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The evaluation of research and doctoral training is being carried out in the years 2010–2012 and will end in 2012. The steering group appointed by the Rector in January 2010 set the conditions for participating in the evaluation and prepared the Terms of Reference to present the evaluation procedure and criteria. The publications and other scientific activities included in the evaluation covered the years 2005–2010.

The participating unit in the evaluation was defined as a Researcher Community (RC). To obtain a critical mass with university-level impact, the number of members was set to range from 20 to 120. The RCs were required to contain researchers in all stages of their research career, from doctoral students to principal investigators (PIs). All in all, 136 Researcher Communities participated in this voluntary evaluation, 5857 persons in total, of whom 1131 were principal investigators. PIs were allowed to participate in two communities in certain cases, and 72 of them used this opportunity and participated in two RCs.

This evaluation enabled researchers to define RCs from the “bottom up” and across disciplines. The aim of the evaluation was not to assess individual performance but a community with shared aims and researcher-training activities. The RCs were able to choose among five different categories that characterised the status and main aims of their research. The steering group considered the process of applying to participate in the evaluation to be important, which lead to the establishment of these categories. In addition, providing a service for the RCs to enable them to benchmark their research at the global level was a main goal of the evaluation.

The data for the evaluation consisted of the RCs’ answers to evaluation questions on supplied e-forms and a compilation extracted from the TUHAT – Research Information System (RIS) on 12 April 2011. The compilation covered scientific and other publications as well as certain areas of scientific activities. During the process, the RCs were asked to check the list of publications and other scientific activities and make corrections if needed. These TUHAT compilations are public and available on the evaluation project sites of each RC in the TUHAT-RIS.

In addition to the e-form and TUHAT compilation, University of Leiden (CWTS) carried out bibliometric analyses from the articles included in the Web of Science (WoS). This was done on University and RC levels. In cases where the publication forums of the RC were clearly not represented by the WoS data, the Library of the University of Helsinki conducted a separate analysis of the publications. This was done for 66 RCs representing the humanities and social sciences.

The evaluation office also carried out an enquiry targeted to the supervisors and PhD candidates about the organisation of doctoral studies at the University of Helsinki. This and other documents describing the University and the Finnish higher education system were provided to the panellists.

The panel feedback for each RC is unique and presented as an entity. The first collective evaluation reports available for the whole panel were prepared in July–August 2011. The reports were accessible to all panel members via the electronic evaluation platform in August. Scoring from 1 to 5 was used to complement written feedback in association with evaluation questions 1–4 (scientific focus and quality, doctoral training, societal impact, cooperation) and in addition to the category evaluating the fitness for participation in the evaluation. Panellists used the international level as a point of comparison in the evaluation. Scoring was not expected to go along with a preset deviation.

Each of the draft reports were discussed and dealt with by the panel in meetings in Helsinki (from 11 September to 13 September or from 18 September to 20 September 2011). In these meetings the panels also examined the deviations among the scores and finalised the draft reports together.

The current RC-specific report deals shortly with the background of the evaluation and the terms of participation. The main evaluation feedback is provided in the evaluation report, organised according to the evaluation questions. The original material provided by the RCs for the panellists has been attached to these documents.
On behalf of the evaluation steering group and office, I sincerely wish to thank you warmly for your participation in this evaluation. The effort you made in submitting the data to TUHAT-RIS is gratefully acknowledged by the University. We wish that you find this panel feedback useful in many ways. The bibliometric profiles may open a new view on your publication forums and provide a perspective for discussion on your choice of forums. We especially hope that this evaluation report will help you in setting the future goals of your research.

Johanna Björkroth
Vice-Rector
Chair of the Steering Group of the Evaluation
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1 Introduction to the Evaluation

1.1 RC-specific evaluation reports

The participants in the evaluation of research and doctoral training were Researcher Communities (hereafter referred to as the RC). The RC refers to the group of researchers who registered together in the evaluation of their research and doctoral training. Preconditions in forming RCs were stated in the Guidelines for the Participating Researcher Communities. The RCs defined themselves whether their compositions should be considered well-established or new.

It is essential to emphasize that the evaluation combines both meta-evaluation\(^1\) and traditional research assessment exercise and its focus is both on the research outcomes and procedures associated with research and doctoral training. The approach to the evaluation is enhancement-led where self-evaluation constituted the main information. The answers to the evaluation questions formed together with the information of publications and other scientific activities an entity that was to be reviewed as a whole.

The present evaluation recognizes and justifies the diversity of research practices and publication traditions. Traditional Research Assessment Exercises do not necessarily value high quality research with low volumes or research distinct from mainstream research. It is challenging to expose the diversity of research to fair comparison. To understand the essence of different research practices and to do justice to their diversity was one of the main challenges of the present evaluation method. Understanding the divergent starting points of the RCs demanded sensitivity from the evaluators.

1.2 Aims and objectives in the evaluation

The aims of the evaluation are as follows:

- to improve the level of research and doctoral training at the University of Helsinki and to raise their international profile in accordance with the University\'s strategic policies. The improvement of doctoral training should be compared to the University\'s policy.\(^2\)
- to enhance the research conducted at the University by taking into account the diversity, originality, multidisciplinary nature, success and field-specificity,
- to recognize the conditions and prerequisites under which excellent, original and high-impact research is carried out,
- to offer the academic community the opportunity to receive topical and versatile international peer feedback,
- to better recognize the University\'s research potential.
- to exploit the University\'s TUHAT research information system to enable transparency of publishing activities and in the production of reliable, comparable data.

1.3 Evaluation method

The evaluation can be considered as an enhancement-led evaluation. Instead of ranking, the main aim is to provide useful information for the enhancement of research and doctoral training of the participating RCs. The comparison should take into account each field of science and acknowledge their special character.

\(^1\) The panellists did not read research reports or abstracts but instead, they evaluated answers to the evaluation questions, tables and compilations of publications, other scientific activities, bibliometrics or comparable analyses.

\(^2\) Policies on doctoral degrees and other postgraduate degrees at the University of Helsinki.
The comparison produced information about the present status and factors that have lead to success. Also challenges in the operations and outcomes were recognized.

The evaluation approach has been designed to recognize better the significance and specific nature of researcher communities and research areas in the multidisciplinary top-level university. Furthermore, one of the aims of the evaluation is to bring to light those evaluation aspects that differ from the prevalent ones. Thus the views of various fields of research can be described and research arising from various starting points understood better. The doctoral training is integrated into the evaluation as a natural component related to research. Operational processes of doctoral training are being examined in the evaluation.

Five stages of the evaluation method were:
1. Registration – Stage 1
2. Self-evaluation – Stage 2
3. TUHAT³ compilations on publications and other scientific activities⁴
4. External evaluation
5. Public reporting

1.4 Implementation of the external evaluation

Five Evaluation Panels
Five evaluation panels consisted of independent, renowned and highly respected experts. The main domains of the panels are:
1. biological, agricultural and veterinary sciences
2. medicine, biomedicine and health sciences
3. natural sciences
4. humanities
5. social sciences

The University invited 10 renowned scientists to act as chairs or vice-chairs of the five panels based on the suggestions of faculties and independent institutes. Besides leading the work of the panel, an additional role of the chairs was to discuss with other panel chairs in order to adopt a broadly similar approach. The panel chairs and vice-chairs had a pre-meeting on 27 May 2011 in Amsterdam.

The panel compositions were nominated by the Rector of the University 27 April 2011. The participating RCs suggested the panel members. The total number of panel members was 50. The reason for a smaller number of panellists as compared to the previous evaluations was the character of the evaluation as a meta-evaluation. The panellists did not read research reports or abstracts but instead, they evaluated answers to the evaluation questions, tables and compilations of publications, other scientific activities, bibliometrics and comparable analyses.

The panel meetings were held in Helsinki:
- On 11–13 September 2011: (1) biological, agricultural and veterinary sciences, (2) medicine, biomedicine and health sciences and (3) natural sciences.
- On 18–20 September 2011: (4) humanities and (5) social sciences.

---
³ TUHAT (acronym) of Research Information System (RIS) of the University of Helsinki
⁴ Supervision of thesis, prizes and awards, editorial work and peer reviews, participation in committees, boards and networks and public appearances.
1.5 Evaluation material

The main material in the evaluation was the RCs’ self-evaluations that were qualitative in character and allowed the RCs to choose what was important to mention or emphasise and what was left unmentioned.

The present evaluation is exceptional at least in the Finnish context because it is based on both the evaluation documentation (self-evaluation questions, publications and other scientific activities) and the bibliometric reports. All documents were delivered to the panellists for examination.

Traditional bibliometrics can be reasonably done mainly in medicine, biosciences and natural sciences when using the Web of Science database, for example. Bibliometrics, provided by CWTS/The Centre for Science and Technology Studies, University of Leiden, cover only the publications that include WoS identification in the TUHAT-RIS.

Traditional bibliometrics are seldom relevant in humanities and social sciences because the international comparable databases do not store every type of high quality research publications, such as books and monographs and scientific journals in other languages than English. The Helsinki University Library has done analysis to the RCs, if their publications were not well represented in the Web of Science databases (RCs should have at least 50 publications and internal coverage of publications more than 40%) – it meant 58 RCs. The bibliometric material for the evaluation panels was available in June 2011. The RC-specific bibliometric reports are attached at the end of each report.

The panels were provided with the evaluation material and all other necessary background information, such as the basic information about the University of Helsinki and the Finnish higher education system.

Evaluation material

1. Registration documents of the RCs for the background information
2. Self evaluation material – answers to the evaluation questions
3. Publications and other scientific activities based on the TUHAT RIS:
   3.1. statistics of publications
   3.2. list of publications
   3.3. statistics of other scientific activities
   3.4. list of other scientific activities
4. Bibliometrics and comparable analyses:
   4.1. Analyses of publications based on the verification of TUHAT-RIS publications with the Web of Science publications (CWTS/University of Leiden)
   4.2. Publication statistics analysed by the Helsinki University Library - mainly for humanities and social sciences
5. University level survey on doctoral training (August 2011)
6. University level analysis on publications 2005–2010 (August 2011) provided by CWTS/University of Leiden

Background material

University of Helsinki
- [Basic information about the University of the Helsinki](#)
- [The structure of doctoral training at the University of Helsinki](#)
- Previous evaluations of research at the University of Helsinki – links to the reports: [1998](#) and [2005](#)

The Finnish Universities/Research Institutes
- [Finnish University system](#)
- [Evaluation of the Finnish National Innovation System](#)
- [The State and Quality of Scientific Research in Finland. Publication of the Academy of Finland 9/09.](#)

The evaluation panels were provided also with other relevant material on request before the meetings in Helsinki.
1.6 Evaluation questions and material

The participating RCs answered the following evaluation questions which are presented according to the evaluation form. In addition, TUHAT RIS was used to provide the additional material as explained. For giving the feedback to the RCs, the panellists received the evaluation feedback form constructed in line with the evaluation questions:

1. Focus and quality of the RC’s research
   - Description of
     - the RC’s research focus.
     - the quality of the RC’s research (incl. key research questions and results)
     - the scientific significance of the RC’s research in the research field(s)
   - Identification of the ways to strengthen the focus and improve the quality of the RC’s research

The additional material: TUHAT compilation of the RC’s publications, analysis of the RC’s publications data (provided by University of Leiden and the Helsinki University Library)

A written feedback from the aspects of: scientific quality, scientific significance, societal impact, innovativeness
   - Strengths
   - Areas of development
   - Other remarks
   - Recommendations

Numeric evaluation: OUTSTANDING (5), EXCELLENT (4), VERY GOOD (3), GOOD (2), SUFFICIENT (1)

2. Practises and quality of doctoral training
   - Organising of the doctoral training in the RC. Description of the RC’s principles for:
     - recruitment and selection of doctoral candidates
     - supervision of doctoral candidates
     - collaboration with faculties, departments/institutes, and potential graduate schools/doctoral programmes
     - good practises and quality assurance in doctoral training
     - assuring of good career perspectives for the doctoral candidates/fresh doctorates
   - Identification of the RC’s strengths and challenges related to the practises and quality of doctoral training, and the actions planned for their development.

