor Holly Wang, Dep. of Agric. Econ. Purdue Univ., USA. In 2004 a doctoral course was organised by AG ECON "Performance Analysis: A Parametric Approach with Applications to Agriculture, Manufacturing and Service

Significance of the RC's research and doctoral training for the University of Helsinki (MAX. 2200 characters with spaces):

The significance of AG ECON research has been:
1 quantifying economic aspects for various measurements in agriculture and rural firms like efficiency, productivity, profitability, growth and success of firms, marginal abatement costs; 2 creating monitoring tests, tools and evaluation methods for entrepreneurs and farmers; 3 explaining central phenomena for agricultural firms in transition countries, or perceived role of agriculture; 4 determining some central conditions for sustainable agricultural development.

In the field of rural entrepreneurship the focus of research has been aimed to new venture creation and specially to study the growth of firms in different SME-industries. These industries have been close to forestry and forest machine contracting and transportation as well as to some extend to wood working industries. Entrepreneurship in these industries has very often been and in some case still is a source of additional income for farmers.

The significance of doctoral training has been in providing analytically competent researchers and high level trained experts for research institutes (MTT, PTT and VATT), organizations, administration and similar institutions working for agriculture, area based rural industries and rural development. The RC is regularly supporting Finnish administration like the Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry and the Ministry of Foreign Affairs.

Keywords: Production Economics, Farm Management, Agricultural Policy, Agricultural Development, Entrepreneurship, Management, Sustainability, Sustainable Agriculture, Forestry, Indicators, Econometrics, Profitability, Accounting, Productivity, Technical Efficiency, Allocative Efficiency, Cost efficiency, Agri-environmental schemes, Multifunctionality, Political Economy, Optimization, Linear programming, Dynamic Optimization, Poverty, Land Tenure, Microfinance, Developing Countries, Food Security, Economic Incentives, Abatement cost, Nutrient Leaching, Fertilizers, Multiple Component Analysis, Success, Biodiversity, Organic Agriculture, Climate Change, firm growth, strategic management, diversification, opportunity

Exploitation, logging and transportation

6 QUALITY OF RC’S RESEARCH AND DOCTORAL TRAINING

Justified estimate of the quality of the RC’s research and doctoral training at national and international level during 2005-2010 (MAX. 2200 characters with spaces): The RC's research and doctoral training has been successful in term of both national and international level: the number of peer reviewed articles published by the group has steadily been increasing, the participation of Finnish agricultural economics trained researchers at international conferences and congresses has been increasing, the RC itself has been internalized through integration of more foreign research students during the period of evaluation. The number of doctors with foreign nationality that publicly defend their doctoral theses is not yet big, however it is gradually increasing. About 1-2 doctoral students per year are completing their doctoral degree from the RC.
INTERNATIONAL EVALUATION OF RESEARCH AND DOCTORAL TRAINING AT THE UNIVERSITY OF HELSINKI

RC-SPECIFIC STAGE 1 MATERIAL (registration form)

Comments on how the RC's scientific productivity and doctoral training should be evaluated (MAX. 2200 characters with spaces):

Review of publications by the RC and the resources.

Review of tasks in Research Associations, scientific lectures and presentations, official examinations of doctoral and licentiate thesis, member of PhD committees, Scientific consultancies (evaluator of research programs, referee for journals, referee for professorships and academic positions), scientific positions of trust and acknowledgements,

Evaluation of doctoral theses of the RC by public examiners.

Review of expert tasks in public bodies to illustrate highly significant societal impact of the RC.

Review of non-scientific lectures and public presentations to illustrate highly significant societal impact of the RC.

Feedback from societal institutions, ministries, bodies and organizations evaluate significant societal impact of the RC.

Publishing strategy: Doctoral students and researchers are encouraged to publish in peer-reviewed journals and to make doctoral dissertations consisting of essays and refereed articles. Writing of conference papers for international seminars is encouraged, and to a certain extent financed. The order or publication which is encouraged is to first publish in the own publication series of the Department, then at seminars and conferences and finally in peer reviewed journals.
# LIST OF RC MEMBERS

## NAME OF THE RESEARCHER COMMUNITY: Agricultural Economics

### RC-LEADER

| NAME | J. Sumelius |

### CATEGORY

| 5 |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Last name</th>
<th>First name</th>
<th>PI-status</th>
<th>Title of research and teaching personnel</th>
<th>Affiliation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Agricultural Policy</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Kola</td>
<td>Jukka</td>
<td>x Professor</td>
<td>Faculty of Agriculture and Forestry/Economics and Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Hyytiä</td>
<td>Nina</td>
<td>Doctoral candidate</td>
<td>Faculty of Agriculture and Forestry/Economics and Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Simola</td>
<td>Antti</td>
<td>Doctoral candidate</td>
<td>Faculty of Agriculture and Forestry/Economics and Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Nyairo</td>
<td>Newton</td>
<td>Doctoral candidate</td>
<td>Faculty of Agriculture and Forestry/Economics and Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Arovuori</td>
<td>Kyösti</td>
<td>Licentiate</td>
<td>Faculty of Agriculture and Forestry/Economics and Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Nokkala</td>
<td>Marko</td>
<td>Licentiate</td>
<td>Faculty of Agriculture and Forestry/Economics and Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Karttunen</td>
<td>Kaisa</td>
<td>Doctor</td>
<td>Faculty of Agriculture and Forestry/Economics and Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Latvala</td>
<td>Terhi</td>
<td>Doctor</td>
<td>Faculty of Agriculture and Forestry/Economics and Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Yrjölä</td>
<td>Antti</td>
<td>Doctoral Candidate</td>
<td>Faculty of Agriculture and Forestry/Economics and Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Niemi</td>
<td>Jyrki</td>
<td>Senior researcher</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Lehtonen</td>
<td>Heikki</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Research in Farm Management, Production Economics and Entrepreneurship</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Vlätalo</td>
<td>Matti</td>
<td>x Professor</td>
<td>Faculty of Agriculture and Forestry/Economics and Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Sipiläinen</td>
<td>Timo</td>
<td>x Doctor, University lecturer,</td>
<td>Faculty of Agriculture and Forestry/Economics and Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Mäkinen</td>
<td>Heikki</td>
<td>Doctoral candidate</td>
<td>Faculty of Agriculture and Forestry/Economics and Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Hyvarinen</td>
<td>Antti</td>
<td>Doctoral candidate</td>
<td>Faculty of Agriculture and Forestry/Economics and Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Räckman</td>
<td>Stefan</td>
<td>x Doctor, Postdoctor research</td>
<td>Faculty of Agriculture and Forestry/Economics and Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Krimalova</td>
<td>Veronika</td>
<td>Doctoral candidate</td>
<td>Faculty of Agriculture and Forestry/Economics and Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Myrrä</td>
<td>Sami</td>
<td>Doctor</td>
<td>Faculty of Agriculture and Forestry/Economics and Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Väre</td>
<td>Minna</td>
<td>Doctor</td>
<td>Faculty of Agriculture and Forestry/Economics and Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Rantamäki</td>
<td>Leena</td>
<td>Doctor</td>
<td>Faculty of Agriculture and Forestry/Economics and Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Aro-Heinilä</td>
<td>Esa</td>
<td>Doctoral candidate</td>
<td>Faculty of Agriculture and Forestry/Economics and Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Niemi</td>
<td>Jarkko</td>
<td>Doctor</td>
<td>Faculty of Agriculture and Forestry/Economics and Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Yli-Vilikari</td>
<td>Anja</td>
<td>Doctoral candidate</td>
<td>Faculty of Agriculture and Forestry/Economics and Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Ovaska</td>
<td>Sami</td>
<td>Doctoral candidate</td>
<td>Faculty of Agriculture and Forestry/Economics and Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Koivisto</td>
<td>Arni</td>
<td>Doctoral candidate</td>
<td>Faculty of Agriculture and Forestry/Economics and Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>Pyykkönen</td>
<td>Perttu</td>
<td>Doctor</td>
<td>Faculty of Agriculture and Forestry/Economics and Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>Yehkamäki</td>
<td>Seppo</td>
<td>Doctor, Senior researcher</td>
<td>Faculty of Agriculture and Forestry/Economics and Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>Mäkinen</td>
<td>Pekka</td>
<td>x Professor</td>
<td>Faculty of Agriculture and Forestry/Economics and Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>Soirinsuo</td>
<td>Juho</td>
<td>Doctoral candidate</td>
<td>Faculty of Agriculture and Forestry/Economics and Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>Keskinankaus</td>
<td>Susanna</td>
<td>Doctoral candidate</td>
<td>Faculty of Agriculture and Forestry/Economics and Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>Backman</td>
<td>Riitta</td>
<td>Doctoral candidate</td>
<td>Faculty of Agriculture and Forestry/Economics and Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>Pietola</td>
<td>Kyösti</td>
<td>Docent</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>Latukka</td>
<td>Arto</td>
<td>Director of Farm Accountancy Network</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Research in Sustainable Agricultural and Rural Development in Developing Countries
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Last Name</th>
<th>First Name</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Department</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>Sumelius</td>
<td>John</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>Faculty of Agriculture and Forestry/Economics and Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>Tenaw</td>
<td>Shimelles</td>
<td>Doctoral candidate</td>
<td>Faculty of Agriculture and Forestry/Economics and Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>Islam</td>
<td>Zahidul</td>
<td>Doctoral candidate</td>
<td>Faculty of Agriculture and Forestry/Economics and Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>Parviainen</td>
<td>Tuulikki</td>
<td>Doctoral candidate</td>
<td>Faculty of Agriculture and Forestry/Economics and Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>Ingutia</td>
<td>Rose</td>
<td>Doctoral candidate</td>
<td>Faculty of Agriculture and Forestry/Economics and Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>Sievänen</td>
<td>Riikka</td>
<td>Doctoral candidate</td>
<td>Faculty of Agriculture and Forestry/Economics and Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>Chen</td>
<td>Quizhen</td>
<td>Visiting scholar</td>
<td>Faculty of Agriculture and Forestry/Economics and Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>Liu</td>
<td>YuXiang</td>
<td>Visiting scholar</td>
<td>Faculty of Agriculture and Forestry/Economics and Management</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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**BACKGROUND INFORMATION**

Name of the RC’s responsible person: Sumelius, John
E-mail of the RC’s responsible person: john.sumelius@helsinki.fi
Name and acronym of the participating RC: Agricultural Economics, AG ECON

The RC’s research represents the following key focus area of UH: 5. Hyvinvointi ja turvallisuus – Welfare and safety

Comments for selecting/not selecting the key focus area:

**FOCUS AND QUALITY OF RC’S RESEARCH (MAX. 8800 CHARACTERS WITH SPACES)**

- Description of the RC’s research focus, the quality of the RC’s research (incl. key research questions and results) and the scientific significance of the RC’s research for the research field(s).