The additional material: TUHAT compilation of the RC’s other scientific activities/supervision of doctoral dissertations

A written feedback from the aspects of: processes and good practices related to leadership and management
   - Strengths
   - Areas of development
   - Other remarks
   - Recommendations

Numeric evaluation: OUTSTANDING (5), EXCELLENT (4), VERY GOOD (3), GOOD (2), SUFFICIENT (1)

3. The societal impact of research and doctoral training
   - Description on how the RC interacts with and contributes to the society (collaboration with public, private and/or 3rd sector).
   - Identification of the ways to strengthen the societal impact of the RC’s research and doctoral training.

The additional material: TUHAT compilation of the RC’s other scientific activities.

A written feedback from the aspects of: societal impact, national and international collaboration, innovativeness
   - Strengths
   - Areas of development
   - Other remarks
   - Recommendations

Numeric evaluation: OUTSTANDING (5), EXCELLENT (4), VERY GOOD (3), GOOD (2), SUFFICIENT (1)
4. International and national (incl. intersectoral) research collaboration and researcher mobility
- Description of research collaborations and joint doctoral training activities
- how the RC has promoted researcher mobility
- Identification of the RC's strengths and challenges related to research collaboration and mobility, and the actions planned for their development.

A written feedback from the aspects of: scientific quality, national and international collaboration
- Strengths
- Areas of development
- Other remarks
- Recommendations

Numeric evaluation: OUTSTANDING (5), EXCELLENT (4), VERY GOOD (3), GOOD (2), SUFFICIENT (1)

5. Operational conditions
- Description of the operational conditions in the RC's research environment (e.g. research infrastructure, balance between research and teaching duties).
- Identification of the RC's strengths and challenges related to operational conditions, and the actions planned for their development.

A written feedback from the aspects of: processes and good practices related to leadership and management
- Strengths
- Areas of development
- Other remarks
- Recommendations

6. Leadership and management in the researcher community
- Description of leadership in the RC
- how the management-related responsibilities and roles are distributed in the RC
- how the leadership- and management-related processes support - high quality research
- - collaboration between principal investigators and other researchers in the RC
- - strengthening of the RC's know-how
- Identification of the RC's strengths and challenges related to leadership and management, and the actions planned for developing the processes

7. External competitive funding of the RC
- The RCs were asked to provide information of such external competitive funding, where:
- the funding decisions have been made during 1.1.2005-31.12.2010, and
- the administrator of the funding is/has been the University of Helsinki
- On the e-form the RCs were asked to provide:
  1) The relevant funding source(s) from a given list (Academy of Finland/Research Council, TEKES/The Finnish Funding Agency for Technology and Innovation, EU, ERC, foundations, other national funding organisations, other international funding organisations), and
  2) The total sum of funding which the organisation in question had decided to allocate to the RCs members during 1.1.2005-31.12.2010.

Competitive funding reported in the text is also to be considered when evaluating this point.

A written feedback from the aspects of: scientific quality, scientific significance, societal impact, innovativeness, future significance
- Strengths
- Areas of development
- Other remarks
- Recommendations

8. The RC's strategic action plan for 2011–2013
- RC's description of their future perspectives in relation to research and doctoral training.

A written feedback from the aspects of: scientific quality, scientific significance, societal impact, processes and good practices related to leadership and management, national and international collaboration, innovativeness, future significance
- Strengths
- Areas of development
9. Evaluation of the category of the RC in the context of entity of the evaluation material (1-8)

The RC's fitness to the chosen participation category:
A written feedback evaluating the RC's fitness to the chosen participation category
- Strengths
- Areas of development
- Other remarks
- Recommendations

Numeric evaluation: OUTSTANDING (5), EXCELLENT (4), VERY GOOD (3), GOOD (2), SUFFICIENT (1)

10. Short description of how the RC members contributed the compilation of the stage 2 material
Comments on the compilation of evaluation material

11. How the UH's focus areas are presented in the RC's research?
Comments if applicable

12. RC-specific main recommendations based on the previous questions 1-11

13. RC-specific conclusions

1.7 Evaluation criteria

The panellists were expected to give evaluative and analytical feedback to each evaluation question according to their aspects in order to describe and justify the quality of the submitted material. In addition, the evaluation feedback was asked to be pointed out the level of the performance according to the following classifications:
- outstanding (5)
- excellent (4)
- very good (3)
- good (2)
- sufficient (1)

Evaluation according to the criteria was to be made with thorough consideration of the entire evaluation material of the RC in question. Finally, in questions 1-4 and 9, the panellists were expected to classify their written feedback into one of the provided levels (the levels included respective descriptions, 'criteria'). Some panels used decimals in marks. The descriptive level was interpreted according to the integers and not rounding up the decimals by the editors.

Description of criteria levels

Question 1 – FOCUS AND QUALITY OF THE RC’S RESEARCH

Classification: Criteria (level of procedures and results)

Outstanding quality of procedures and results (5)
Outstandingly strong research, also from international perspective. Attracts great international interest with a wide impact, including publications in leading journals and/or monographs published by leading international publishing houses. The research has world leading qualities. The research focus, key research questions scientific significance, societal impact and innovativeness are of outstanding quality.

In cases where the research is of a national character and, in the judgement of the evaluators, should remain so, the concepts of "international attention" or "international impact" etc. in the grading criteria above may be replaced by "international comparability".
Operations and procedures are of outstanding quality, transparent and shared in the community. The improvement of research and other efforts are documented and operations and practices are in alignment with the documentation. The ambition to develop the community together is of outstanding quality.

**Excellent quality of procedures and results (4)**

Research of excellent quality. Typically published with great impact, also internationally. Without doubt, the research has a leading position in its field in Finland.

Operations and procedures are of excellent quality, transparent and shared in the community. The improvement of research and other efforts are documented and operations and practices are to large extent in alignment with the documentation. The ambition to develop the community together is of excellent quality.

**Very good quality of procedures and results (3)**

The research is of such very good quality that it attracts wide national and international attention.

Operations and procedures are of very good quality, transparent and shared in the community. The improvement of research and other efforts are documented and operations and practices are to large extent in alignment with the documentation. The ambition to develop the community together is of very good quality.

**Good quality of procedures and results (2)**

Good research attracting mainly national attention but possessing international potential, extraordinarily high relevance may motivate good research.

Operations and procedures are of good quality, shared occasionally in the community. The improvement of research and other efforts are occasionally documented and operations and practices are to large extent in alignment with the documentation. The ambition to develop the community together is of good quality.

**Sufficient quality of procedures and results (1)**

In some cases the research is insufficient and reports do not gain wide circulation or do not have national or international attention. Research activities should be revised.

Operations and procedures are of sufficient quality, shared occasionally in the community. The improvement of research and other efforts are occasionally documented and operations and practices are to some extent in alignment with the documentation. The ambition to develop the community together is of sufficient quality.

**Question 2 – DOCTORAL TRAINING**

**Question 3 – SOCIETAL IMPACT**

**Question 4 – COLLABORATION**

**Classification: Criteria (level of procedures and results)**

**Outstanding quality of procedures and results (5)**

Procedures are of outstanding quality, transparent and shared in the community. The practices and quality of doctoral training/societal impact/international and national collaboration/leadership and management are documented and operations and practices are in alignment with the documentation. The ambition to develop the community together is of outstanding quality. The procedures and results are regularly evaluated and the feedback has an effect on the planning.

**Excellent quality of procedures and results (4)**

Procedures are of excellent quality, transparent and shared in the community. The practices and quality of doctoral training/societal impact/international and national collaboration/leadership and management are documented and operations and practices are to large extent in alignment with the documentation. The ambition to develop the community together is of excellent quality. The procedures and outcomes are evaluated and the feedback has an effect on the planning.

**Very good quality of procedures and results (3)**

Procedures are of very good quality, transparent and shared in the community. The practices and quality of doctoral training/societal impact/international and national collaboration/leadership and
management are documented and operations and practices are to large extent in alignment with the documentation. The ambition to develop the community together is of very good quality.

**Good quality of procedures and results (2)**

Procedures are of good quality, shared occasionally in the community. The practices and quality of doctoral training/societal impact/international and national collaboration/leadership and management are documented and operations and practices are to large extent in alignment with the documentation. The ambition to develop the community together is of good quality.

**Sufficient quality of procedures and results (1)**

Procedures are of sufficient quality, transparent and shared in the community. The practices and quality of doctoral training/societal impact/international and national collaboration/leadership and management are occasionally documented and operations and practices are to some extent in alignment with the documentation. The ambition to develop the community together is of sufficient quality.

**Question 9 – CATEGORY**

Participation category – fitness for the category chosen

The choice and justification for the chosen category below should be reflected in the RC's responses to the evaluation questions 1–8.

1. *The research of the participating community represents the international cutting edge in its field.*
2. *The research of the participating community is of high quality, but the community in its present composition has yet to achieve strong international recognition or a clear break-through.*
3. *The research of the participating community is distinct from mainstream research, and the special features of the research tradition in the field must be considered in the evaluation. The research is of high quality and has great significance and impact in its field. However, the generally used research evaluation methods do not necessarily shed sufficient light on the merits of the research.*
4. *The research of the participating community represents an innovative opening.* A new opening can be an innovative combination of research fields, or it can be proven to have a special social, national or international demand or other significance. Even if the researcher community in its present composition has yet to obtain proof of international success, its members can produce convincing evidence of the high level of their previous research.
5. *The research of the participating community has a highly significant societal impact.* The participating researcher community is able to justify the high social significance of its research. The research may relate to national legislation, media visibility or participation in social debate, or other activities promoting social development and human welfare. In addition to having societal impact, the research must be of a high standard.

**An example of outstanding fitness for category choice (5)**

The RC's representation and argumentation for the chosen category were convincing. The RC recognized its real capacity and apparent outcomes in a wider context to the research communities. The specific character of the RC was well-recognized and well stated in the responses. The RC fitted optimally for the category.

- Outstanding (5)
- Excellent (4)
- Very good (3)
- Good (2)
- Sufficient (1)

The above-mentioned definition of outstanding was only an example in order to assist the panellists in the positioning of the classification. There was no exact definition for the category fitness.

---

5 The panels discussed the category fitness and made the final conclusions of the interpretation of it.
1.8 Timetable of the evaluation

The main timetable of the evaluation:

1. Registration November 2010
3. External peer review May–September 2011
4. Published reports March–April 2012
   - University level public report
   - RC specific reports

The entire evaluation was implemented during the university’s strategy period 2010–2012. The preliminary results were available for the planning of the following strategy period in late autumn 2011. The evaluation reports will be published in March/April 2012. More detailed time schedule is published in the University report.

1.9 Evaluation feedback – consensus of the entire panel

The panellists evaluated all the RC-specific material before the meetings in Helsinki and mailed the draft reports to the evaluation office. The latest interim versions were on-line available to all the panellists on the Wiki-sites. In September 2011, in Helsinki the panels discussed the material, revised the first draft reports and decided the final numeric evaluation. After the meetings in Helsinki, the panels continued working and finalised the reports before the end of November 2011. The final RC-specific reports are the consensus of the entire panel.