The research themes of the RC can be divided into six different focuses. Some of them relate to the particular traits of food and farming in Finland, some are international or EU level related and some relate to developing countries. The first research focus of the RC has been to estimate productivity growth and its components empirically (efficiency, the scale effect, technical change on farm level) (Sipiläinen). Important questions relate to dairy and cereal production, to the implications of efficiency for N and P fertilizer management (Bäckman), how land tenure affects productivity (Myyrä) and how micro financing (in Bangladesh) affects efficiency and productivity, after correcting for selectivity bias (Islam, Bäckman and Sumelius). About 15 peer reviewed articles and several other publications relate to this focus.

A second focus concerns other farm level production economics and management issues, i.e. determinants of farmland prices and farm retirements, optimal slaughter maturity, success of farming, diversification of farms, and management of farmer owned cooperatives. The most important determinants of farm retirements are age, number of successors, farm size, and income loss. Succession plans by elderly farmers did not provide significant information (Väre). Diversified farms perform differently, the importance of professional skills and networks being emphasized. Over-diversification is a threat to success (Rantamäki-Lahtinen). Correlations between subjective success perception and variables describing size and financial outcome of Finnish farms were generally low. The results indicate that farmers’ subjective interpretation of the opportunities provided by the environment as well as their individual motivation-related factors largely determine how they expect to perform in farming (H. Mäkinen et al.). Hogs of improved genotype can reach optimal slaughter maturity quicker and produce leaner meat than hogs of poorer genotype. Producers should adjust feeding patterns on the basis of genotype. Optimal feeding pattern, growth ratio and slaughtering depend upon price ratios (Niemi).

A third focus relates to the growth of the firm in three different industries in rural regions. Fast growth is a challenging phase in every firm’s life. The external economies derived from industrial concentrations are not to be found in the woodworking sector. Such concentrations that currently exist are not expected to develop. This creates a weakness that could affect the sectors’ ability to grasp new opportunities (P. Mäkinen and Selby). Growth seems to reinforce the economic situation of the logging and transportation firms as it was before the growth took place. A strengthening “trend” is subcontracting. Entrepreneurs should focus on increasing profitability by developing a business model in terms of resources (machinery, employees, capital and know-how) (P. Mäkinen and Soirinsuo).
A fourth focus relates to multifunctionality of Agriculture on Finnish, European and international level. Some economists have suggested that a renationalization of the CAP would be an applicable way to proceed when pursuing a policy sensitive enough to national or local needs and priorities (Niemi and Kola 2005). Multifunctionality of agriculture is within the growing importance in agricultural policies, in EU and elsewhere (Sumelius, Bäckman 2008). Studies on actual and preferred policy measures in order to improve and/or to maintain the multifunctional role of agriculture (MFA) show that Finnish farmers are willing to accept an increasing number of MFA targeted objects as a basis for agricultural support (Arovuo 2005) while a wider role of agriculture is highly acknowledged among Finnish experts (Arovuo & Kola), as a reflection of deep ecological theory and modern ecological ethics. Experts in China, on the contrary, stressed the economic function (Chen and Sumelius, 2008). Differences between China and Finland are also manifested in timely differences of implementing policies for MFA (Chen, Sumelius, and Arovuo 2009). Central gaps in research on MFA in Europe have also been identified (Sumelius and Bäckman, 2008). A doctoral thesis on agri-environmental indicators currently in pre-examination will shed additional light on the MFA issue (Yli-Viikari) as well as a book on the contribution of forests to the economic, ecological and social dimension which are dependent on time and place (Vehkamäki). However, among Finnish consumers MFA functions of agriculture are still secondary, they are willing to support domestic agriculture, first and foremost, as a producer of safe and high-quality food (Hyytiä and Kola 2006). A majority of Finnish consumers regard increased information on the quality and safety of food (incl. zoonotic diseases and harmful risk factors) as significant (Latvala 2009). Environmental problems connected with agriculture in transition countries (the former centrally-planned economies of central and eastern Europe) have changed after transition (Sumelius, Bäckman and Sipiläinen 2005). Marginal Abatement Costs (MAC) on farm level for an N-tax, a product tax and an N-quota are positive for N-taxes lower than 60%, (Sumelius, Mesić, et al. 2005).

The fifth focus includes issues concerning rural and regional policies, rural-urban connections and the regional effects of bioenergy production in Finland. In order to maintain and improve the economic livelihood of remote rural regions, the main challenge is to recruit new firms and industries and skilled labour force to these areas. A clear message for the policy-makers is that they should provide the economic environment and a financial framework, in which rural enterprises could prosper, and help more concretely and with targeted measures by regional and local actions. (Törmä, Hyytiä & Kola 2008) Increase in bioenergy lowered the levels of GDP and employment marginally but, nevertheless, helped to achieve the emission reduction goals (Simola & Kola 2010).

The sixth focus of the RC is sustainable agriculture and its connection to agricultural development and poverty reduction in the developing countries. Dissertation on Rural Income Generation and Diversification in Eastern Zambia (Kaisa Karttunen), a dissertation "Prosopis a curse or a blessing: an ecologic-economic analysis on an invasive alien Tree species in Sudan" by Jörn Laxén (in cooperation with forest researchers of VITRI), and several ongoing doctoral theses (e.g. Nyairo, Parviainen and Islam). Themes include effects of policies on food security in Kenya and Zambia, how different land use forms affect cost-benefit ratios and poverty alleviation in Namibia and effects of microfinance on productivity and efficiency in Bangladesh. The RC anticipate that two new doctors (Nyairo, Parviainen) working with agricultural development in developing countries will finalize their doctoral theses 2011 and one more (Islam) in 2012.

A total of ten doctoral dissertations (T. Sipiläinen, S. Bäckman, L. Rantamäki-Lahtinen, M. Väre, P. Pyykkönen, S. Myyrä, Jarkko Niemi, T. Latvala, K. Karttunen, J. Laxén, and two licentiate (M. Nokkala, K. Arovurori) thesis were completed through the activities of the research group. The members of the RC have good international connections to the leading scientific organizations and universities. Vice-Rector, Professor Jukka Kola is an adviser to the board of International Food and Agribusiness Management Association, Professor Sumelius has become a member of The Royal Swedish
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Academy of Agriculture and Forestry (KSLA) and Professor Ylätalo is Honorary Doctor of the Estonian University of Life Sciences.

- Ways to strengthen the focus and improve the quality of the RC’s research.

The RC has a both a real and a potentially highly significant impact in investigating production of an indispensable good, food, the lack of which is plaguing large parts of mankind. The applications to practical conditions are strong on national and international level and networking is rather easy to make. The impact could therefore be strengthened by still more increasing networks with institutions like universities in developing countries and still increasing relations to international research institutes like IFPRI. The results from doctoral thesis, which have been documented in popular (or refereed) articles, professional magazines and in public talks could still be brought out to the general public to higher degree. More student exchange, and research visits both to and from European and oversea countries would provide opportunities to improve the quality of research and increase the collaboration with distinguished researchers.

2 PRACTICES AND QUALITY OF DOCTORAL TRAINING (MAX. 8800 CHARACTERS WITH SPACES)

- How is doctoral training organised in the RC? Description of the RC’s principles for recruitment and selection of doctoral candidates, supervision of doctoral candidates, collaboration with faculties, departments/institutes, and potential graduate schools/doctoral programmes, good practises and quality assurance in doctoral training, and assuring good career perspectives for the doctoral candidates/fresh doctorates.

Totally 10 doctoral examinations (T. Sipiläinen, S. Bäckman, L. Rantamäki-Lahtinen, M. Väre, P. Pyykkönen, S. Myyryä, Jarkko Niemi, T. Latvala, K. Karttunen, J. Laxén, and 2 licentiate (M. Nokkala, K. Arovurori) thesis were completed through the activities of the research group.

In order to realize the doctoral training the AG ECON RC cooperates with the Finnish doctoral program in business studies, KATAJA, offered at 13 academic institutions in Finland, the Department of Economics and Management being one of them according to topic and need of doctoral students. The other cornerstone of the AG ECON RC doctoral program is the Agricultural Economics research network within the NOVA University Network consisting of Agricultural Economics Departments from Sweden, Norway, Denmark and Finland. The AG ECON RC has developed a systematic doctoral course programme with these sister Departments through the Agricultural Economics network which NOVA finances on the basis of applications. Each RC (department) in turn arranges one doctoral course. The courses arranged have been/will be the following: July 3-8, 2011, Helsinki, AG ECON, Finland: An introduction to the Experimental Economics method with applications to agriculture, forestry and environmental and natural resource economics (main teacher Jean Robert Tyran, Professor of Economics Director, Centre for Experimental Economics, Switzerland) June 7-11, 2010, Department of Economics, Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences: Applied Production Analysis (teacher Robert Chambers, University of Maryland) August 17-28, 2009 Institute of Food and Resource Economics, Life, University of Copenhagen, Institutions, Information and Knowledge (teacher Thráinn Eggertsson, New York State University) June 8-12, 2008, Department of Economics, Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences: Applied Nonparametric Econometrics 2009 (teacher: Jeff S. Racine, Mc Master University, Canada) June 4-8, 2007 Helsinki, Food Chain, Finland, Econometric Methods with Censored Data (teacher Jeffrey M. Wooldridge, MSU, Michigan State University). The course last mentioned was organised in cooperation with the FDP (Finnish Doctoral Program in Economics) and partly financed by them.

The AG ECON RC also organises some doctoral courses outside this network, particularly in cooperation with MTT Economics. We may mention the doctoral course in 2008 “Risk Theory and Applications in...
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Agricultural Economics and Finance” June 30-July 3, Viikki campus. Lecturer was Associate Professor Holly Wang, Department of Agricultural Economics, Purdue University, USA. In 2004 a doctoral course was organised by AG ECON “Performance Analysis: A Parametric Approach with Applications to Agriculture, Manufacturing and Services Helsinki May 31 to June 4, Viikki campus. Lecturers were Prof. Subal C. Kumbhakar, State University of New York, Binghamton and Dr. Almas Heshmati, MTT Economics, Finland. Doctoral students of the AG ECON RC may also take part in courses organised by the Finnish Doctoral Program in Economics (FDPE) according to availability of free places on the courses.

Recruitment and selection of doctoral candidates is based on the success accomplished during M.Sc. studies, either at the Department of Economics and Management or elsewhere. Particularly the grading of Master thesis and course grading affects the selection. The RC has two regular positions for doctoral students. However, since the RC does not have any doctoral school, recruitment to a large degree happens in connection with research projects. For foreign doctoral student recruitment also other type of funding is common (scholarships, grants, exchange programs). Supervision of doctoral students is mainly a task of one of the four professors and the University lecturer, often in connection with seminars, group meetings and other events. The RC cooperates with other RCs at the Department, e.g. Environmental Economics and Consumer Economics and representatives of the majors Marketing and Food Economics. Cooperation with doctoral courses with the forest economists of the faculty has also been employed. Collaboration in doctoral thesis supervision has been done with forests scientist (e.g. Jörn Laxen) and with Food Economics (Rikka Sievänen).