The evaluation reports were written by the panels independently. During the editing process, the evaluation office requested some clarifications from the panels when necessary. The tone and style in the reports were not harmonized in the editing process. All the reports follow the original texts written by the panels as far as it was possible.

The original evaluation material of the RCs, provided for the panellists is attached at the end of the report. It is essential to notice that the exported lists of publications and other scientific activities depend how the data was stored in the TUHAT-RIS by the RCs.
2 Evaluation feedback

2.1 Focus and quality of the RC’s research

- Description of
  - the RC’s research focus
  - the quality of the RC’s research (incl. key research questions and results)
  - the scientific significance of the RC’s research in the research field(s)

- Identification of the ways to strengthen the focus and improve the quality of the RC’s research

ASPECTS: Scientific quality, scientific significance, societal impact, innovativeness

PosPus is quite a large a RC (45 members) locating itself at the edge between political sociology and public sector research, integrating fields traditionally studied separately, in order to study the complexity of regulating risk behaviour and activating civic participation. It brings together sociology researchers working on interrelated topics.

Its focus is on the conceptual framing of problem behaviours, and on studying the institutional arrangements for prevention and intervention, and policy outcomes, especially from the point of view of new types of dependencies and client selection. Studies on vulnerable populations, their relation to public services, the role of NGOs are aimed at exploring conditions for promoting client autonomy; research on citizens’ participation, associational life, the impact of social media is finalized to better understanding weaknesses of the functioning of NPM reforms and resistance to them.

The RC as a whole has a decent but uneven publication record, with some internationally prominent scholars but without a high general level. The average annual number of A1, A3, and C1 publications among the 22 doctoral members of the RC is 1.46, lower than that of several other social science RCs at Helsinki University, and the majority of articles are in national language publications, and monographs by national publishers. Also the bibliometric data for citations is rather low. As for doctoral and postdoctoral researchers, some more effort is recommended for increasing their publishing.

This is a strong RC, with some outstanding individual scholars, and with a great potential, not yet fully realized. The division between 3 autonomous subgroups does not seem to have yet been transcended in research synergy. It is recommended that collaboration between subgroups is enhanced, including in joint publications.

Numeric evaluation: 4 (Excellent)

2.2 Practises and quality of doctoral training

- Organising of the doctoral training in the RC. Description of the RC’s principles for:
  - recruitment and selection of doctoral candidates
  - supervision of doctoral candidates
  - collaboration with faculties, departments/institutes, and potential graduate schools/doctoral programmes
  - good practises and quality assurance in doctoral training
  - assuring of good career perspectives for the doctoral candidates/fresh doctorates

- Identification of the RC’s strengths and challenges related to the practises and quality of doctoral training, and the actions planned for their development.

- Additional material: TUHAT compilation of the RC’s other scientific activities/supervision of doctoral dissertations

ASPECTS: Processes and good practices related to leadership and management
The RC Doctoral training program stands out as a consolidated and successful program. 22 PhD theses awarded in the five years under evaluation, represent a good achievement for a relatively young RC, but is below that of some other RCs. Selection procedures look rigorous and well set, supervision procedures are intense and well organized, including a postgraduate seminar, personal supervision and training sessions on researcher skills. However it is not very clear from the evaluation materials whether this is the doctoral program of the Sociology Dept. or an autonomous program of the RC.

Attention is paid to international training, a minimum period of 6 months of research abroad is required, emphasis is put on participation in international research projects and international publishing, international examiners and opponents are involved, although there is no mention of language requirements. The program looks also very successful in terms of funding, raising from external sources about half of the total PhD funding.

The RC participates in the Finnish Graduate School of Social Sciences SOVAKO and one professor is the director of the Graduate School for Science Technology and Innovation Studies TITEKO; moreover the team has long experience in organizing research training courses in international frames. The RC has good networking and collaboration ties with public research institutes what provides good job opportunities for graduated researchers.

This is an excellent doctoral training program. It is recommended to better work on its substantive specificity, integrating and developing the multi-faceted perspectives of the RC well distinguishing it from a general sociology program.

**Numeric evaluation: 4 (Excellent)**

### 2.3 The societal impact of research and doctoral training

- **Description on how the RC interacts with and contributes to the society (collaboration with public, private and/or 3rd sector).**
- **Identification of the ways to strengthen the societal impact of the RC's research and doctoral training.**
- **Additional material: TUHAT compilation of the RC's other scientific activities.**

**ASPECTS:** Societal impact, national and international collaboration, innovativeness

The RC focus is on issues relevant to society and to policy makers. It is well connected with public sector research units and institutions, including Finnish ministries. Researchers present their work to professionals and practitioners, they give lectures to social and health workers. The RC members participate in public debate on relevant national media and think-tank organizations. In the future they would like to strengthen researchers' active collaboration with public, private and third sector.

There is an impressive combination of public service teaching and practice-relevant fundamental research.

**Numeric evaluation: 5 (Outstanding)**

### 2.4 International and national (incl. intersectoral) research collaboration and researcher mobility

- **Description of**
  - the RC's research collaborations and joint doctoral training activities
  - how the RC has promoted researcher mobility
- **Identification of the RC's strengths and challenges related to research collaboration and researcher mobility, and the actions planned for their development.**

**ASPECTS:** Scientific quality, national and international collaboration
PosPus has extensive international contacts. They participate in international research projects (FP7, National Institute for Consumer Research in Oslo, ELSA-Genomics, Centre de Recherche Médicine Santé Santé Mentale et Société, Centre for Social Research on Alcohol and Drugs University of Stockholm), in international research consortium, international scientific associations (European Sociological Association, European Neuroscience and Society Network). The RC organizes international conferences.

The RC has been very active in applying for international research funding and plans to further improve its capacity to get their research funded.

International standard of the RC is high and widespread, although it looks mainly linked to the leader figures; for future development it might be important to diffuse international commitment within the RC.

**Numeric evaluation: 5 (Outstanding)**

### 2.5 Operational conditions

- **Description of the operational conditions in the RC’s research environment** (e.g. research infrastructure, balance between research and teaching duties).
- **Identification of the RC’s strengths and challenges related to operational conditions, and the actions planned for their development.**

**ASPECTS: Processes and good practices related to leadership and management**

Operational conditions of PosPus are very good. The RC is organized through 3 autonomous subgroups under the leadership of 3 Professors, The balance between research and teaching activities is good thanks to relatively frequent periods of funded leave for purposes of research. The RC is aware that further challenges will come from administrative heavy tasks and feels specially engaged in keeping innovative instruments and know-how despite overwhelming demands in administration.

### 2.6 Leadership and management in the researcher community

- **Description of**
  - the execution and processes of leadership in the RC
  - how the management-related responsibilities and roles are distributed in the RC
  - how the leadership- and management-related processes support
    - high quality research
    - collaboration between principal investigators and other researchers in the RC
    - the RC’s research focus
    - strengthening of the RC’s know-how
  - Identification of the RC’s strengths and challenges related to leadership and management, and the actions planned for developing the processes

**ASPECTS: Processes and good practices related to leadership and management**

The RC is managed by principles of equality, democracy and scientific competence. Each project leader has responsibility for their team, the funding and their allocation. The distinction between the 3 subgroups does not seem to create any problem in terms of leadership and management, although it might undermine the cohesion of the RC; they run separate seminars; units also interrelate in mutual seminars, teaching and teamwork. The RC takes benefit of a policy of the Department to invite visiting scholars that contribute to give fresh inputs.

For the near future they expect further support from the newly appointed research coordinator in the Faculty.

For the full potential of the multiple perspectives assembled in the RC to be fully realized, some form of stronger collective leadership is recommendable.
2.7 External competitive funding of the RC

- The RCs were asked to provide information of such external competitive funding, where:
  - the funding decisions have been made during 1.1.2005–31.12.2010, and
  - the administrator of the funding is/has been the University of Helsinki

- On the e-form the RCs were asked to provide:
  1) The relevant funding source(s) from a given list (Academy of Finland/Research Council, TEKES/The Finnish Funding Agency for Technology and Innovation, EU, ERC, foundations, other national funding organisations, other international funding organizations), and
  2) The total sum of funding which the organisation in question had decided to allocate to the RCs members during 1.1.2005–31.12.2010.

Competitive funding reported in the text is also to be considered when evaluating this point.

ASPECTS: Scientific quality, scientific significance, societal impact, innovativeness and future significance

PosPus has been very successful in their funding activity, their record of external funding is quite impressive. Most of the funding comes from national institutions, particularly for the doctoral program, but they have been also successful in getting international funding (EU, Nordic).

2.8 The RC’s strategic action plan for 2011–2013

- RC’s description of their future perspectives in relation to research and doctoral training.

ASPECTS: Scientific quality, scientific significance, societal impact, processes and good practices related to leadership and management, national and international collaboration, innovativeness, future significance

The RC’s strategic plan will be focused on strengthening and enlarging cooperation within the RC and the project to establish an Academy Professorship. They plan to deepen the collaboration between the subgroups by increasing their activity of international research grants. Future challenges are seen from national educational policy which might make it difficult sustainable research careers for serious scholars.

It lacks here a strategic plan in substantive terms: how does the RC see developments of their focus issues and analytical perspectives?

2.9 Evaluation of the category of the RC in the context of entity of the evaluation material (1-8)

The RC’s fitness to the chosen participation category.

Category 1. The research of the participating community represents the international cutting edge in its field.

PosPus is clearly a large, well established, active RC very well integrated in Sociology at UH and with a strong international recognition. The RC gets an impressive external funding, is responsible for an excellent doctoral training program. It may lack of a clear plan for development in the near future but it definitely looks as a major contribution to sociological research in the context of UH.

While it includes internationally cutting edge PIs in its subgroups, PosPus as a whole is not yet quite on category 1 level. But the outlined analytical perspectives of the RC hold promise of it.

Numeric evaluation: 4 (Excellent)
2.10 Short description of how the RC members contributed the compilation of the stage 2 material

Materials have been compiled at their final stage under the responsibility of the RC coordinator; the 3 subgroups, although in close contact, have worked separately in order to favour involvement of PhD students in drafting and commenting the text.

2.11 How the UH’s focus areas are presented in the RC’s research

*Focus area 10: Globalisation and social change*

The research activity of PosPus locates as significant contribution to UH’s focus areas, namely Focus area 10 Globalisation and social change.

2.12 RC-specific main recommendations

- Strengthening integration between the 3 subgroups
- To develop a joint research program
- Better defining the RC autonomous focus of the doctoral program with respect to the Sociology Department
- Increasing means of autonomous internationalization of young researchers and their international publishing
- More detailed future plan, particularly given the broad research objectives of this RC

2.13 RC-specific conclusions

The RC focus presents an interesting and promising research agenda in the field of public sector, participation, active citizenship, with a commendable emphasis on the need to renew theoretical tools of interpretation. However at this point it looks rather fragmented between three subgroups, cohesion between them does not look solidly grounded on common research goals, we had the impression of a superposition of self-standing subgroups more than an RC as such. Therefore we have come to the conclusion that the RC should try to further consolidate through a better defined structure of common work and common perspectives. Given its broad objectives, the RC needs to get a more detailed plan for the future and to deepen the analysis of its own theoretical perspectives and expected outcomes, both at the theoretical and the empirical research levels. The doctoral program may gain coherence from a better distinction from the general sociology program of the Department.

2.14 Preliminary findings in the Panel-specific feedback

- Research objectives are broad and interesting but rather vague
- Doctoral program is very good but would need a better definition of its specificity
- Excellent level of practices for having a societal impact
- Very good level of international network and recognition namely for the main PIs of this RC
- Need for a stronger collective organization overcoming the superposition of subgroups
- Very good level of funding, national and international
- Plan for the future not particularly developed, need for more in-depth reflexivity on substantive issues and their future developments
3 Appendices

A. Original evaluation material
   a. Registration material – Stage 1
   b. Answers to evaluation questions – Stage 2
   c. List of publications
   d. List of other scientific activities

B. Bibliometric analyses
   a. Analysis provided by CWTS/University of Leiden
   b. Analysis provided by Helsinki University Library (66 RCs)
NAME OF THE RESEARCHER COMMUNITY:
Political Sociology and Public Sector Research (PosPus)

LEADER OF THE RESEARCHER COMMUNITY:
Professor Pekka Sulkunen, Department of Social Research

RC-SPECIFIC MATERIAL FOR THE PEER REVIEW:
- Material submitted by the RC at stages 1 and 2 of the evaluation
  - STAGE 1 material: RC’s registration form (incl. list of RC participants in an excel table)
  - STAGE 2 material: RC’s answers to evaluation questions
- TUHAT compilations of the RC members’ other scientific activities 1.1.2005-31.12.2010

NB! Since Web of Science (WoS)-based bibliometrics does not provide representative results for most RCs representing humanities, social sciences and computer sciences, the publications of these RCs will be analyzed by the UH Library (results available by the end of June, 2011)
1 RESPONSIBLE PERSON

Name: Sulkunen, Pekka
E-mail: pekka.sulkunen@helsinki.fi
Phone: +358 (0)9191 23975
Affiliation: Department of Social Research
Street address: Unioninkatu 35

2 DESCRIPTION OF THE PARTICIPATING RESEARCHER COMMUNITY (RC)

Name of the participating RC (max. 30 characters): Political Sociology and Public Sector Research
Acronym for the participating RC (max. 10 characters): PosPus

Description of the operational basis in 2005-2010 (eg. research collaboration, joint doctoral training activities) on which the RC was formed (MAX. 2200 characters with spaces): Political sociology and public sector research have traditionally been divided into four separate fields. First, political sociology has studied political institutions and processes, democracy and social movements. Second, public management research has focused on the organisation of public services. Third, welfare state research covers welfare regimes and their outcomes. Fourth, public service research has focused on the quality, adequacy and distribution of welfare outcomes.

During the past decade, researchers affiliated with the RC have integrated their research and doctoral training to analyse all four aspects in interaction with each other. The team provides post graduate teaching and research training in coordinated seminars, lectures and courses. PhD students actively assist senior teachers in their courses. Our approach is at the crossroads of public management research, welfare state studies, political sociology and service provision research.

The RC consists of internationally acknowledged scholars – 7 PI:s and 38 researchers and doctoral students – in three subgroups.

Under the leadership of Academy Professor Risto Alapuro, Helsinki Research Group for Political Sociology conducts globally oriented research on social movements, civic associations, and modes and processes of political and civic activity, continuing the tradition of the renowned Finnish sociologist Erik Allardt. Professor Pekka Sulkunen’s group is experienced and internationally known in historical and comparative policy analysis of addiction and interventions addressing vulnerable populations and has unique experience in comparative qualitative research. Acting Professor of STS Ilpo Helén has established an influential school of social research in Finland which conducts studies of biopolitics, economies and technology with the Nordic welfare regime.
The RC has on-going research collaboration with Australia, Austria, Britain, Finland, France, Germany, India, Malawi, Russia, South Africa and the US. The subgroups have together prepared and submitted an application for a Centre of Excellence grant under this heading. The RC has a freshly granted research funding and several pending.

### 3 Scientific Fields of the RC

**Main scientific field of the RC’s research:** social sciences

**RC’s scientific subfield 1:** Sociology  
**RC’s scientific subfield 2:** Social Issues  
**RC’s scientific subfield 3:** Political Science  
**RC’s scientific subfield 4:** Public Administration

**Other, if not in the list:**

### 4 RC’s Participation Category

**Participation category:** 1. Research of the participating community represents the international cutting edge in its field

**Justification for the selected participation category (MAX. 2200 characters with spaces):** The research of the RC is of high quality and the number of the international publications of the RC 2005-2010 exceeds 30. Research of the sub-group leaders is acknowledged internationally in their special fields.

Pekka Sulkunen, an expert in theoretically oriented empirical research on the public sector and lifestyle regulation, including addictions, has over 230 scientific publications (incl. 30 refereed articles, five international books). He has outstanding experience in leading research teams (one international consortium, five projects funded by the Academy of Finland, international research teams). He is a Member of the Finnish Academy of Science and Letters, has received the Jellinek Memorial Award 1997. He has been visiting professor at Université de Paris V la Sorbonne. He is a founding member of the Research Network on the sociology of consumption of the European Sociological Association and member of the Executive Board of ESA, and a long-time Ex-President of The Westermarck Society.

Risto Alapuro a renowned expert in nation-building and revolution theories and studies of citizen participation. He has established the Helsinki Group for Political Sociology and worked as Academy Professor. He has 124 publications, including 34 articles refereed journals in Finnish, Swedish, English, French, Italian and Estonian. He is a Member of the Finnish Academy of Science and Letters and has during his career worked as visiting researcher or professor at e.g. École des Hautes Études en Sciences Sociales, Université Paris III - La Sorbonne Nouvelle, and at the Inst of Sociology at the Academy of Sciences of the USSR in Moscow.
Ilpo Helén has conducted outstanding research into biopolitics, focusing on health and family policy, mental health care and reproductive medicine. He has established a school of social research on Foucauldian critical genealogy and science and technology studies. He has about 90 publications (incl. over 30 refereed articles, 3 authored or edited books).

The RC have organized several international conferences (incl. the ESA RN, sociology of consumption 2008, ENSN symposium Addiction(s), Social and Cerebral 2010).

**Public description of the RC's research and doctoral training (MAX. 2200 characters with spaces):**
Since the 1980s, public sector reforms have been moving away from the state-centred systems that were essential in European modernisation. Devolution concerns both political representation of citizens and provision of public services. Citizen participation programmes have been set up to complement low political participation, and public services have been increasingly outsourced to the private sector, civil society organisations and ad hoc projects.

Both the causes and the consequences of these reforms, summarily called New Public Management, are insufficiently known. Against expectations, modern societies have not rendered civil society organisations obsolete. Together with public bodies, formal and informal services, enterprises, and ad hoc groups, they form not a network but a rhizome of health and welfare services, control and political activity.

The objective of RC is cutting edge research to explain the causes of the rhizome-like structures of the public sector, and to show what consequences they have. The general hypothesis is that autonomy and agency have become fundamental principles of justification. The normative welfare state has turned into a motivating one. The consequence of the rhizome-like public sector is that autonomy may turn into oppressive control, excluding rather than including people who have the greatest need for support. The RC study the complexity of regulating risk behaviour and activating civic participation. The studies focus on the conceptual framing of problem behaviours, the institutional arrangements for prevention and intervention, and on policy outcomes, especially from the point of view of new types of dependencies and client selection.

The number of PhDs of the RC has been about five per year and will remain at this level. The RC participates in the Finnish Doctoral Program in Social Sciences (SOVAKO) involving 4 doctoral students of the RC.

**Significance of the RC’s research and doctoral training for the University of Helsinki (MAX. 2200 characters with spaces):**
The RC represents and enables cutting edge Finnish research on currently central issues in political sociology and on the public sector. It brings together researchers who work on interrelated topics. It is particularly pivotal in promoting closer collaboration between academic, theoretically oriented research and more policy-oriented and applied approaches.

The RC improves Finnish researchers’ capacity to participate in international collaborative projects. Sulkunen’s team is involved in an FP7 project on Addictions and Lifestyles in Contemporary European
Ilpo Helén’s directs two ELSA-Genomics projects which are carried out in co-operation with German and Austrian research groups. He is also a steering committee member of the European Neuroscience and Society Network. These activities bring in international researchers and teachers as well as recruit high-quality international doctoral students to the UH.

The RC participates in the Finnish Doctoral Program in Social Sciences (SOVAKO), and Helén is the director of the Graduate School for Science, Technology and Innovation Studies (TITEKO). The teams have extensive experience in organizing and leading research training courses under the auspices of the European Sociological Association, the Nordic Centre for Alcohol and Drug Research (part of the Nordic Centre for Welfare and Social Issues) and the European Neurosciences and Society Network. The RC will facilitate further activities in this line.

Helsinki Research Group for Political Sociology (HEPO) has developed an intensive and fruitful co-operation with the research group of professor Laurent Thévenot at the École des Hautes Études en Sciences Sociales (EHESS. HEPO has organized two international conferences at the University of Helsinki with Laurent Thévenot as invited guest – the third one will be arranged in the spring of 2011.

**Keywords:** Public sector, globalisation, decentralication, welfare state, regulation, governance, networks, autonomy, intimacy, representation, policy-making

### 6 Quality of RC’s research and doctoral training

**Justified estimate of the quality of the RC’s research and doctoral training at national and international level during 2005-2010 (MAX. 2200 characters with spaces):** The efficiency of the RC in research training is indicated by the high number of PhDs. The quality of these has been high, within three highest ratings of a scale of 7. The quality of the research is indicated by the number of single authored, co-authored and edited international and national books published and the high number of articles published.

The innovative impact of the RC has six aspects. The first (1) to analyse the fields of public management research and welfare state studies as well as the intersection between political action and service provision in interaction with each other. The second innovative objective (2) is to include the perspectives of legal regulation, welfare and political science in the study of the relationships between the state and the civil society. The third innovative objective (3) is to offer a new analysis of the public sector transition. NPM is usually represented as the logic of the market applied to public management. Our assumption is that the public sector today must adapt to two fundamental changes beside market dominance: that is to respect citizen autonomy and the need to secure their co-operation as life regulation technologies advance. The fourth objective (4) is to focus on health care, addictions and regulation of intimate relationships.
context of public interventions, the individual’s right to intimacy and others’ right to justice, welfare and security are often incompatible. Policy considerations typically evolve around this contradiction. This is the case, for example, with issues of health care or family counseling, regulation of addictions or neglect of children. The fifth objective (5) is to assess the relationship between civic participation, political action and the changing public sector. NPM calls upon civic society organizations to participate in welfare service provision. Reforms are made more citizen-oriented and thus legitimate. However, not all civil-society actors accept these roles and might start to change or propose other ways of organizing services. Our sixth objective (6) is to be strongly comparative. We participate in two FP7 applications.

Comments on how the RC’s scientific productivity and doctoral training should be evaluated (MAX. 2200 characters with spaces): The RC consists of participants at all levels of their academic careers. We have included also some of the younger doctoral candidates who have few publications. Their activities such as presented papers in international contexts should be recognized, as well as the quality of their work. Average number of publications per participant is not sufficient indicator of our productivity.

Our publications include refereed articles in journals in several languages, monographs, articles in edited volumes. We have published in over 20 refereed journals in English, including Critical Public Health, Critical Social Policy, Acta Sociologica, Scandinavian Political Studies, Journal of Baltic Studies, Journal of Medical Humanities, Nordic Studies on Alcohol and Drug Research, Contemporary Drug Problems and in over 7 journals in other languages including Finnish, Russian, French, Swedish, German, Italian.