A number of doctoral theses have been carried out while the doctoral candidate has been working for the research institute MTT Economics, nowadays situated in the same building as the RC and the Department. When a doctoral thesis mainly is done at MTT the doctoral candidate typically may have a second supervisor from MTT. AG ECON also collaborates with Pellervo Economic Research Institute (PTT) in organising courses and seminars and with the Finnish Forest Research Institute (Metla) and with the Ruralia Institute in Mikkeli and Seinäjoki.

Practices that have been found good and quality assuring are the following: 1. Recruit only doctoral candidates that have (the highest) potential to fulfil their doctoral studies. 2. Check the possibilities for obtaining funding and explain the risks attached to unsure funding for the doctoral students. 3. Make students aware of all the risks attached to doctoral studies and that continuing finance is attached to the success of studies. 4. Let the students of the RC form own groups of peers which support each other (particularly important for foreign doctoral students) 5. Make sure each doctoral student has a supervisor that takes responsibility for mentoring and for finance. 6. Encourage doctoral students to present their preliminary findings in domestic and international seminars, workshops and conferences. 7. Encourage students to submit manuscripts to peer-reviewed journals.

Assuring good career perspectives for fresh doctors is usually done through pointing out existing post to be filled or project assignments in Finland and abroad. The RC usually provides recommendations explaining the particular capabilities and specific strengths of the fresh doctors.

RC’s strengths and challenges related to the practises and quality of doctoral training, and the actions planned for their development.

The strength of the RC has been in: 1 quantifying economic aspects for various measurements in agriculture and rural firms like efficiency, productivity, profitability, growth and success of firms, marginal abatement costs; 2 creating monitoring tests, tools and evaluation methods for entrepreneurs and farmers; 3 explaining central phenomena for agricultural firms in transition countries, or perceived role of agriculture; 4 determining some central conditions for sustainable agricultural development.
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One major way to improve the quality of the RC’s research is to find ways to finance doctoral students more continuously, e.g. through graduate schools. At the moment too many of the doctoral students rely upon short-term funding. Funding through the Academy of Finland is another way.

3 SOCIETAL IMPACT OF RESEARCH AND DOCTORAL TRAINING (MAX. 4400 CHARACTERS WITH SPACES)

- Description of how the RC interacts with and contributes to the society (collaboration with public, private and/or 3rd sector).

The RC interacts with society in various ways; by public talks and lectures, participation in public working groups and committees. The RC is regularly supporting Finnish administration like the Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry and the Ministry of Foreign Affairs, Ministry of Environment as well as some foreign administration like Namibian Ministry of Agriculture, Water and Forestry and Ministry of Environment. The RC also interacts with national and international organisations (IFAMA; FAO; AGRICORD; ICA, EU Commission), research institutes and research bodies (IFPRI, KSLA, MTT, NJF), tasks in the private sector and tasks in municipalities. It collaborates with third sectors organisation like producer unions and farmer groups. The RC also has much collaboration with institutes and organisations in developing countries and based on its research gives recommendations on issues relating to agriculture, farm management, entrepreneurship and agricultural policy.

In the field of rural entrepreneurship the focus of research has been aimed to new venture creation and specially to study the growth of firms in different SME-industries. These industries have been close to forestry and forest machine contracting and transportation as well as to some extend to wood working industries. Entrepreneurship in these industries has very often been and in some case still is a source of additional income for farmers.

One may furthermore note that the research on Sustainable Agricultural Development which has gained weight since 2006 is important from the point of view that Finnish experts on issues are needed in international collaboration. It participates in the High Level Panel of Experts on Food Security under the Committee on World Food Security (CFS). One member of the AG ECON, Professor Jukka Kola, has become vice-rector of the University of Helsinki.

The significance of doctoral training has been in educating analytically competent researchers and high level trained experts for research institutes (MTT, PTT and VATT), organizations, administration and similar institutions working for agriculture, area based rural industries and rural development. The RC is regularly supporting Finnish administration like the Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry and the Ministry of Foreign Affairs.

- Ways to strengthen the societal impact of the RC’s research and doctoral training.

The AG ECON research doctoral education has a highly significant impact because it is the only RC giving doctoral training in Agricultural Economics and on-farm diversification in Finland. This education could be further strengthened by increasing the number of networks nationally and internationally and make its research more visible through increased public talks, better websites and more communication with society. There is also scope to increase its influence on municipal level. Improving focus on the needs of doctoral student from the developing world would strengthen the impact these scholars will have on respective societies when they return home. Stronger ties through cooperation with other sister Departments in the Nordic countries, the Baltic countries and in the EU, with Nordic institution and with the European administrative bodies could strengthen the societal impact of the RC. Increased research cooperation with the neighbouring regions of Russia would probably be important from the point of the whole food sector in both countries.
4 INTERNATIONAL AND NATIONAL (INCL. INTERSECTORAL) RESEARCH COLLABORATION AND RESEARCHER MOBILITY (MAX. 4400 CHARACTERS WITH SPACES)

- Description of the RC’s research collaborations and joint doctoral training activities and how the RC has promoted researcher mobility.

In order to realise the program the AG ECON RC cooperates with The Finnish doctoral program in business studies, KATAJA, offered at 13 academic institutions in Finland, the Department of Economics and Management being one of them. The other cornerstone of the RC doctoral program is the Agricultural Economics research network within the NOVA University Network consisting of Agricultural Economics Departments from Sweden, Norway, Denmark and Finland. The RC has developed a systematic doctoral course programme with these sister departments through the Agricultural Economics network which NOVA finances on the basis of applications. The NOVA financed courses have been summarized in point 2 “Practises and quality of doctoral training”. Doctoral students of the AG ECON RC may also take part in courses organised by the Finnish Doctoral Program in Economics (FDPE) according to availability of free places on the courses.

The RC is having extensive national collaboration with MTT Agrifood Research Finland (particularly MTT Economics, but also with other MTT research units) and Pellervo Economic Research institute, both in doctoral training and in research. Several of the students that graduate as M.Sc. or as doctors have become employed by MTT Economics or PTU so many former students and doctoral candidates work at these research institutes. Doctoral courses have been partly financed by MTT. Several research projects have been carried out in cooperation with these two institutions. Cooperation is also done with the Finnish Forest Research Institute (Metla), with the Government Institute for Economic Research (VATT) and with the Ruralia Institute in Mikkeli and Seinäjoki. Currently doctoral candidates of the RC are working in MTT, PTU, VATT and the Ruralia Institute. The RC has a weekly joint doctoral seminar together with the MTT and the ENFIFO RC at the Department. The RC regularly cooperates also with the ENFIFO RC and other disciplines at the Department.

The RC has collaborated with many European Universities and research institutes through EU funded research projects (Univ. Aberdeen, Univ. Bologna, Agricultural Univ. of Athens, Univ. of Patras, INRA, CEMAGREF, Wageningen Univ., Inst. for Rural Development Res- (IfLS), Johann Wolfgang Goethe – Univ. of Frankfurt Main, Jelgava-Latvia, Ankara-Turkey). Close contacts in research and doctoral training is also kept with the agricultural universities in Sweden, Denmark, Norway and Estonia. Research been done with some American universities (Univ. of Urbana Champagne).

The RC is promoting mobility of researchers through participation in seminars (European countries, south Africa, Nigeria, Kenya, Lesotho etc.), through research visits to countries (e.g. Namibia, Bangladesh, Kenya, Zambia, Turkey, Russia, Nordic Countries, EU), through Erasmus and Finnish Academy researcher and student visitors from EU, China, Bangladesh. Regular monthly contacts are kept to Sweden, Denmark, Norway and Estonia. Doctoral candidates have been studying at some American universities (MSU, U.C. Davies).

Good connection is also kept to many developing country universities (Mekelle and Bahir Dar, Ethiopia, Jahangirnagar Univ., Bangladesh, University of Namibia, Universidad Nacional Agraria, Nicaragua).

- RC’s strengths and challenges related to research collaboration and researcher mobility, and the actions planned for their development.

The strength of the RC is a strong collaboration with Nordic Countries, some European universities and some outside Europe. The doctoral training within the Nordic NOVA-network is working well. Challenges relate to the possibility for both post graduate researchers and doctoral students to make longer visits
to universities or research institutes abroad. The possibility of having a few months of sabbatical leave would bring new contacts and influences on the RC. This is basically a financial question, partly because the teaching responsibility for all doctoral teachers (September-May). Currently the RC in cooperation with other RCs at the department is discussing the possibility to save some funds for a prominent researcher for sabbatical periods. Better use of Erasmus exchange possibilities, of Finnish Academy funding and possible coordination to give teaching free periods to researchers has been discussed. Travel money should be sought from Academy of Finland. Collaboration with the Royal Swedish Academy of Agriculture and Forestry will be increased.

5 OPERATIONAL CONDITIONS (MAX. 4400 CHARACTERS WITH SPACES)

- Description of the operational conditions in the RC’s research environment (e.g. research infrastructure, balance between research and teaching duties).

All researcher have a PC, most researchers, however, share rooms. The operational conditions are limited by small funds for participating in international seminars. Computer software is rather good the RC and the department having econometric and statistical programs (Stata, Eviews, R for windows, Limdep, N-logit, SPSS, SAS) and modeling and mathematical programs (Matlab, Mathematica). The RC has available two seminar rooms and a meeting together with the rest of the department. The working times are flexible; one day of distant work is done at home, particularly by those having long travel from home. The research institute MTT Economics is situated in the same building, offering some synergies in research, doctoral training and course and seminar organization (e.g. weekly seminar series common with the AG ECON RC, other RCs at the department and with MTT). The RC also has good contacts to the research institute Pellervo Economic Research PTT through several (4) former students, nowadays doctors working in the institute. Through Professor Pekka Mäkinen the RC also has close connection to the Ruralia Institute and to the Finnish Forest Research Institute (Metla).

Doctoral researchers have limited possibilities to become free from teaching (and administrative duties) to do longer period of research, except from May to August and some weeks around Christmas time. Teaching is to a certain extent also given in Swedish because of one professor (Sumelius) having a Swedish-language chair. The teaching load for professors and university lectures are typically some 500-1000 hours a year per teacher (100-150 hours lectures and seminars, preparation of lectures and teaching material, correction of exercises and exams, guidance of candidate and master theses). Administrative duties typically make up approximately a few hundred hours per year (more for the vice-rector) and services to the society some hundred hours a year. The two doctoral students employed on university funds have more time to put on their doctoral work. Doctoral students on external funding have limited teaching responsibilities, maybe some 5% of working time.

- RC’s strengths and challenges related to operational conditions, and the actions planned for their development.

The strength of the operational conditions is good critical mass, close relations to other RCs at the department, in the faculty or the University and a close relation to MTT Economics. Flexibility in working time and physical place is also an advantage.

One of the major challenges relates to arranging funding e.g. from the Academy of Finland or from the Framework Programs of the EU to be able to free teachers for research for longer periods. Another challenge is arranging long-term finance for doctoral students who now are mainly financed through short term funding, often based on private foundations. Actions planned are creating or participating in a doctoral school for one or two students. Contacts with other RCs in the EU working with agricultural development issues should be made. Application to the EU framework programs should be done.
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The RC has experience from several (up to 10) EU-research projects during the current and earlier periods. When suitable and interesting calls for the EU projects open the RC actively searches for partnerships with European colleagues.