We tend to publish about 1 publication in Finnish for each publication in other languages, because our work is relevant also for policy-makers, practitioners and the wider Finnish speaking public. Therefore evaluation of our research cannot be based simply on counting the number of refereed journal articles and citations of English-language publications.

Our doctoral training includes about 5 to 7 disputations per year, but they are not registered as degrees granted by this RC.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Last name</th>
<th>First name</th>
<th>PI-status (TUNAT, 29.11.2010)</th>
<th>Title of research and teaching personnel</th>
<th>Affiliation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Allaste</td>
<td>Airi-Alina</td>
<td>Doctoral candidate</td>
<td>Dept of Social Research</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Egerer</td>
<td>Michael</td>
<td>Doctoral candidate</td>
<td>Dept of Social Research</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Heikkinen</td>
<td>Hanne</td>
<td>Doctoral candidate</td>
<td>The National Institute for Health and Welfare (THL)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Hellman</td>
<td>Matilida</td>
<td>Doctoral candidate</td>
<td>The Nordic Centre for Welfare and Social Issues</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Jallinoja</td>
<td>Piia</td>
<td>Senior Researcher</td>
<td>The National Institute for Health and Welfare (THL)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Katainen</td>
<td>Anu</td>
<td>Doctoral candidate</td>
<td>Dept of Social Research</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Kekälä</td>
<td>Tuula</td>
<td>Doctoral candidate</td>
<td>The Finnish Foundation for Alcohol Studies/THL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Kilpinen</td>
<td>Erkki</td>
<td>x Senior Researcher</td>
<td>Dept of Social Research</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Kjaernes</td>
<td>Unni</td>
<td>Senior Researcher</td>
<td>National Institute for Consumer Research, Oslo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Korkeamäki</td>
<td>Johanna</td>
<td>Doctoral candidate</td>
<td>Rehabilitation Foundation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Kosonen</td>
<td>Petka</td>
<td>x Senior Researcher</td>
<td>Dept of Social Research</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Kouvonon</td>
<td>Petra</td>
<td>Doctoral candidate</td>
<td>The Finnish Foundation for Alcohol Studies/THL; Dept of Social Studies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Leppo</td>
<td>Anna</td>
<td>Doctoral candidate</td>
<td>Dept of Social Research</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Mäkelä</td>
<td>Johanna</td>
<td>Senior Researcher</td>
<td>The National Consumer Research Center</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Maunu</td>
<td>Antti</td>
<td>Doctoral candidate</td>
<td>The Finnish Foundation for Alcohol Studies/THL; Dept of Social Studies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Obstbaum</td>
<td>Yaira</td>
<td>Doctoral candidate</td>
<td>The National Research Institute of Legal Policy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Perälä</td>
<td>Riikka</td>
<td>Doctoral candidate</td>
<td>The Finnish Foundation for Alcohol Studies/THL; Dept of Social Studies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Rantala</td>
<td>Kati</td>
<td>Senior Researcher</td>
<td>The National Research Institute of Legal Policy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Ruuska</td>
<td>Arto</td>
<td>Doctoral candidate</td>
<td>The Finnish Foundation for Alcohol Studies/THL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Määttä</td>
<td>Mirja</td>
<td>Postdoctoral researcher</td>
<td>Dept of Social Research</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Seppälä</td>
<td>Pauliina</td>
<td>Doctoral candidate</td>
<td>Dept of Social Research</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Simonen</td>
<td>Jenni</td>
<td>Postdoctoral researcher</td>
<td>Dept of Social Research</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Smolej</td>
<td>Mirka</td>
<td>Doctoral candidate</td>
<td>The National Research Institute of Legal Policy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Sulkunen</td>
<td>Petka</td>
<td>x Professor</td>
<td>Dept of Social Research</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Tammi</td>
<td>Tuukka</td>
<td>Postdoctoral researcher</td>
<td>A-Clinic Foundation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>Tassopoulos-Järvinen</td>
<td>Johanna</td>
<td>Postdoctoral researcher</td>
<td>THL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>Ahmad</td>
<td>Akhlaq</td>
<td>x Senior Researcher</td>
<td>Dept of Social Research</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>Alapuro</td>
<td>Risto</td>
<td>x Professor</td>
<td>Dept of Social Research</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>Creutz-Kämppi</td>
<td>Karin</td>
<td>Doctoral candidate</td>
<td>Dept of Social Research</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>Eriksson</td>
<td>Kai</td>
<td>x Senior Researcher</td>
<td>Dept of Political and Economic Research</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>Holley</td>
<td>Peter</td>
<td>Doctoral candidate</td>
<td>Dept of Social Research</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>Lindström</td>
<td>Samu</td>
<td>Doctoral candidate</td>
<td>Dept of Social Research</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>Lonkilä</td>
<td>Markku</td>
<td>x Professor</td>
<td>Dept of Social Research</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>Luhtakallio</td>
<td>Eeva</td>
<td>Postdoctoral researcher</td>
<td>Dept of Social Research</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>Lyytikainen</td>
<td>Laura</td>
<td>Doctoral candidate</td>
<td>Dept of Social Research</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>Rönnkö</td>
<td>Mikael</td>
<td>Doctoral candidate</td>
<td>Dept of Social Research</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>Van der vet</td>
<td>Freek</td>
<td>Doctoral candidate</td>
<td>Dept of Social Research</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>Vihavainen</td>
<td>Kaisa</td>
<td>Postdoctoral researcher</td>
<td>Dept of Social Research</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>Vihko</td>
<td>Minna</td>
<td>Doctoral candidate</td>
<td>Dept of Social Research</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>Ylä-Anttila</td>
<td>Tuomas</td>
<td>Postdoctoral researcher</td>
<td>Dept of Social Research</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>Eränta</td>
<td>Kirsu</td>
<td>Doctoral candidate</td>
<td>Dept of Social Research</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>Jauho</td>
<td>Mikko</td>
<td>Postdoctoral researcher</td>
<td>The National Consumer Research Centre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>Helen</td>
<td>Ilpo</td>
<td>x Professor</td>
<td>Dept of Social Research</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>Yesilova</td>
<td>Katja</td>
<td>Postdoctoral researcher</td>
<td>Dept of Social Research</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>Salmenniemi</td>
<td>Suvii</td>
<td>x Postdoctoral researcher</td>
<td>Helsinki Collegium for Advanced Studies</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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BACKGROUND INFORMATION

Name of the RC’s responsible person: Sulkunen, Pekka
E-mail of the RC’s responsible person: pekka.sulkunen@helsinki.fi

Name and acronym of the participating RC: Political Sociology and Public Sector Research, PosPus

The RC’s research represents the following key focus area of UH: 10. Globalisaatio ja yhteiskunnan muutos – Globalisation and social change

Comments for selecting/not selecting the key focus area: Since the 1980s, public sector reforms have been moving away from the state-centred systems that were essential in European modernisation. Devolution concerns both political representation of citizens and provision of public services. Citizen participation programmes have been set up to complement low political participation, and public services have been increasingly outsourced to the private sector, civil society organisations and ad hoc projects. These are global processes.

Both the causes and the consequences of these reforms, summarily called New Public Management, are insufficiently known. Against expectations, modern societies have not rendered civil society organisations obsolete. Together with public bodies, formal and informal services, enterprises, and ad hoc groups, they form not a network but a rhizome of health and welfare services, control and political activity. The objective of this RC is to conduct cutting edge research to explain the causes of the rhizome-like structures of the public sector, and to show what consequences they have.

FOCUS AND QUALITY OF RC’S RESEARCH (MAX. 8800 CHARACTERS WITH SPACES)

- Description of the RC’s research focus, the quality of the RC’s research (incl. key research questions and results) and the scientific significance of the RC’s research for the research field(s).

FOCUS OF THE RESEARCH

This RC merges four previously separate research traditions in sociology. Political sociology and public sector research have traditionally been divided into four fields. First, political sociology has studied political processes, democracy and social movements. Second, public service research has focused on the quality, adequacy and distribution of welfare outcomes. Third, welfare state research covers welfare regimes and their outcomes, but excludes structural analysis of public sector change. A fourth perspective is public management research, which previously has focused on the organisation of public services but largely ignored issues of representation and welfare outcomes.

During the past decade, researchers affiliated with the Department of Sociology at University of Helsinki have integrated their research and doctoral training to analyse all four aspects in interaction with each other. Our approach is at the crossroads of public management research and welfare state studies. It further includes political sociology and service provision research.

RESEARCH QUESTIONS AND RESULTS

Since the 1980s, public sector reforms have been moving away from the state-centred systems that were essential in European modernisation. Devolution concerns both political representation of citizens and provision of public services. Citizen participation programmes have been set up to complement low political participation, and public services have been increasingly outsourced to the private sector, civil society organisations and ad hoc projects.
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This RC explores the causes of the rhizome-like structures of the public sector, and shows what consequences they have. The general CAUSATIVE hypothesis is that autonomy and agency have become fundamental principles of justification in advanced modern societies. Therefore the disciplinary and normative welfare state has turned into a motivating and persuading one. What earlier was seen to represent social control is now formulated as voluntary commitment and partnership.

The expected CONSEQUENCE of the rhizome-like public sector is that autonomy may turn into oppressive control, excluding rather than including people who have the greatest need for support. The RC’s approach stresses the complexity of regulating risk behaviour and activating civic participation.

The studies focus on (a) the conceptual framing of problem behaviours, (b) the institutional arrangements for prevention and intervention, and (c) on policy outcomes, especially from the point of view of new types of dependencies and client selection.

A major outcome of the research is the book by Pekka Sulkunen called The Saturated Society (Sage, London 2009). This book argues that in the modern order of justification, individual autonomy and intimacy have been commonly accepted but incompletely realized goals and ideals of human worth. Since the last third of the twentieth century, however, they have become taken for granted as grounds not only for human worth but also for belonging to society and differentiation of rewards. The mechanisms of exclusion and oppression suggested above as consequences of the rhizome-like public sector must be seen in this light.

A wide range of studies have been conducted in this RC from this perspective on vulnerable populations such as drug users, mental health patients, long-term unemployed, life-style criminals, children in foster homes and others. In all of these studies, the role of associations (NGOs) has been found to be indispensable as service providers, partly because they are expected to be helpful in promoting and maintaining client autonomy, partly because they are hoped to be more flexible than public institutions in dealing with complex moral situations.

Another body of research conducted in the RC has looked at citizens’ participation in and their resistance against reforms of the public sector. Practices of new public sector management have brought to light increasing tensions between the public sector professionals and their ‘clients’. The field of associational life and social movements has undergone profound change from class-based ‘old social movements’, such as labour movement, to identity-based ‘new social movements’ (e.g. peace movement) and to the ‘lighter’ forms of participation through information and communications technology. This change can only be analysed by paying particular attention to the internet and social media as arenas and tools for social movements. We have studied civic associations’ participation in policymaking and implementation in homeowners’ associations in Russia, conscis de quartier in France, the Helsinki Process on Globalization and Democracy and diabetes associations in St. Petersburg and Helsinki to give a few examples. We have also studied the resistance to New Public Management inspired reforms by citizens’ collectives in Helsinki and Lyon as well as the worldwide networks of the Global Justice Movement.

SCIENTIFIC SIGNIFICANCE

The RC represents and enables cutting edge Finnish research on central issues in political sociology and on the public sector. It brings together researchers who work on interrelated topics. It is particularly pivotal in promoting closer collaboration between academic, theoretically oriented research and more policy-oriented and applied approaches. While open to interdisciplinary collaboration, it is firmly
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grounded on the classical sociological tradition concerning social integration and the theory of justification established in Finland by Prof. Academician Erik Allardt.

- **Ways to strengthen the focus and improve the quality of the RC’s research.**
  
  Merging 4 separate research traditions the RC results in 6 innovative aspects. The first is to analyze the crossroads between public management/welfare state studies and the intersection between political action/service provision. The second is to include legal regulation and political action in relationships between the state/the civil society. The third is an analysis of the public sector transition. The public sector must today adapt to 2 changes in society beside market dominance: citizen autonomy and the need to secure co-operation. The fourth is to focus on health care, addictions and regulation of intimate relationships usually researched separately. In these areas public sector interferes with intimate choices of individuals. The fifth objective is to understand the relationship between civic participation/political action and the changing public sector. Our sixth objective is to be strongly comparative. Our future research continues to investigate the connections of local citizen participation and resistance with global civil society networks and political processes.

### 2 PRACTICES AND QUALITY OF DOCTORAL TRAINING (MAX. 8800 CHARACTERS WITH SPACES)

- **How is doctoral training organised in the RC?** Description of the RC’s principles for recruitment and selection of doctoral candidates, supervision of doctoral candidates, collaboration with faculties, departments/institutes, and potential graduate schools/doctoral programmes, good practises and quality assurance in doctoral training, and assuring good career perspectives for the doctoral candidates/fresh doctorates.

  The efficiency of the RC in research training is indicated by the high number, approximately 22 PhDs awarded during the evaluation period. The quality of the theses has been high, the grades ranging from magna cum laude approbatur to eximia cum laude approbatur, and even laudatur (three highest ratings of a scale of 7). The quality of the research is indicated by the number of single authored, co-authored and edited international and national books published (approximately B/C1), as well as by the high number of articles published in established international journals (approximately 90/A1 and 60/A3).

**REQUIREMENTS**

Candidates are recruited twice a year by an open call organized by the faculty. Requirements are a strong Master’s thesis in sociology (magna cum laude approbatur or higher), an appropriate research plan, and other qualifications for a successful performance of PhD studies. Funding is not required, but it is a great advantage if granted already at this point. Research environment (participation in a research project) is an important consideration. All professors of sociology evaluate applications and agree on recommendations of admission to the faculty. The percentage of applications accepted has varied between 52 and 82. The annual number of admissions to sociology has been 16 students on average. Of these, 63 % have gained MA in sociology at the UH. Admission is to sociology, not to RCs, but students are appointed to seminars according to their research topic.

**SUPERVISION**

PhD students are expected to participate an average of four years in a postgraduate seminar, presenting at least one new paper each year. Other requirements are auxiliary studies in population policy, urban societies, intervention systems, including family, law and control and methodological courses. In addition, RCs have research seminars including also postdoctoral and sometimes undergraduate participants. Currently three seminars out of five in sociology focus on topics of this RC. Seminar leaders are considered to be supervisors and expected to follow the work of each student in detail, giving advice...
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on personal study plans (HOPS) and supervising also auxiliary studies. In addition, each PhD student has a personal supervisor, usually an expert in the specific field of study. Usually also personal supervisors are senior members of this RC. All postgraduate students are expected to attend three to four annual training sessions on "researcher skills", including subjects as how to get funded, how to publish internationally, research ethics, copyright issues and so on.

COLLABORATION

The faculty of social sciences is developing a programme of postgraduate courses. Traditionally, a part of auxiliary studies is required in other social science disciplines or philosophy. About one half of sociology postgraduates have been associated with public research institutes (Stakes, THL, Optula, KTK) but with the reforms of sectoral research in recent years there has been a substantial shift to the premises of Sociology. This trend has been greatest within this RC.

The RC participates in the Finnish Graduate School of Social Sciences (SOVAKO), and Helén is the director of the Graduate School for Science, Technology and Innovation Studies (TITEKO). The teams have extensive experience in organizing and leading research training courses under the auspices of the European Sociological Association, the Nordic Council for Alcohol and Drug Research (today part of Nordic Centre for Welfare and Social Issues) and the European Neurosciences and Society Network. The RC will facilitate further activities in this line.

We coordinate a research area of four work packages in the FP7 funded project ALICE RAP on addictions in Europe, we have participated in two FP7 applications on the changing public sector.

GOOD PRACTICES AND QUALITY ASSURANCE

The RC as well as Sociology follows faculty guidelines in good practices, which are strict in avoiding conflicts of interest, not allowing thesis supervisors to be heard at all in the PhD assessment process. Most PhD students in this RC spend a minimum of six months in research environments abroad. All PIs are involved in several relevant networks of research collaboration.

CAREER PERSPECTIVES

Since the Finnish academic system involves very little intramural research opportunities – about 100% of research in sociology is externally funded – career perspectives of our PhDs are either research-related tasks outside the university or uncertain external (project-based) funding within the university,

We have exceptionally close ties with public research institutes in this RC for the moment, and despite cut-downs and shifts in research policy, the generational shift will generate a substantial amount of job opportunities in the sector research institutes in the near future. In addition, we stress in our training programme skills for finding research funding, participation in international research projects, and international publishing. We give special emphasis on teacher training to all PhD candidates in our RC, by requiring them to assist in courses, to arrange examinations, and also to give lectures to students. Compensation for these activities follows faculty rules.

- **RC’s strengths and challenges related to the practises and quality of doctoral training, and the actions planned for their development.**

The STREGTH and QUALITY of this RC’s doctoral training is attested by the competitive external funding received before the evaluation period (approximately one million euros). We have had a large number of tax-free personal stipends to PhD students. The approximate number of years funded totals over sixty
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(60) but is more likely to be close to 100 for all PhDs supervised within this RC during the evaluation period. This is more than half of the total PhD funding within this RC.

A current challenge for doctoral training is that the national educational policy creates pressure to cut down quality requirements. The RC tries to avoid such development by all available means. We give priority to publications in English and use international examiners and opponents whenever possible. We prefer to maintain the grading scale as it is, in order to distinguish work that fully merits scientific attention from those researchers, who are registered as students but are principally occupied in non-research tasks, and may not meet our standards of scientific quality.

Description of how the RC interacts with and contributes to the society (collaboration with public, private and/or 3rd sector).

Our ties with public sector research units and institutions, which initiate, carry out and implement policies, are close. Yet, the university context is essential for the existence of critical research into policymaking, which might be difficult to perform within sector organizations. An example of such research collaboration is a yearly allowance of 50 000 € to our RC from the Ministry of Social Affairs and Health for gambling research. The RC has played a crucial part in the training of gambling researchers during the evaluation period. Research training in unit 1 of this RC has centred on a PhD seminar focusing on societal interventions and management of life style problems. This so called "Intervention group" has been running on a regular basis since about a decade before the evaluation period. The research presented in the seminar sets out to critically examine changes in public policies and practices. The researchers frequently present their work at other seminars and training occasions for professionals and practitioners. Recent examples are lectures for social and health workers dealing with substance abuse among pregnant mothers. Other occasions have dealt with the management of substance use problems within child welfare, and the implementation of substitute treatment for drug users. PhD students have also contributed to public debate (newspapers, professional bulletins etc) relevant to their research interests.

Political sociology is by its very nature addressing questions that are of societal importance and of wide transnational and comparative scope. Unit 2 of this RC the Helsinki Research Group for Political Sociology (HEPO) runs a weekly English-speaking research seminar in political sociology for postgraduate students and post-doc researchers. The seminar is multidisciplinary, and allows junior researchers to learn from more experienced colleagues. Members have engaged in reviewing and enhancing seminar and thesis supervision practices to bring forth new and original ideas, to guarantee equal participation, and to provide effective peer support. The objective is to train sharp, broadly educated, critical researchers, who will have both the substantial and verbal competences to make major contributions to academic arena, policy development, and public debate.

Ways to strengthen the societal impact of the RC’s research and doctoral training.

Exchange between the RC and current policies and practices are best performed by an active dialogue. This RC works in a field where new knowledge is critical for the development of European societies in a fundamentally new situation. The most relevant way for this RC to make significant contribution into public sector change is intellectual. We need to rethink the fundamentals of the social bond, we must reassess currently dominant doctrines of justification in terms of equality and justice, and we need to reopen the case of the capitalist state in the multinational context of society. These classical issues in social theory are the most relevant investment of this RC to improve its societal impact. A concrete way to stimulate such development is to enable PhD researchers to be integrated in sector institutes, the
media, and relevant think-thank organizations at some point during their years of research. PhD students should be urged to actively collaborate with public, private and third sector. A step in this direction is our current FP7-funded “platform” application.

4 INTERNATIONAL AND NATIONAL (INCL. INTERSECTORAL) RESEARCH COLLABORATION AND RESEARCHER MOBILITY (MAX. 4400 CHARACTERS WITH SPACES)

- Description of the RC’s research collaborations and joint doctoral training activities and how the RC has promoted researcher mobility.

The RC has improved and will improve Finnish researchers’ capacity to participate in international collaborative projects. Sulkunen’s team is involved in an FP7 project on Addictions and Lifestyles in Contemporary European Societies (call SSH.2010.3.2-1), coordinated by Dr. Peter Anderson, Public Health consultant, Barcelona, Spain. The team also participates in a Nordic study on Financialization of Welfare, coordinated by Dr. Christian Poppe on behalf of the National Institute for Consumer Research (SIFO), Oslo, Norway.

Pekka Sulkunen was recently elected Kone Senior Research Fellow at the UH Collegium for Advanced Studies 2011-2014. He is the leader of an international research consortium on Images and Theories of Addiction, involving research teams in Sweden, Russia, France and Canada. He has been the leader of two, and principal teacher of four international PhD summer schools on public sector research and addictions.

Ilpo Helén directs two ELSA-Genomics projects which are carried out in co-operation with German and Austrian research groups. He is also a member of the steering committee of the European Neuroscience and Society Network. These activities have made it possible to bring in international researchers and teachers as well as to recruit high-quality international doctoral students to the UH.

The RC participates in the Finnish Graduate School of Social Sciences (SOVAKO), and Helén is the director of the Graduate School for Science, Technology and Innovation Studies (TITEKO). The teams have extensive experience in organizing and leading research training courses under the auspices of the European Sociological Association, the Nordic Council for Alcohol and Drug Research and the European Neurosciences and Society Network. The RC will facilitate further activities in this line.

Helsinki Research Group for Political Sociology (HEPO) has developed an intensive and fruitful co-operation with professor Laurent Thévenot’s research group at the École des Hautes Études en Sciences Sociales (EHESS). HEPO has organized two international conferences at the University of Helsinki with Laurent Thévenot as invited guest – the third one will be arranged in the spring of 2011, and the HEPO researchers and doctoral students have visited professor Thévenot’s research seminars in Paris. PhD researchers from the Intervention Group have been engaged in comparative research collaboration with Centre de Recherche Médecine, Sciences, Santé, Santé Mentale et Société (CERMES) during the evaluation period. Nordic research exchange has taken place with Centre for Social research on Alcohol and Drugs, University of Stockholm (SORAD).

- RC’s strengths and challenges related to research collaboration and researcher mobility, and the actions planned for their development.

The RC has applied twice for an EU/ITN in Marie Curie Actions, getting very close to the closing line. Our plan is to renew the application in 2012. Pekka Sulkunen is applying, with the support of the Faculty of Social Sciences and the International Research Services Department of the UH, for a ERC Senior Grant in 2011.
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5 OPERATIONAL CONDITIONS (MAX. 4400 CHARACTERS WITH SPACES)

- Description of the operational conditions in the RC’s research environment (e.g. research infrastructure, balance between research and teaching duties).