6 LEADERSHIP AND MANAGEMENT IN THE RESEARCHER COMMUNITY (MAX. 4400 CHARACTERS WITH SPACES)

- Description of the execution and processes of leadership in the RC, how the management-related responsibilities and roles are distributed in the RC and how the leadership- and management-related processes support high quality research, collaboration between principal investigators and other researchers in the RC, the RC’s research focus and strengthening of the RC’s know-how.

The AG ECON is one of three RCs at the Department, participating in the evaluation. Within the RC the research focus earlier described is basically led by a professor or university lecturer, which is supervising and collaborating with doctoral students. The group meets and discusses either in separate smaller subgroups or in common occasions like seminars. Some professors do more teaching or administrative work while some other focus more on research. The division of tasks naturally also follow the particular research focuses of the principal investigators. Larger project funding is basically sought by the principal investigators, including professors. Doctoral students mainly seek financial assistance from foundations while professors provide recommendations or statements.

One common criterion for recruitment of doctoral students are the success and grading of master thesis. Recruitment is usually done in the end of M.Sc. studies. Recruitment of foreign student based is based on research interest and grading of the courses and master thesis.

The AG ECON has an established common training program for doctoral students to attain their licentiate and doctoral degrees including a regular doctoral seminar series where doctoral students can present and discuss their research with more experienced teachers and other researchers. Active cooperation with MTT Economics in the doctoral training and supervision is also taking place. External collaborators from MTT Economics to the AG ECON are often appointed as co-supervisors. The MTT also often offers its Farm Accountancy Database to the RC, a precious source of quantitative data annually updated and administered by MTT. Since July 2010 MTT Economics is physically situated in the same building in the Vikki Campus as AG ECON. Therefore external collaborators from MTT Economics to the AG ECON have been named in the RC Excel file.

Leadership within the RC is happening through each professor or university lecturer giving regular comments on manuscripts, also suggesting conferences and journals for publishing research results. Eventually when doctoral thesis is ready suggestions for work places are given.

Collaboration between professors and other principal investigators is quite common which can be seen from many coauthored publications. The collaboration can therefore mix quite much. Some members mentioned in the RC (e.g. Sievänen) is actually doing their doctoral thesis for a professor outside the RC.

The AG ECON research focus was explained in detail in point 1. In still more general terms the focus concern different parts of the food chain along the following lines of research: 1. Research in Farm Management and Production Economics as well as Entrepreneurship 2. Agricultural Policy 3. Sustainable Agricultural and Rural Development in Developing Countries (SARG). These lines of research also interact and give synergy effects.

Strengthening the know-how of the group is accomplished through regular reading of journal articles, research visits, guests and email contact with good international researchers.
RC-SPECIFIC STAGE 2 MATERIAL

- RC’s strengths and challenges related to leadership and management, and the actions planned for developing the processes.

  One strength is good cooperation with the MTT who can provide additional supervision and funding for doctoral students, as well as sometimes for doctoral courses. Additional strength is relatively much time given to the supervision of doctoral students. The strength of the RC is good international networking. The major weakness is unsecure funding for doctoral students. The action planned is to take part or to create some doctoral school in cooperation with others RCs. One action that has been planned and actually has succeeded is to collect money for the foundation of a new University lectureship in Swedish. It seems like the RC has succeeded in this task and that a new regular researcher will be appointed during 2011. This will open up for one more principal investigator taking part in research and supervision of doctoral students.

7 EXTERNAL COMPETITIVE FUNDING OF THE RC

- Listing of the RCs external competitive funding, where:
  - the funding decisions have been made during 1.1.2005-31.12.2010, and
  - the administrator of the funding is/has been the University of Helsinki

- Academy of Finland (AF) - total amount of funding (in euros) AF has decided to allocate to the RC members during 1.1.2005-31.12.2010: 150000

- Finnish Funding Agency for Technology and Innovation (TEKES) - total amount of funding (in euros) TEKES has decided to allocate to the RC members during 1.1.2005-31.12.2010:

- European Union (EU) - total amount of funding (in euros) EU has decided to allocate to the RC members during 1.1.2005-31.12.2010: 400000

- European Research Council (ERC) - total amount of funding (in euros) ERC has decided to allocate to the RC members during 1.1.2005-31.12.2010:

- International and national foundations - names of international and national foundations which have decided to allocate funding to the RC members during 1.1.2005-31.12.2010, and the amount of their funding (in euros).
  - names of the foundations: Metsämiesten säätiö;
  - Shops and Society (MAEK);
  - Finnish Society of Science and Letters
  - Kordel Foundation
  - Juho Vainio fondation
  - Niemi Foundation
  - Helander Foundation
  - Svenska Kulturfonden
  - Suomen Kulttuurirahasto
  - Kyösti Haataja Foundation
  - Tiura Foundation
  - Ehrnrooth Foundation;
  - total amount of funding (in euros) from the above-mentioned foundations: 694000
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- Other international funding - names of other international funding organizations which have decided to allocate funding to the RC members during 1.1.2005-31.12.2010, and the amount of their funding (in euros).
  - names of the funding organizations: Nordic Council of Ministers;
  - Nordic Agricultural and Veterinary University;
  - total amount of funding (in euros) from the above-mentioned funding organizations: 30000

- Other national funding (incl. EVO funding and Ministry of Education and Culture funded doctoral programme positions) - names of other national funding organizations which have decided to allocate funding to the RC members during 1.1.2005-31.12.2010, and the amount of their funding (in euros).
  - names of the funding organizations: Finnish Ministry of Foreign Affairs;
  - Finnish Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry;
  - Finnish Ministry of Interior;
  - TTS työtehoseura;
  - total amount of funding (in euros) from the above-mentioned funding organizations: 580000

8 RC’S STRATEGIC ACTION PLAN FOR 2011–2013 (MAX. 4400 CHARACTERS WITH SPACES)

- Description of the RC’s future perspectives in respect to research and doctoral training.

  The RCs strategic action plan 2011–2013 involves the following points:
  1. More resources for research and doctoral training in the RC need to be generated. Negotiations about a new permanent position of university lecturer to be established in the RC (likely from beginning of 2012) are underway. Through this more research and supervision can be carried out. Specifically targeted applications to strategically suitable EU framework programs and to Academy of Finland need to be prepared, taking into account the restriction given by other work and the 20% own funding needed.
  2. Several theses are expected to be finalized in 2011–2012 (Nyairo, Parviainen, Yli-Viikari, Islam, Soirinsuo). Specific support is provided by the RC for the successful completion of these projects.
  3. However, more funds to support students and especially more stable funding is needed. One obvious solution would be establishment of doctoral schools. Doctoral schools are funded by the Academy of Finland and the Ministry of Education and Culture. The RC is too small to create a doctoral school by itself, and therefore, the RC needs to create liaison with other RCs to do this. One possible way to proceed would also be through cooperation with MTT which actively supports doctoral training in the area. One possibility to create more stable funding for the students could be to negotiate partial funding from MTT for example in the form of joint research projects.
  4. Recruitment of competent new students from Finland or from abroad – the number cannot be very large taking into account the current resources of the RC. Talented doctoral students should be recruited.
  5. Increasing collaboration with e.g. MTT Economic Research. MTT Economics is situated in the same building as the RC since July 2010. Although the cooperation is quite tight it could be still increased in daily routines. It could be possible to obtain a second supervisor from MTT for most doctoral candidates.
  6. The RC will hopefully be able to arrange at least a few months sabbatical leaves for principal investigators on a more frequent basis.
  7. The RC might concentrate more on specific, promising topics or themes
a) Research activities dealing with sustainable agricultural development with European partners should be developed further and more proposals to the EU framework programs in this area should be done (e.g. through the EFARD network).

b) Research on productivity and efficiency, farm level production economics and growth of the firm is continuing.

c) Research on the growth of the firm is extended to focus on farm level. The aim will be to find out the profitability of the fast growing farms and specially the ways for profitable growth. Research on logging will concentrate on success factors to be used in development project with a selected group of entrepreneurs.

d) Research activities on the topic of public goods provided by agricultural activities and farms will be a new issue to investigate.

8. Regular cooperation with the Royal Swedish Academy of Agriculture and Forestry (KSLA) is taking place as well with other Nordic, European and American research institutes and universities.

9. Short description of how the RC members have contributed to the compilation of the stage 2 materials (max. 1100 characters with spaces).

Principal investigators have written and commented upon the stage 2 materials together. The Tuhat-database has been updated. In some cases the researchers no longer work at the department (e.g. Vehkamäki and Bäckman) so the contact person (Sumelius) has tried to include their contributions by saving them. In some cases current doctoral students lacked the rights to update the Tuhat-system, so Sumelius updated their contribution, (which erroneously have made some of them to look like his activities or projects).
### 1 Analysis of publications

- Associated person is one of: Jukka Kola, Jukka.Kola@helsinki.fi, Nina Hyytiä, nina.hyytia@helsinki.fi, Antti Simola, Antti.Simola@helsinki.fi, Newton Nyaro, newton.nyaro@helsinki.fi, Jukka Kola, Jukka.Kola@helsinki.fi, Matti Ylä-Anttinen, Matti.Yla-Anttinen@helsinki.fi, Timo Sipiläinen, timo.sipilainen@helsinki.fi, Heikki Mäkinen, Heikki.Makinen@helsinki.fi, Antti Hyvärinen, Antti.Hyvarinen@helsinki.fi, Stefan Backman, Stefan.Backman@helsinki.fi, Veronika Krumevzova, veronika.krumevzova@helsinki.fi, Seppo Vehkamäki, Seppo.Vehkamaki@helsinki.fi, Pekka Mäkinen, Pekka.Makinen@helsinki.fi, Juhho Soirinsuo, juhho.soirinsuo@helsinki.fi, Susanna Sofia Keskinen, susanna.sofia.keskinen@helsinki.fi, John Sumelius, John.Sumelius@helsinki.fi, Shimelles Tenaw, Shimelles.Tenaw@helsinki.fi, K.M Zahidul Islam, zahidul.islam@helsinki.fi, Tuulikki Parviainen, Tuulikki.Parviainen@helsinki.fi, Riikka Savaren, Riikka.Savaren@helsinki.fi

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Publication type</th>
<th>2005</th>
<th>2006</th>
<th>2007</th>
<th>2008</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>Total Count 2005 - 2010</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A1 Refereed journal article</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2 Review in scientific journal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A3 Contribution to book/other compilations (refereed)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A4 Article in conference publication (refereed)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B1 Unrefereed journal article</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B2 Contribution to book/other compilations (non-refereed)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B3 Unrefereed article in conference proceedings</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C1 Published scientific monograph</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C2 Edited book, compilation, conference proceeding or special issue of journal</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D1 Article in professional journal</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D2 Article in professional hand or guide book or in a professional data system, or text book material</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D3 Article in professional conference proceedings</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D4 Published development or research report</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E1 Popular article, newspaper article</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E1 Popular contribution to book/other compilations</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E2 Popular monograph</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2 Listing of publications

A1 Refereed journal article

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Journal</th>
<th>Volume, Issue, Pages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>Bäckman, S, Lansink, AO</td>
<td>Crop and soil specific N and P efficiency and productivity in Finland</td>
<td>Agricultural and Food Science</td>
<td>vol 14, no. 3, pp. 264-276</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>Hekklä, J, Ylimartimo, M, Mäkinen, P</td>
<td>Time consumption, work quality and cost of mechanised precommercial thinning</td>
<td>Baltic Forestry</td>
<td>vol 11, no. 1, pp. 73-79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>Sumelius, J, Bäckman, S, Sipiläinen, T</td>
<td>Agri-environmental problems in central and eastern European countries before and during the transition</td>
<td>Sociologia Ruralis</td>
<td>vol 45, no. 3, pp. 153-170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>Sumelius, J, Mesic, M, Grbic, Z, Kisic, I, Franic, R</td>
<td>Marginal abatement costs for reducing leaching of nitrates in Croatian agriculture</td>
<td>Agricultural and Food Science</td>
<td>vol 14, no. 3, pp. 293-309</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>Mäkinen, P, Selby, JA</td>
<td>Challenges to the growth of Finnish woodworking SMEs</td>
<td>International Journal of Entrepreneurship and Innovation</td>
<td>vol 3, no. 5, pp. 584-606</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>Hossain, MM, Heinonen, V, Islam, KMM</td>
<td>Consumption of food and food stuffs processed with hazardous chemicals: a case study of Bangladesh</td>
<td>International Journal of Consumer Studies</td>
<td>vol 32, no. 6, pp. 588-584</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>Viertikko, K, Velikamaki, S, Niemelä, J, Pettilä, J, Linden, H</td>
<td>Meeting the ecological, social and economic needs of sustainable forest management at a regional scale</td>
<td>Scandinavian Journal of Forest Research</td>
<td>vol 23, no. 5, pp. 431-444</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>Chen, Q, Sumelius, J, Arovuori, K</td>
<td>The evolution of policies for multifunctional agriculture and rural areas in China and Finland</td>
<td>European countryside</td>
<td>vol 1, no. 4, pp. 292-299</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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AG ECON/Sumelius


2010


A2 Review in scientific journal

2010


A3 Contribution to book/other compilations (refereed)

2005


2006


2007


2006


2007


2008
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RC-SPECIFIC TUHAT COMPILATIONS OF PUBLICATIONS DATA 2005-2010

AG ECON/Sumelius

Vehkamäki, S, Vierikko, K, Hanski, I 2008, 'Trade-offs between different types of sustainability in the Finnish forestry', in Collection of the presented scientific papers at the International Symposium on: Emerging needs of society from forest ecosystems: towards the opportunities and dilemmas in forest managerial economics and accounting, pp. 143-154.

2009


Soinisuo, J, Mäkinen, P 2009, 'The importance of financial situation for the growth of a forest machine entrepreneur', in Collection of the presented scientific papers at the International Symposium on: Emerging needs of society from forest ecosystems: towards the opportunities and dilemmas in forest managerial economics and accounting, pp. 143-154.

2010
Huhtala, A, Sipiläinen, T 2010, 'Do the opportunity costs of providing crop diversity differ between organic and conventional farms?: The case of Finnish agriculture', in Fourth World Congress of Environmental and Resource Economists: 28 June - 2 July 2010, Montreal, Canada.


Sievänen, R 2010, 'Two sides of the coin: mapping responsible investment definition versus the definition by pension funds', in Morals and banking: proceedings of the UCSIA international conference, 1-3 December 2010 Antwerp, Belgium.


B1 Unreferred journal article

2006

2007


2008

2009
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2010

B2 Contribution to book/other compilations (non-refereed)

2005

2006


2010


B3 Unrefered article in conference proceedings

2005


2006
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AG ECON/Sumelius


2007

2008


2009

2010


C1 Published scientific monograph

2005
Arovuori, K 2005, Viljelijöiden lausutut preferenssit monivaikutetissa pollitkikäteenostia, Julkaisuja / Helsingin yliopisto, taloustieteen laitos, no. nro 40, Helsingin yliopisto, [Helsinki].

Carrol, D, Coutel, E, Barthélemé, D, Caron, P, Budnickova, E, Zander, P, Renting, H, Sumelius, J, Knickel, K, Sixth Framework Program Research Programme Global Change and Ecosystems 2005, Capitalisation of research result on the multifunctionality of agriculture and rural areas : Maltagi project: summary of main results ..


2006


2007


2008


2009


Hossain, MM, Tenaw, S 2009. Effects of developing country policies on agricultural services, extension, rural infrastructure and energy, health care, water and sanitation. Discussion papers / University of Helsinki, Department of Economics and Management, no. nro 34, University of Helsinki, Department of Economics and Management, Helsinki.
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AG ECON/Sumelius

Teraw, S, Islam, KZ, Islam, KMZ 2009. Rural financial services and effects of microfinance on agricultural productivity and on poverty. Discussion papers / University of Helsinki, Department of Economics and Management, no. no 37, University of Helsinki, Department of Economics and Management, Helsinki.

2010

Sievänen, R 2010. CONTRIBUTION TO GLOBAL ENVIRONMENTAL GOVERNANCE? VIEWPOINT FROM PENSION FUNDS AND UNITED NATIONS PRINCIPLES FOR RESPONSIBLE INVESTMENT, University of Geneva, The Graduate Institute, Geneva.

C2 Edited book, compilation, conference proceeding or special issue of journal

2005


2006


2010

Matilainen, A, Keskinarkaus, S (eds) 2010. The Social Sustainability of Hunting Tourism in Northern Europe, Reports, no. 59, University of Helsinki, Ruralia Institute, Seinäjoki.


D1 Article in professional journal

2006


2009


2010


D2 Article in professional hand or guide book or in a professional data system, or text book material

2005


D3 Article in professional conference proceedings

2005

2006

D4 Published development or research report

2006


2008

Keskinarkaus, S., Matilainen, A., Kurki, S. 2009, E-learning in a rural context: Alternative media and contemporary applications, Reports, no. 65, University of Helsinki, Ruralia Institute, Seinäjoki.
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AG ECON/Sumelius


E1 Popular article, newspaper article

2005


2006


Kola, J 2006. 'Maatalouspolitiikan suunta hukassa', Suomen Kuvalehti, vol 90, no. 42, pp. 54.


2007


Sumelius, J 2007. 'Lantbrukets multifunktionalitet i Kina och i Finland', Landsbygdens Folk.


Sumelius, J 2007. 'Många svenska studenter antagna till agroforststudier', Landsbygdens Folk, pp. 3.


2008


AG ECON/Sumelius
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2009


2010

Keskinarkaus, S, Mattilainen, A 2010, 'Metsästysmatkailun tulevaisuus valtion mailla riippuu sosiaalista kestävyydestä', Ruralia, no. 1, pp. 5.


E1 Popular contribution to book/other compilations

2005


2006

Kola, J 2006, 'Multidisciplinarity and multifunctionality the strengths of the Faculty of Agriculture and Forestry in facing new social challenges', Faculty of Agriculture and Forestry year 2005 , Faculty of Agriculture and Forestry publications, no. 1/2006, University of Helsinki, [Helsinki] ., pp. 5.

2008


E2 Popular monograph

2007


2009


AG ECON/Sumelius
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RC-SPECIFIC TUHAT COMPILATIONS OF OTHER SCIENTIFIC ACTIVITIES 2005-2010

1 Analysis of activities 2005-2010

- Associated person is one of Jukka Kola, Jukka.Kola@helsinki.fi, Nina Hyytiä, nina.hyytia@helsinki.fi, Antti Simola, Antti.Simola@helsinki.fi, Newton Nyaro, newton.nyaro@helsinki.fi, Kyosti Annovuo, kyosti.annovuo@helsinki.fi, Matti Yläoja, Matti.Ylaoja@helsinki.fi, Timo Sipiläinen, timo.sipilainen@helsinki.fi, Heikki Mäkinen, Heikki.Makinen@helsinki.fi, Anti Hyvärinen, Anti.Hyvarinen@helsinki.fi, Stefan Bäckman, Stefan.Baekman@helsinki.fi, Veronika Krumlová, veronika.krumlova@helsinki.fi, Seppo Vihelmakivi, Seppo.Vihelmakivi@helsinki.fi, Pekka Mäkinen, Pekka.Makitam@helsinki.fi, Juho Soinaro, juho.soinaro@helsinki.fi, Susanna Heikkinen, susanna.heikkinen@helsinki.fi, John Sumelius, John.Sumelius@helsinki.fi, Shimelles Tenaw, Shimelles.Tenaw@helsinki.fi, K.M.Zahirul Islam, zahirul.islam@helsinki.fi, Tuulikki Parviainen, Tuulikki.Parviainen@helsinki.fi, Riikka Savolainen, Riikka.Savolainen@helsinki.fi

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity type</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Supervisor or co-supervisor of doctoral thesis</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prizes and awards</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Editor of research journal</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peer review of manuscripts</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Editor of series</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assessment of candidates for academic posts</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Membership or other role in review committee</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Membership or other role in research network</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Membership or other role in national/international committee, council, board</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Membership or other role in public Finnish or international organization</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Membership or other role of body in private company/organisation</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other tasks of an expert in private sector</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participation in interview for written media</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participation in radio programme</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2 Listing of activities 2005-2010

Supervisor or co-supervisor of doctoral thesis

Jukka Kola, Jukka.Kola@helsinki.fi
Supervisor of Doctoral thesis and studies: Kaisa Karttunen, Jukka Kola, 2005 → 2010, Finland
Supervisor of Doctoral thesis and studies: Nina Hyytiä, Jukka Kola, 2005 → …, Finland
Supervisor of Doctoral thesis and studies: Antti Simola, Jukka Kola, 2006 → …, Finland
Supervisor of Doctoral thesis and studies: Marko Nokkala, Jukka Kola, 2006 → …, Finland
Supervisor of doctoral thesis and studies: Kyösti Arovuo, Jukka Kola, 2006 → …, Finland

Matti Ylätalo, Matti.Ylatalo@helsinki.fi
Ongoing Supervision of Doctoral Thesis, Matti Ylätalo, 2005 → …, Finland
Doctoral Dissertation, Matti Ylätalo, 17.05.2006, Finland
Doctoral Dissertation, Matti Ylätalo, 16.02.2007
Ongoing supervision of doctoral thesis, Matti Ylätalo, 2007 → …, Finland
Ongoing supervision of doctoral thesis, Matti Ylätalo, 2007 → …, Finland
Doctoral Dissertation, Matti Ylätalo, 07.03.2008, Finland
Doctoral Dissertation, Matti Ylätalo, 07.05.2009, Finland
Doctoral dissertation, Matti Ylätalo, 20.11.2009, Finland
Ongoing supervision of doctoral thesis, Matti Ylätalo, 2010 → …, Finland
Ongoing supervision of doctoral thesis, Matti Ylätalo, 2010 → …, Finland