The unit of sociology is the largest social science research unit in Finland, consisting of about 70 to 80 researchers.

The RC consists of 7 PI:s and 38 researchers and PhD students. Prof. Sulkunen’s group (unit 1) is internationally known in historical, comparative policy analysis of addiction and vulnerable populations, and it has unique experience in comparative qualitative research. Under the leadership of Academy Prof. Alapuro (from 07/2010: Academy Fellow Markku Lonkila) HEPO (unit 2), conducts research on social movements, civic associations, and processes of political and civic activity. Acting Professor of STS, Helén has established an influential school of social research on biopolitics, economies and technology (unit 3).

Sulkunen’s research on the public sector and lifestyle regulation, incl. addictions, is published in over 230 scientific publications, incl. 30 refereed articles and 5 international books (two solo-authored). He has outstanding experience in leading research teams (1 international consortium, 5 Academy of Finland projects, several international research teams). He is Kone Foundation Senior Fellow of the UH Collegium for Advanced Studies 2011-2014, a Member of the Finnish Academy of Science and Letters, has received the Jellinek Memorial Award 1997, and has been visiting professor at Université de Paris V (Sorbonne) and several other universities abroad. He is a founding member of the RN on the sociology of consumption of the European Sociological Association, a member of the Executive Board of ESA, and a long-time Ex-President of The Westermarck Society.

Alapuro, an internationally renowned expert in nation-building and revolution theories and empirical studies of citizen participation in Finland, Russia and France, has established the HEPO group. He worked as Academy Professor in 2005-2009. He has 124 publications, incl. 34 articles in refereed journals in Finnish, Swedish, English, French, Italian and Estonian. He is a Member of the Finnish Academy of Science and Letters and has worked as visiting researcher or professor at the University of Michigan, École des Hautes Études en Sciences Sociales, Université Paris III - La Sorbonne Nouvelle and at the Institute of Sociology at the Academy of Sciences of the USSR.

Helén’s work based on Foucauldian critical genealogy, and science and technology studies includes 90 publications, incl. over 30 refereed articles in scientific journals or books and 3 authored or edited books.

The total number of international publications of the leaders in 2005 – 2010 exceeds 30. The RC have organized several international conferences, incl. the interim meeting of the ESA RN for sociology of consumption in 2008, ENSN symposium on Addiction(s) in 2010. Comparative work is conducted with Australia, Austria, Britain, Finland, France, Germany, India, Malawi, Russia, South Africa and the United States.

The balance between teaching and research has been advantageous because the PI’s have had frequent periods of Academy funded research periods (Alapuro was Academy professor 2007-2010, Sulkunen was Senior Fellow 2004-2005, Helen was Academy Research Fellow in 2004-2007 and so on. Sulkunen’s appointment at UH Collegium further improves his research orientation.
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- RC’s strengths and challenges related to operational conditions, and the actions planned for their development.

The most outstanding challenge to all academic activities at the University of Helsinki is now administration. Administration has grown to enormous proportions and makes all kinds of productive research and teaching difficult to perform on a daily basis. The expertise of researchers is often overshadowed in the mass of administrative duties. The skew division of labour might not only have negative effects on research continuity, but the well established cooperation between senior and junior researchers risks to be effected as well. Teaching, supervision and transferring of knowledge and networks, which is on a high standard in this RC, have taken time to create.

The most outstanding challenge for this RC is to save and nurture innovative instruments (e.g. reading groups, writing camps) and know-how, which have been initiated by senior researchers.

Description of the execution and processes of leadership in the RC, how the management-related responsibilities and roles are distributed in the RC and how the leadership- and management-related processes support high quality research, collaboration between principal investigators and other researchers in the RC, the RC’s research focus and strengthening of the RC’s know-how.

This RC is managed by principles of equality, democracy and scientific competence. The funding consists mostly of small Finnish Academy projects of two to three researchers/research assistants, the emphasis now being shifted from doctoral training to postdoctoral and more experienced researchers. Project leaders have responsibility for their allocations and teams, but we arrange applications together so that senior researchers identify research needs with the help of their junior colleagues, and we form application teams in concert. In this way we avoid abrupt and unplanned changes, develop our research plans to support each other and recruit junior members of the team according to availability instead of previous tutorships.

We emphasise in our research training junior researchers’ competence in funding application, particularly in international funding. A way to increase know-how on an international standard and ensure high quality research is a strong focus on international established research in the field. Senior researchers facilitate visits abroad not only to conferences but also introduce possibilities to engage in comparative research by the use of existing networks. The department invites further guest lecturers on regular basis, which benefits the RC as a whole. The visiting scholars, who stay at the department contribute with lectures during the year, which makes a fresh input into the teaching. A recent example of such collaboration is the stay of Professor Alan Warde in the UH Collegium 2011-2012, with a significant presence at the department. Allan Warde, professor at the University of Manchester in the UK has contributed within the framework of his research (policy, civic movements, new public management) and has brought in new interesting angles on consumption and sustainability to the department. Other recent guest lecturers invited have been, for instance, Sarah Blaffer Hrdy, professor emerita in the Department of Anthropology at the University of California, Davis, and Professor Erik Landowski, Science Po, Paris.

The research focus of the RC is maintained by the work in the separate seminars. Work between the units is strengthened by mutual seminars, teaching and teamwork. Researchers on different levels of their careers are involved in this work.
RC's strengths and challenges related to leadership and management, and the actions planned for developing the processes.

The Faculty now has a research coordinator. It remains to be seen in what way that position can be developed to help actual researchers in funding applications. Such knowledge and assistance would be of great importance for this and other similar RC:s in a situation where research funding, to a great extent, is external.

A major challenge in Finnish science policy, which concerns large research groups like this RC more than others, is how to make academic careers more stable. The research career programmes so far have been badly developed for beneficiaries. What we can do in this RC is to train young scholars to be active in creating their careers and open to cooperation. Critical perspectives and strength to be involved in the making of new science policy is needed. The most important, the desire to learn and to understand societal phenomena, should not be overshadowed with other duties.

### 7 External competitive funding of the RC

- **Listing of the RCs external competitive funding, where:**
  - the funding decisions have been made during 1.1.2005-31.12.2010, and
  - the administrator of the funding is/has been the University of Helsinki

- **Academy of Finland (AF)** - total amount of funding (in euros) AF has decided to allocate to the RC members during 1.1.2005-31.12.2010: **3606000**

- **Finnish Funding Agency for Technology and Innovation (TEKES)** - total amount of funding (in euros) TEKES has decided to allocate to the RC members during 1.1.2005-31.12.2010: **220000**

- **European Union (EU)** - total amount of funding (in euros) EU has decided to allocate to the RC members during 1.1.2005-31.12.2010: **220000**

- **European Research Council (ERC)** - total amount of funding (in euros) ERC has decided to allocate to the RC members during 1.1.2005-31.12.2010: **220000**

- **International and national foundations** – names of international and national foundations which have decided to allocate funding to the RC members during 1.1.2005-31.12.2010, and the amount of their funding (in euros).
  - names of the foundations:
  - total amount of funding (in euros) from the above-mentioned foundations:

- **Other international funding** - names of other international funding organizations which have decided to allocate funding to the RC members during 1.1.2005-31.12.2010, and the amount of their funding (in euros).
  - names of the funding organizations: **Nordic Research Council for Humanities and Social Sciences (NOS-HS)**
  - total amount of funding (in euros) from the above-mentioned funding organizations: **14850**
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RC-SPECIFIC STAGE 2 MATERIAL

- Other national funding (incl. EVO funding and Ministry of Education and Culture funded doctoral programme positions) - names of other national funding organizations which have decided to allocate funding to the RC members during 1.1.2005-31.12.2010, and the amount of their funding (in euros).
  - names of the funding organizations: Doctoral school for Russian and East-European studies; Doctoral school for civil society studies; Finnish Doctoral Program in Social Sciences (SOVAKO); Ministry of Social Affairs and Health
  - total amount of funding (in euros) from the above-mentioned funding organizations: 460000

8 RC’S STRATEGIC ACTION PLAN FOR 2011–2013 (MAX. 4400 CHARACTERS WITH SPACES)

- Description of the RC’s future perspectives in respect to research and doctoral training.

The RC represents an unique united team of Finnish research on political sociology and the public sector with a high international standard. The teams bring together researchers who work on interrelated topics at different levels of their research careers. Moreover, the RC promotes collaboration between academic, theoretically oriented research and more policy-oriented and applied approaches. The innovative objective of the RC is to study the public sector in a broad sense, including its interface with both market and civil society organizations. The focus is thus on the crossroads between public management research and welfare state studies, but it is also conceived of as the intersection between civic representation and service provision.

Future challenges for the group are related to national educational policy. Within sociology there is a need to promote and facilitate research careers for committed post doctoral students. We are not in favour of lower quality requirements for post graduate studies. Instead attention should be given to the creation of sustainable research careers for serious and ambitious scholars.

The team works further for a sustainable financing policy for the discipline of sociology. Attention should be paid to the share and autonomy that the discipline has over the funding that it receives.

The quality of research will be maintained by strengthening and enlarging cooperation within the RC. Future collaborative work will continue in mutual seminars, teaching and by invitations of visiting fellows. In the years to come the establishment of an Academy Professorship will be worked for to achieve.

An important part of the mutual work within the RC is applying for international research grants. Pekka Sulkunen’s team is already involved in two FP7 applications: one on The Public Sector in Transition (call SSH 20-1.3.1), coordinated by Professor Giovanna Procacci, University of Milan, and the other on Addictions and Lifestyles in Contemporary European Societies (call SSH.2010.3.2-1), coordinated by Dr. Peter Anderson, Public Health consultant, Barcelona, Spain. In the near future an EU/Marie Curie grant and ERC/Senior Grant will be applied for.

9 SHORT DESCRIPTION OF HOW THE RC MEMBERS HAVE CONTRIBUTED TO THE COMPILATION OF THE STAGE 2 MATERIALS (MAX. 1100 CHARACTERS WITH SPACES).