Pekka Mäkinen, Pekka.Makinen@helsinki.fi
The success factors of small woodworking enterprises, Pekka Mäkinen, 02.01.2007 → 31.12.2011, Finland
Growth and profitability of logging and transportation small and medium size enterprises, Pekka Mäkinen, 02.01.2009 → 31.12.2011, Finland
Social sustainability in wildlife-based enterprises, Pekka Mäkinen, 01.10.2010 → 31.12.2013, Finland

John Sumelius, John.Sumelius@helsinki.fi
Current supervision of doctoral thesis (2nd supervisor), John Sumelius, 2006 → 2010, Finland
Doctoral Dissertation Supervisor, John Sumelius, 27.04.2007, Finland
Doctoral Dissertation Supervisor, John Sumelius, 28.06.2008
Current supervision of doctoral thesis, John Sumelius, 2010, Finland
Prizes and awards

Jukka Kola, Jukka.Kola@helsinki.fi
"Influencer of the Year" award in 2007 by Agronomiliitto, the Finnish Agronomists' Association. (Vuoden vaikuttaja-palkinto), Jukka Kola, 2007, Finland

Matti Ylätalo, Matti.Ylatalo@helsinki.fi
Honorary Doctor of University of Life Sciences in Estonia, Matti Ylätalo, 09.11.2006, Estonia

John Sumelius, John.Sumelius@helsinki.fi
Fellow of the Royal Swedish Academy of Agriculture and Forestry (KSLA), John Sumelius, 09.12.2010, Sweden

Editor of research journal

John Sumelius, John.Sumelius@helsinki.fi
Ecological Economics, John Sumelius, 01.01.2005 → 31.12.2005
European Congress of Agricultural Economics, John Sumelius, 01.01.2005 → 31.12.2005, Denmark
Agricultural and Food Science, John Sumelius, 01.01.2007 → 31.12.2007

Peer review of manuscripts

Timo Sipiläinen, timo.sipilainen@helsinki.fi
Article aimed at publication in Empirical Economics, Timo Sipiläinen, 31.12.2010
Article aimed at publication in European Review of Agricultural Economics, Timo Sipiläinen, 11.03.2010
Article aimed at publication in Journal of Productivity Analysis, Timo Sipiläinen, 16.08.2010

Pekka Mäkinen, Pekka.Makinen@helsinki.fi
From the loggers perspective: Factors affecting perceived profitability of logging companies in the upper Midwest, Pekka Mäkinen, 01.12.2006 → 20.12.2006, United States
Puutavaran toimittajien ja asiakkaiden yhteistyömahdollisuudet punhankinnan laajavastaisessa urakoinnissa, Pekka Mäkinen, 01.02.2006 → 30.03.2006, Finland
Verkostoturma: Nykytilanne, edellytykset ja mahdollisuudet metsätalouden palvelutuotannossa, Pekka Mäkinen, 01.06.2006 → 30.06.2006
Verkostoturmuksen nykytilanne, edellytykset ja mahdollisuudet metsätalouden palvelutuotannossa, Pekka Mäkinen, 01.06.2006 → 30.06.2006
Verkostoturmuksen nykytilanne, edellytykset ja mahdollisuudet metsätalouden palvelutuotannossa, Pekka Mäkinen, 01.06.2006 → 30.06.2006
Tehotonta puuntuotantoa 40 vuotta, Pekka Mäkinen, 01.04.2007 → 15.04.2007
Verkostoturmuksen nykytilanne, edellytykset ja mahdollisuudet metsätalouden palvelutuotannossa, Pekka Mäkinen, 01.06.2006 → 30.06.2006
Verkostoturmuksen nykytilanne, edellytykset ja mahdollisuudet metsätalouden palvelutuotannossa, Pekka Mäkinen, 01.06.2006 → 30.06.2006
Verkostoturmuksen nykytilanne, edellytykset ja mahdollisuudet metsätalouden palvelutuotannossa, Pekka Mäkinen, 01.06.2006 → 30.06.2006
Sarjaan tarkoitetun artiklen arviointi, Pekka Mäkinen, 07.04.2009 → 17.04.2009

John Sumelius, John.Sumelius@helsinki.fi
Ecological Economics, John Sumelius, 2005 → ...
European Congress of Agricultural Economics, John Sumelius, 2005 → ...
Reviewer, Agricultural and Food Science, John Sumelius, 2007 → 2009
Reviewer, New Zealand Journal of Crop and Horticultural Sciences, John Sumelius, 2008
African Journal of Agricultural Research, John Sumelius, 2009 → 2010
Reviewer, Landscape and Urban Planning, John Sumelius, 2010

K M Zahidul Islam, zahidul.islam@helsinki.fi
The Journal of Developing Areas, K M Zahidul Islam, 05.2010

Editor of series

Shimelles Tenaw, Shimelles.Tenaw@helsinki.fi
AG ECON/Sumelius

Editor-in-chief of St Isaacs News, Shimelles Tenaw, 2000 → 2010

Assessment of candidates for academic posts
Pekka Mäkinen, Pekka.Makinen@helsinki.fi
Lausunto maaseutuyrittäjäiden professorin viran hakijoista, Pekka Mäkinen, 01.03.2006 → 30.05.2006, Finland
Lausunto MMT, KTT Markku Penttisen dosentuunhakemuksesta, Pekka Mäkinen, 02.01.2009 → 30.04.2009, Finland

John Sumelius, John.Sumelius@helsinki.fi
Referee for Associate Professor (Docent) in Agricultural Economics, Sweden, John Sumelius, 2005
Referee for Professor Position in Agricultural Policy, John Sumelius, 31.10.2006 → 30.11.2006, Finland
Referee for University Reader, Scotland, John Sumelius, 2006
Referee for Assistant Professor, Sweden, John Sumelius, 01.11.2007 → 31.12.2007, Sweden
Referee for Assistant Professor, Denmark, John Sumelius, 01.08.2008 → 30.09.2008, Denmark
Referee for Associate Professor, Denmark, John Sumelius, 01.02.2009 → 31.03.2009, Denmark
Referee for Professorship in Business Economics with Management Applications, Sweden, John Sumelius, 01.09.2009 → 30.11.2009, Sweden

Membership or other role in review committee
John Sumelius, John.Sumelius@helsinki.fi
Evaluator, EC, John Sumelius, 01.2007
Evaluator, Norway, John Sumelius, 26.10.2010

Membership or other role in research network
Pekka Mäkinen, Pekka.Makinen@helsinki.fi
Pellervoens tutkimuslaitoksen tutkimusjärjestelmän toimikunta, Pekka Mäkinen, 01.01.2007 → 31.12.2008, Finland
Osuusyhteistyöopetuksen yliopistoverkosto, Pekka Mäkinen, 01.01.2009 → 31.12.2009, Finland

John Sumelius, John.Sumelius@helsinki.fi
Member of the Scientific council, John Sumelius, 2002 → …, Finland

Membership or other role in national/international committee, council, board
Jukka Kola, Jukka.Kola@helsinki.fi
EAAE: Executive Committee (BoD) of the European Association of Agricultural Economists (EAAE), member in 1999-2002 and Treasurer in 2003-2005, Jukka Kola, 1999 → 2005
IAM: Board of Directors, Executive Group, Counselor, Jukka Kola, 2000 → …
Science Foundation of the University of Helsinki (Helsingin yliopiston tiedesäätiön valtuuskunta); a member in the General Board in 2005-2008 and 2008-2011, Jukka Kola, 2005 → 2011, Finland
Board of Directors of the NATURA Association (Network of European Agricultural (Tropically and sub-tropically oriented) Universities and scientific complexes Related with Agricultural development), a member in a 6-member board in 2006-2010, Jukka Kola, 2006 → 2010

John Sumelius, John.Sumelius@helsinki.fi
Nordisk Jordbruksforskningsförening, Section IX Post-graduate training group., John Sumelius, 01.01.2005 → 31.12.2005
Samordningsdelegationen för den svenskspråkiga högskoleutbildning, John Sumelius, 01.01.2005 → 31.12.2005, Finland
Samordningsdelegationen för den svensk-språkiga högskoleutbildning, John Sumelius, 01.01.2006 → 31.12.2006, Finland
Nordisk Jordbruksforskningsförening, arbetsgrupp 410 Risk assessment of global agrifood production chains, John Sumelius, 01.01.2007 → 31.12.2007, Sweden
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High Level Panel of Experts on food security and nutrition (HLPE) of the Committee on World Food Security (CFS), John Sumelius, 2010 → ...

Shimelles Tenaw, Shimelles.Tenaw@helsinki.fi

Expert of International Cooperative Alliance, Shimelles Tenaw, 2005 → ...

Membership or other role in public Finnish or international organization

Jukka Kola, Jukka.Kola@helsinki.fi

1. Rural Development Strategy of Developing countries; a representative of the University of Helsinki in the 5-member executive committee set in 2005 by Minister Paula Laitomäki, the Ministry for Foreign Affairs (UM:n maaseudun kehittämisen , Jukka Kola, 01.01.2005 → 31.12.2006

10. Working group of the Societal Interaction at the University of Helsinki (Yhteiskunnallisen vuorovaikutuksen YVV-työryhmä), member in the 10-member body appointed by the Rector for the period of 2004-2006., Jukka Kola, 01.01.2005 → 31.12.2005

11. Working group of the Staff Development at the University of Helsinki (Henkilöstön kehittämisen työryhmä), member in the 8-member body for the period of 2004-2005., Jukka Kola, 01.01.2005 → 31.12.2005

15. Executive Board of the Evaluation of the Administration at the University of Helsinki (Hallinnon arvioinnin johtoryhmä), a 8-member body appointed by the Rector for the period of 2003-2005., Jukka Kola, 01.01.2005 → 31.12.2005

Advisory Board of ScanAgri Finland Ltd, member in the 5-member body in 2005–, Jukka Kola, 01.01.2005 → 31.12.2005

Advisory Committee of the Helsinki University Environmental Research Centre HERC (HY:n ympäristötutkimuksen yksikön HERC:n neuvottelukunta); a member in the 18-member body appointed by the Rector for the period of 2003-2006., Jukka Kola, 01.01.2005 → 31.12.2005

Board of the NOVA University Network (The Nordic Forestry, Veterinary and Agricultural University), member since 2004-, Jukka Kola, 01.01.2005 → 31.12.2005


Board of the University Pharmacy Ltd (Yliopiston Apteekin hallitus); a vice-member in 2004-2006., Jukka Kola, 01.01.2005 → 31.12.2005

Collegium of the University of Helsinki (Yliopistokollegio), a member in 2004-06., Jukka Kola, 01.01.2005 → 31.12.2005

Executive Board of the “Network for European Studies” at the University of Helsinki (HY Eurooppa-tutkimuksen verkoston johtoryhmä), member in the 7-member body appointed by the Rector for the period of 2003-2006., Jukka Kola, 01.01.2005 → 31.12.2005


Pellervon taloudellisen tutkimuslaitoksen (PTT) tieteellinen neuvojelautakunta , 1999-, Jukka Kola, 01.01.2005 → 31.12.2005

John Sumelius, John.Sumelius@helsinki.fi

Member of the coordinating body for university education in Swedish, representative of University of Helsinki, John Sumelius, 2001 → 2006, Finland
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Styrgrupp för projektet Selvitys luonnonmukaisen tuotannon tilastoinnissa käytettävien luokitusten ja menetelmien harmonisoimiseksi, John Sumelius, 30.08.2005 → 30.11.2005, Finland

Vetenskapliga rådet för Pellervo ekonomiska forskningsinstitut (PTT), John Sumelius, 01.01.2005 → 31.12.2005, Finland

Member of advisory group for the Foreign Ministry, John Sumelius, 2008 → 2011, Finland

Membership or other role of body in private company/organisation

John Sumelius , John.Sumelius@helsinki.fi

Alderman (Master) of Swedish Speaking Student's Association, John Sumelius, 2008 → 2011, Finland

Chairman of educational fund of the foundation "Svenska småbruk och egna hem", John Sumelius, 2003 → 2010, Finland

Svenska småbruk och egna hem Ab, Undervinsingsfonden, John Sumelius, 01.01.2005 → 31.12.2005, Finland

Shimelles Tenaw , Shimelles.Tenaw@helsinki.fi

Member of Multicultural Committee of Espoo Municipality, Shimelles Tenaw, 1988 → 2010, Finland

Chairman of St. Isaac of Nineveh, the International Orthodox Community of Helsinki Parish, Shimelles Tenaw, 1994 → 2010, Finland

Member of Council and Supreme Council, Shimelles Tenaw, 2004 → 2008, Finland

Representative of the Archbishop of Finnish Orthodox Church, Shimelles Tenaw, 2004 → 2008, Finland

Other tasks of an expert in private sector

Timo Sipiläinen , timo.sipilainen@helsinki.fi

Maidontuotannon kustannusryhmä, Timo Sipiläinen, 2007 → …

Susanna-Sofia Keskinarkaus , susanna.keskinarkaus@helsinki.fi

Hirsitaloteollisuuden kehityshaasteet, Susanna-Sofia Keskinarkaus, 05.02.2009, Finland

John Sumelius , John.Sumelius@helsinki.fi


Participation in interview for written media

Jukka Kola , Jukka.Kola@helsinki.fi


MK:n ympäristökoekutukseen koulutusjakutus. Tampere, Jukka Kola, 03.10.2001 → 31.12.2011, Finland


MK:Etelä-Hämeen ja Hameen TE-keskuksen koulutustilaisuus, Äänekoski, Jukka Kola, 08.03.2001 → 31.12.2011, Finland


Maaseutukeskus-Uusimaa, valtakunnan kehitysluokitus. Mäntälä, Jukka Kola, 05.06.2001 → 31.12.2011, Finland

Maatalous PD-teemaseminaari "EU-kysymykset ja kansainvälinen maatalous, ympäristö ja yrittäjyyt", Helsingin yliopiston Aikuiskoulu, Helsinki, Jukka Kola, 10.05.2001 → 31.12.2011, Finland


Suomen Rahun valtuuttamisen yleiskokous. Vierumäki, Jukka Kola, 08.02.2001 → 31.12.2011, Finland

Tutkimusseminaari Valtion Taloudellisessa Tutkimuskeskuksessa VATT. Helsinki, Jukka Kola, 03.05.2001 → 31.12.2011, Finland


Farmit-nistely ja seminaari, m/s Silja Opera, Jukka Kola, 12.11.2002 → 31.12.2011, Finland
INTERNATIONAL EVALUATION OF RESEARCH AND DOCTORAL TRAINING AT THE UNIVERSITY OF HELSINKI
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Jyväskylän yliopiston Taloustieteen tiedekunnan seminaarisarja., Jukka Kola, 01.02.2002 → 31.12.2011, Finland
Lehtibaastatteket (jätte esitelmä-, tutkimustuloks- tai nimistysuutisointi): Hufvudstadsbladet 30.01. &amp; 27.06.02; Helsingin Sanomat 20.06. &amp; 14.9.02; Ikkuna 17.12.02; Ika-Savon Sanomat 08.10.02; Kyliäri nro 1/2002; Maaseudun tulevaisuus 7.10., 4.10., Jukka Kola, 01.02.2002 → 31.12.2011, Finland
Maaseudun Tulevaisuus 01.02.2002: 2 (yliö), Jukka Kola, 01.02.2002 → 31.12.2011, Finland
Maaseudun Tulevaisuus 06.03.2002: 2 (yliö), Jukka Kola, 06.03.2002 → 31.12.2011, Finland
Poster-esitelmä Maataloustieteen päivityllä, Jukka Kola, 09.01.2002 → 31.12.2011, Finland
Poster-esitelmä Maataloustieteen päivityllä, Jukka Kola, 09.01.2002 → 31.12.2011, Finland
Rautalammin maa- ja kottialoussuunnan 100-vuotisjuhlaesitelmä, Jukka Kola, 20.06. & 14.9.02; Ilkka 17.12.02; Itä-Savon Sanomat 08.10.02; Kyläntila nro 1/2002; Maaseudun tulevaisuus 7.10., 4.10., Jukka Kola, 01.02.2002 → 31.12.2011, Finland
Suomen Rehun tiedotustilaisuus Kotkan tehtaila, Jukka Kola, 25.06.2002 → 31.12.2011, Finland
Suomen Rehun tuottajaristi, Jukka Kola, 10.01.2002 → 31.12.2011, Finland
Yhteisen maatalouspolitiikan haasteet -seminaari., Jukka Kola, 01.05.2003
Eduskunta, maa- ja metsätalousvaliokunta, Helsinki, Jukka Kola, 06.02.2003 → 31.12.2011, Finland
Eduskunta, maa- ja metsätalousvaliokunta, Helsinki, Jukka Kola, 06.02.2003 → 31.12.2011, Finland
Finfood Uutiset; uutiskooste, Jukka Kola, 01.07.2003 → 31.12.2011, Finland
Kalajokilaakso -lehti, Jukka Kola, 09.05.2003
Karjalainen-lehti, Jukka Kola, 29.01.2003
Karjalan Maa -lehti, Jukka Kola, 30.01.2003
Keskipohjanmaa -lehti, Jukka Kola, 09.05.2003
Kokousesitelmä Keski-Suomen Maitokunta kevätkokous, Jyväskylä, Jukka Kola, 08.05.2003 → 31.12.2011, Finland
Käytännön Maamies 1/2003: 4-7, Jukka Kola, 01.01.2003 → 31.12.2011, Finland
Länsi-Savo -lehti, Jukka Kola, 02.07.2003 → 31.12.2011, Finland
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Maaseudun Tulevaisuus -lehti, Jukka Kola, 02.07.2003 → 31.12.2011, Finland
Maaseudun Tulevaisuus -lehti, Jukka Kola, 27.08.2003 → 31.12.2011, Finland
Maatilatalouden ajankohtaispäivä Suomen maatalouden tulevaisuudesta, Pohjois-Savon ammattikoulu, Kuopio, Jukka Kola, 17.01.2003 → 31.12.2011, Finland
Suomen Tietotoimisto, uutispalvelu, Jukka Kola, 01.07.2003 → 31.12.2011, Finland
Taloussanomat -lehti, Jukka Kola, 02.07.2003 → 31.12.2011, Finland
Turun Sanomat -lehti, Jukka Kola, 02.07.2003 → 31.12.2011, Finland
Ylä-Karjala -lehti, Jukka Kola, 01.02.2003 → 31.12.2011, Finland
Akoususkuunta Promilki, nuorten tuottajien opintomatka. 16.03.2005 Vato, Helsinki., Jukka Kola, 01.01.2005 → 31.12.2011, Finland
Helsingin Sanomat 11.06.2005: 2 (Vieraslauko), Jukka Kola, 01.01.2005 → 31.12.2011, Finland
Käytännön Maamies 1/2006: 4-6. [tilattu asiantuntija-artikkeli], Jukka Kola, 01.01.2005 → 31.12.2011, Finland
Maatalous-metsätieteellisen tiedekunnan vuosikertomus 2005: 2. (also in English; också på svenska), Jukka Kola, 01.01.2005 → 31.12.2011, Finland
Kyösti Arovuori, Kyosti.Arovuori@helsinki.fi


Matti Ylätalo, Matti.Ylatalo@helsinki.fi

Vieremän maaseutulautakunnan järjestämä yleissuunnittelu Vieremän kunnantaloissa, Matti Ylätalo, 08.03.2004 → 31.12.2011, Finland

Pekka Mäkinen, Pekka.Makinen@helsinki.fi

Maaseutuaktiivistä, Pohjois-Karjalan ammattikoulu, Pekka Mäkinen, 29.04.2004 → 31.12.2011, France

Pekka Mäkinen, Pekka.Makinen@helsinki.fi
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Helsingin yliopisto, Maatalous-metsätieteellinen tiedekunta, Pekka Mäkinen, 01.01.2004 → 31.12.2011, Finland
Luonnonvaralehti, Pekka Mäkinen, 01.01.2004 → 31.12.2011, Finland
Maasertutkimusjärjestely, Pekka Mäkinen, 01.01.2004 → 31.12.2011, Finland
Kauppanehto, Pekka Mäkinen, 01.01.2005 → 31.12.2011, Finland
Metsälehti, Pekka Mäkinen, 13.01.2005 → 31.12.2011, Finland
Metsähoidonlehti, Pekka Mäkinen, 01.01.2005 → 31.12.2011, Finland
Haastattelu, Pekka Mäkinen, 25.02.2009, Finland

John Sumelius , John.Sumelius@helsinki.fi

Vasabladet, John Sumelius, 10.04.2001 → 31.12.2011, United Kingdom
Borgå socken lantmannaagilie 100 år, Haiko, John Sumelius, 23.11.2002 → 31.12.2011, Finland
Frukostklubben Röda rummet, John Sumelius, 04.11.2002 → 31.12.2011, Finland
Svenska social och kommunalhögskolan, John Sumelius, 27.02.2002 → 31.12.2011, Finland

Participation in radio programme
John Sumelius , John.Sumelius@helsinki.fi
radio-program VEGA, John Sumelius, 31.01.2005 → 31.12.2011, Finland
Radioprogram Aktuellt 17, radio Vega, 30 minuter, John Sumelius, 03.06.2008 → 31.12.2011, Sweden
Appendix B.b.

Maria Forsman, Chief Information Specialist, DSocSc
Helsinki University Library 7.7.2011

The bibliometric analyses by Helsinki University Library (HULib)

Background: The bibliometric analyses – especially citation analyses – have raised a lot of discussion and critics among researchers in social sciences and humanities. Researchers view that bibliometric analyses are often unfair to these fields of sciences because they do not give a good enough picture of the publishing. Citation databases – Web of Science and Scopus – cover only weakly the main publications in these fields. Also, in humanities and social sciences monograph is still the main form of publishing, and it does not include in these article databases.

At the University of Helsinki, the above mentioned concerns have been taken into account in the evaluation. The Evaluation Office has ordered analyses from the Helsinki University Library (HULib) for the participating researcher communities that are weakly represented in Web of Science. The database for the HULib analyses is TUHAT (https://tuhat.halvi.helsinki.fi/portal/en/) including all the publications that the researchers have considered important.

Based on this data, information specialists at HULib have carried out the following analyses:

1) Number of authors/publication/year as a table; a pie of authors/publication in the period 2005-2010;
2) Language of publication/year; a pie of language of publication in the period 2005-2010;
3) Articles/journal/year; journals have been compared by ISSN with the Norwegian, Australian and ERIH (2007-2008) journal ranking lists; number of articles in ranked journals;
4) Publisher/monograph type (according to TUHAT database); monographs have been compared with the Norwegian publisher ranking list. According to this, it has been counted how many monographs are published by a leading scientific publisher (2) or a scientific publisher (1).
5) Conference publications (from TUHAT database) especially in computer sciences; compared with the Australian conference ranking list.

Where relevant, some additional analyses and notes concerning the publication culture of a scientific field have been added. Overall, these analyses complement the other evaluation material and lists of the publications of the participating researcher communities.

If the publications of the RCs were less than 50 or/and the internal coverage less than 40 percentage, the WoS analyses were considered not reliable. These RCs were 58 altogether.

In addition, both Leiden and Library analyses were done to the RCs if WoS analyses covered less than 40 per cent of the peer review (A+C) publications of the RC. These RCs were 8 altogether.

The appendix includes the analyses of the RC under discussion.
Analysis of publications by Helsinki University Library – 66 RCs altogether

Biological, Agricultural and Veterinary Sciences
Luukkanen, Olavi – VITRI
Valsta, Lauri – SUVALUE

Natural Sciences
Abrahamsson, Pekka – SOFTSYS
Kangasharju, Jussi – NODES
Ukkonen, Esko – ALKO
Väänänen, Jouko – HLG

Humanities
Aejmelaeus, Anneli – CSTT
Anttonen, Pertti – CMVG
Dunderberg, Ismo – FC
Havu, Eva – CoCoLaC
Heikkilä, Markku – RCSP
Heinämäa, Sara – SHC
Henriksson, Markku – CITIA
Janhunen, Juha – LDHFTA
Kajava Mika, – AMNE
Klippi, Anu – Interaction
Knuuttila, Simo – PPMP
Koskenniemi, Kimmo – BAULT
Lauha, Aila – CECH
Lavento, Mika – ARCH-HU
Lukkarinen, Ville – AHCI
Lyytikäinen, Pirjo – GLW
Mauranen, Anna – LFP
Meinander, Henrik – HIST
Nevalainen, Terttu – VARIENG
Pettersson, Bo – ILLC
Puikkonen, Tuja – Gender Studies
Pyrhönen, Heta – ART
Ruokanen, Milikka – RELDIAL
Saarinen, Risto – RELSOC
Sandu, Gabriel – LMPS
Tarasti, Eero – MusSig
Vehmas-Lehto, Inkeri – TraST
Östman, Jan-Ola – LMS

Social Sciences
Airaksinen, Timo – PPH
Engeström, Yrjö – CRADLE
Granberg, Leo – TRANSRURBAN
Haila, Anne – Sociopolis
Hautämäa, Markku – CEA
Heinonen, Visa – KUMU
Helén, Ilpo – STS
Hukkinen, Janne – GENU
Jallinoja, Riitta – SBII
Kaartinen, Timo – SCA
Kettunen, Pauli – NordSoc
Kivinen, Markku – FCRES
Koponen, Juhan – DEVERELE
Koskenniemi, Martti – ECI
Kultti, Klaus – EAT
Lahtelma, Elina – KUFE
Lanne, Markku – TSEM
Lavonen, Jari – RCMER
Lehtonen, Risto – SocStats
Lindblom-Ylänne, Sari – EdPsychHE
Nieminen, Hannu – MECOL
Nuotio, Kimmo – Law
Nyman, Göte – METEORI
Ollikainen, Markku – ENFIFO
Pirttilä-Backman, Anna-Maija – DYNASOBIC
Rahkonen, Keijo – CulCap
Roos, J P – HELPS
Simola, Hannu – SOCE-DGI
Sulkunen, Pekka – PosPus
Sumelius, John – AG ECON
Vaattovaara, Mari – STRUTSI
Vainio, Martti – SigMe

The next appendix includes the analyses of the RC under discussion.

PUBLICATION DATA 2005-2010

RC/AG ECON/Sumelius

Category: 5. The research of the participating community has a highly significant societal impact.

The participating researcher community is able to justify the high social significance of its research. The research may relate to national legislation, media visibility or participation in social debate, or other activities promoting social development and human welfare. In addition to having societal impact, the research must be of a high standard.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>2005</th>
<th>2006</th>
<th>2007</th>
<th>2008</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>Grand Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>au 1</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>au 2</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>au 3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>au 4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>au 5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>au 6</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>au 7</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>au 9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>au 10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>au 11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>au 12</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>au 13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>au 18</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grand Total</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>219</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Language of publications / Year

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Language</th>
<th>2005</th>
<th>2006</th>
<th>2007</th>
<th>2008</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>Grand Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>en_GB</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fi_FI</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sv_SE</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grand Total</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>218</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Language of publications

- en_GB 49%
- fi_FI 44%
- sv_SE 7%
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Journal / Year / Total</th>
<th>2005</th>
<th>2006</th>
<th>2007</th>
<th>2008</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>Grand Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Acta agriculturae Scandinavica. Section C, Food Economics</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agricultural and Food Science</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agricultural economics and rural development.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baltic Forestry</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China Rural Survey</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ecological Indicators</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Entomological Populations</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>European countryside</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>European Journal of Operational Research</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>European Review of Agricultural Economics</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evian Quai</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finland’s swedish andel’sföreund, Verksamhetsberättelse</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finland</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helsingin Sanomat</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HfFScience</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hufvadskabottet</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International Food and Agricultural Management Review</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International Journal of Agricultural Resources, Governance and Ecology</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International Journal of Consumer Studies</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International Journal of Entrepreneurship and Innovation</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Journal of Productivity Analysis</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Journal of Rural Cooperation</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Klövar &amp; Timotej</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KOH Vet</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Koostarinta ja käytäntö</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Koostarinta ja käytäntö</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kulahyvitys</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kurit</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kymi</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kymi</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Koostarinta ja käytäntö</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land Use Policy</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Landsbygdens Folk</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOK - Lantmännen och andelsförbund</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maaseudun Tulevaisuus</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maaseudun Tulevaisuus</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malte &amp; me</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mänty</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mänty</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OT. Osuustoiminta-lehti</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OT. Osuustoiminta-lehti</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rullia</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sampsa</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sampsa</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scandinavian Journal of Forest Research</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scandinavian Journal of Forest Research</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sociologia Ruris</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Socioturismus</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suomen Kuvalehti</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suomen Kuvalehti</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The ChainLetter</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The ChainLetter : The International Food &amp; Agribusiness Management Association Newsletter</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yliopisto</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yliopisto : Helsingin yliopiston tiedelehti</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grand Total</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>218</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Journal ranking (Norway, Australia, ERIH)
Norway ranking
Level 2 = highest scientific, Level 1= scientific

Australian ranking

A*
Typically an A* journal would be one of the best in its field or subfield in which to publish and would typically cover the entire field/subfield. Virtually all papers they publish will be of a very high quality. These are journals where most of the work is important (it will really shape the field) and where researchers boast about getting accepted. Acceptance rates would typically be low and the editorial board would be dominated by field leaders, including many from top institutions.

A
The majority of papers in a Tier A journal will be of very high quality. Publishing in an A journal would enhance the author’s standing, showing they have real engagement with the global research community and that they have something to say about problems of some significance. Typical signs of an A journal are lowish acceptance rates and an editorial board which includes a reasonable fraction of well known researchers from top institutions.

B
Tier B covers journals with a solid, though not outstanding, reputation. Generally, in a Tier B journal, one would expect only a few papers of very high quality. They are often important outlets for the work of PhD students and early career researchers. Typical examples would be regional journals with high acceptance rates, and editorial boards that have few leading researchers from top international institutions.

C
Tier C includes quality, peer reviewed, journals that do not meet the criteria of the higher tiers.

ERIH ranking 2007-2008
Purpose of The European Reference Index for the Humanities (ERIH) is to develop and to maintain an impact assessment tool for European research journals. Journal classification processes are conducted by discipline-specific expert panels. In the ERIH 2007 Initial List there are three categories:

A = international publications, both European and non-European, with high visibility and influence among researchers in the various research domains in different countries, regularly cited all over the world.

B = international publications, both European and non-European, with significant visibility and influence in the various research domains in different countries.

C = European publications with a recognized scholarly significance among researchers in the respective research domains in a particular readership group in Europe; occasionally cited outside the publishing country, though the main target group is the domestic academic community.
## Amount of ranked articles (Norway)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Journal</th>
<th>Grand total</th>
<th>Level 1</th>
<th>Level 2</th>
<th>Level 3</th>
<th>Level 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Acta agriculturae Scandinavica. Section C, Food Economics</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agricultural and Food Science</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ecological Indicators</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>European Review of Agricultural Economics</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HortScience</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International Food and Agriculture Management Review</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International Journal of Agricultural Resources, Governance and Ecology</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International Journal of Consumer Studies</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scandinavian Journal of Forest Research</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sotahistoriallinen aikakauskirja : Sotahistoriallisen seuran ja Sotatieteetin laitoksen julkaisujen</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Book publishers

Publisher ranking (based on Norwegian ranking list)

2 = leading scientific  
1 = scientific  
no = non-scientific or not ranked

- C1 Published scientific monograph (4)  
- C2 Edited book, compilation, conference proceeding or special issue of journal (2)

There are 46 monographs, 22 of them scientific, but no ranked publishers.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Publisher</th>
<th>#</th>
<th>?</th>
<th>!</th>
<th>#</th>
<th>?</th>
<th>!</th>
<th>Grand Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>European Science Foundation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helsingin yliopisto, metsäekonomian laitos</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helsingin yliopisto, taloustieteen laitos</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAP Lambert Academic Publishing</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metsäkustannus</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTT Taloustutkimus</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norsk institutt for landbruksøkonomisk forskning</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sigma-Hat Economics Oy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sveriges lantbruksuniversitet, Centrum för utbildigt lantbruk</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Työtehoseura</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Geneva, The Graduate Institute</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Helsinki</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Helsinki, Department of Economics and Management</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Helsinki, Ruralia Institute</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vaasan yliopisto, Leven-instituudti</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Unknown)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grand Total</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>46</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>