The compilation of materials for the evaluation within the RC has been performed by three subgroups. The three subgroups have worked in close contact with each other, but in order to involve PhD students, the division into smaller groups has been necessary. Each subgroup has appointed a coordinator, who has been responsible for the collection of data and production of descriptions needed for the evaluation. The coordinators have then involved PhD students in drafting and commenting the text. The final responsibility for submission and data collection has stayed by the team of Professor Pekka Sulkunen.
## 1 Analysis of publications

*Associated person is one of Michael Dieter Egerer, michael.egerer@helsinki.fi, Hanne Heikkinen, matilda.heikkinen@helsinki.fi, Pia Jalilopa, Aru Katainen, Aru.H.Katainen@helsinki.fi, Tiulai Pakki, erik.Koikkolan@helsinki.fi, Johanna Korkkola, johanna.korkkola@helsinki.fi, Peikke Kosonen, peikke.kosonen@helsinki.fi, Petri Johannes Kosonen, petri.kosonen@helsinki.fi, Anna Leppo, anna.leppo@helsinki.fi, Johanna Mikkelä, Antti Mauve, antti.mauve@helsinki.fi, Yara Charlotta Obstbaum, yara.obstbaum@helsinki.fi, Rikka Penttilä, rikka.penttila@helsinki.fi, Kati Ristimäki, Arto Antti Robert Ruuska, arto.ruuska@helsinki.fi, Sirja Maläät, Hanna-Paulina Sengpilak, paulina.h.sengpilak@helsinki.fi, Jenni Simonen, Minna Eeva Smolaj, minna.smolaj@helsinki.fi, Peikke Sulkenen, peikke.sulkenen@helsinki.fi, Tussika Tapio, tussika.tapio@helsinki.fi, Ahljas Ahmad, ahljas.ahljas@helsinki.fi, Risto Alapuro, risto.alapuro@helsinki.fi, Karin Creutz-Kämppi, kari.creutz@helsinki.fi, Kai Eriksson, kai.eriksson@helsinki.fi, Peter Holley, peter.holley@helsinki.fi, Samu Lindstrom, samu.lindstrom@helsinki.fi, Markku Lomola, Markku.Lomola@helsinki.fi, Eeva Luhtakallio, Eeva.Luhtakallio@helsinki.fi, Laura Lynskinen, laura.lynskinen@helsinki.fi, Mikael Ronkko, mikael.ronkko@helsinki.fi, Frek Van der Vel, frek.vandervel@helsinki.fi, Minna Vuohko, minna.vuohko@helsinki.fi, Tuomas Yla-Anttila, tuomas.yla-anttila@helsinki.fi, Kiri Johannes Elefant, Kiri.Elefant@helsinki.fi, Ilpo Antero Helen, ilpo.helen@helsinki.fi, Katja Ylösniva, katja.ylosni@helsinki.fi, Suvi-Tuulia Salmenmäki, suvi-salmenmaa@helsinki.fi.*

### Publication types

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Publication type</th>
<th>2005</th>
<th>2006</th>
<th>2007</th>
<th>2008</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>Total Count 2005 - 2010</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A1 Refereed journal article</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2 Review in scientific journal</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A3 Contribution to book/other compilations (refereed)</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A4 Article in conference publication (refereed)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B1 Unrefereed journal article</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B2 Contribution to book/other compilations (non-refereed)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B3 Unrefereed article in conference proceedings</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C1 Published scientific monograph</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C2 Edited book, compilation, conference proceeding or special issue of journal</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D1 Article in professional journal</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D2 Article in professional hand or guide book or in a professional data system, or text book material</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D3 Article in professional conference proceedings</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D4 Published development or research report</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E1 Popular article, newspaper article</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E1 Popular contribution to book/other compilations</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E2 Popular monograph</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Listing of publications

### A1 Refereed journal article

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Author(s)</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Journal</th>
<th>Volume, Issue, Pages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>Alapuro, R</td>
<td>Associations and contention in France and Finland: constructing the society and describing the society</td>
<td>Scandinavian Political Studies</td>
<td>28, no. 4, pp. 377-395</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>Eriksson, K</td>
<td>Foucault, Deleuze, and the ontology of networks</td>
<td>European Legacy</td>
<td>vol 10, no. 6, pp. 585-610</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>Eriksson, K</td>
<td>On the ontology of networks</td>
<td>Communication and Critical/Cultural Studies</td>
<td>vol 2, no. 4, pp. 305-323</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>Eriksson, K</td>
<td>‘Innovaatiojärjestelmä ja hallinnan kielit’</td>
<td>Politiikka</td>
<td>vol 47, no. 2, pp. 105-116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>Erärinta, K</td>
<td>Heteroseksuaalinen matriisi ja isän vanhemmuus</td>
<td>Naistutkimus</td>
<td>vol 18, no. 3, pp. 19-29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>Helen, I</td>
<td>Genealogia kritiikkinä</td>
<td>Sosiologia</td>
<td>vol 42, no. 2, pp. 93-109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>Kosonen, P</td>
<td>‘Globalisaatioraportit, sosiaalinen ulottuvuus ja kansallinen kilpailukyky’</td>
<td>Yhteiskuntapolitiikka</td>
<td>vol 70, no. 6, pp. 650-658</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>Tammi, TT</td>
<td>Discipline or contain?: The struggle over the concept of harm reduction in the 1997 Drug Policy Committee in Finland</td>
<td>International Journal of Drug Policy</td>
<td>vol 16, no. 6, pp. 384-392</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>Alapuro, R</td>
<td>‘Erk Allardt: fruitful contradictions’</td>
<td>Acta Sociologica</td>
<td>vol 49, no. 2 (Special issue: Social capital), pp. 139-147</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>Alapuro, R</td>
<td>‘La guerra civile finlandese del 1918 e il suo ricordo in prospettiva locale’</td>
<td>Memoria e ricerca: rivista di storia contemporanea</td>
<td>vol 21/2006 (Teemanumero, pp. 21-34)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>Erärinta, K</td>
<td>‘Kryys, perhe ja yhteiskunnallinen hallinta: hoivaa vai tasa-arvoa?’</td>
<td>Sosiologia</td>
<td>vol 43, no. 4, pp. 293-305</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>Helen, I</td>
<td>‘Talletuksia tulevaan elämään: molekyylilääketieteen poliittinen talous’</td>
<td>Tiede &amp; edistys</td>
<td>vol 31, no. 2, pp. 89-104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>Helen, I, Yesilova, K</td>
<td>‘Shepherding desire: sexual health promotion in Finland from the 1940s to the 1990s’</td>
<td>Acta Sociologica</td>
<td>vol 49, no. 3, pp. 257-272</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>Katalainen, A</td>
<td>‘Challenging the imperative of health?: smoking and justifications of risk-taking’</td>
<td>Critical Public Health</td>
<td>vol 16, no. 4, pp. 296-305</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>Kosonen, P</td>
<td>‘Euroopallaiset hyvinvointimallit’</td>
<td>Talous &amp; yhteiskunta</td>
<td>vol 34, no. 3, pp. 27-31</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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PosPus/Sulkunen


2007
Törnroen, J, Maunu, A 2007, ‘Light transgression and heavy sociability: Alcohol in young adult Finns’ narratives on their evenings out’, Addiction Research and Theory, vol 15, no. 4, pp. 365-381.

2008
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RC-SPECIFIC TUHAT COMPILATIONS OF PUBLICATIONS DATA 2005-2010

PosPus/Sulkunen


2009


Sulkunen, P 2009, "Disturbing concepts: from action theory to a generative concept of agency", Lexia, no. 3-4, pp. 95-117.


2010
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PosPus/Sulkunen


A2 Review in scientific journal

2005


2007


2008
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RC-SPECIFIC TUHAT COMPILATIONS OF PUBLICATIONS DATA 2005-2010

PosPus/Sulkunen


2010


A3 Contribution to book/other compilations (referred)

2005


2006

Alapuro, R 2006, 'The construction of the voter in Finland: the context of voter identification in Finland, c. 1860-1907', in EPKPTP (ed.), Redescriptions, yearbook of political thought and conceptual history., Lit Verlag, Munster, pp. 41-64.
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RC-SPECIFIC TUHAT COMPILATIONS OF PUBLICATIONS DATA 2005-2010

PosPus/Sulkunen


Rantala, K 2006, ‘Syntyynneille turvaa vai tuomioista?: Vaihet vihiklikerteet ja vastuun jaksominen’, in K Rantala, P Sulkunen (eds), Projektityhteiskunnan kääntöpuolia, Gaudeamus, Helsinki.


2007


Creutz-Kämppi, K, 2007, Diskursiv construction of islam as the other in finlandssvensk dagspress, in C Havaisto, U Kivikuru (eds), Variera meri, inkludera fera. Ättä essäer om merier, mångfald och migration., SSKH Meddelanden, no. 71, pp. 51-82.
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PosPus/Sulkunen


Helen, IA 2010, ”Mobilities of medical care”, in A Tupasela (ed.), Consumer Medicine, TemaNord, no. 530, Nordic Council of Ministers, Copenhagen, pp. 129-144.


Ad Article in conference publication (referred)

2008
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B1 Unrefereed journal article

2005


2006
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PosPus/Sulkunen


2008

2009

2010
Kouvonen, PJ 2010, 'Joutuvatko oppilaitokset ja opiskelijat kouluampumisten vastuunkantajiksi?', Yhteiskuntapolitiikka, vol 75, no. 1, pp. 82-86.
Kouvonen, PJ 2010, 'Joutuvatko oppilaitokset ja opiskelijat kouluampumisten vastuunkantajiksi?', Yhteiskuntapolitiikka, vol 75, no. 1, pp. 82-86.
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B2 Contribution to book/other compilations (non-refereed)

2005


2007


2008


2009
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RC-SPECIFIC TUHAT COMPILATIONS OF PUBLICATIONS DATA 2005-2010

PosPus/Sulkunen


2010

B3 Unrefereed article in conference proceedings

2006
Eriksson, K 2006, On the Ontology of Network-Facilitating Policies.,

2007

2008


2009


C1 Published scientific monograph

2005

2007

2008
Salmenniemi, S 2008, Democratization and gender in contemporary Russia, BASEES : RoutledgeCurzon series on Russian and East European studies, no. 46, Routledge, New York, N.Y.
INTERNATIONAL EVALUATION OF RESEARCH AND DOCTORAL TRAINING AT THE UNIVERSITY OF HELSINKI

RC-SPECIFIC TUHAT COMPILATIONS OF PUBLICATIONS DATA 2005-2010

PosPus/Sulkunen

2009

2010
Egerer, MD 2010. Gate-keepers’ images of addiction in Finland, France, and Germany: The film-clips in the group interviews. Helsingin yliopisto, Sosialitehtaiden laitos, Helsinki.
Kraus, PA, Creutz-Kämppi, K, Weide, M 2010. Diversity and the European Public Sphere: The Case of Finland, Eurosphere Country Reports, no. 6, Eurosphere, Bergen.

C2 Edited book, compilation, conference proceeding or special issue of journal

2005

2006
Hellman, M (ed.) 2006, Nordic Studies on Alcohol and Drugs: (supplement on alcohol industry), vol. 23, 6 edn, Stakes, Helsinki.

2007

2008

2009

2010

D1 Article in professional journal
INTERNATIONAL EVALUATION OF RESEARCH AND DOCTORAL TRAINING AT THE UNIVERSITY OF HELSINKI

RC-SPECIFIC TUHAT COMPILATIONS OF PUBLICATIONS DATA 2005-2010

PosPus/Sulkunen

2005

2006

2007

2008

2009

D2 Article in professional hand or guide book or in a professional data system, or text book material

2006

2008
Luhtakallio, E 2008, ‘Suikupsolten vallakunta haastatteluaineiston analyysi (ATLAS.ii)’, Konteks -teaching portal for processing of qualitative data, Turun yliopisto.

D3 Article in professional conference proceedings

2009

D4 Published development or research report

2005
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RC-SPECIFIC TUHAT COMPILATIONS OF PUBLICATIONS DATA 2005-2010


2006

2007

2008

2009
Rantala, K, Tarkkala, H 2009, Koottamisen velkaongelmien syynillä ja kehitys, Oikeuspolitiittinen tutkimuslaitoksen tutkimuslaitos, no. 90, Helsinki.

2010
Vihko, M, Lehti, M 2010, Human Trafficking and organised crime: Trafficking for sexual exploitation and organised procuring in Finland, Heuni Publication Series, no. 61, HEUNI, Helsinki.
Vihko, M, Aromaa, K, Lehti, M, Tumanov, R 2010, Corruption on the Finnish-Russian Border: Experiences and observations of Finnish and Russian civil servants and businessmen on corruption between Finland and Russia, Heuni Publication Series, no. 60, HEUNI, Helsinki.
INTERNATIONAL EVALUATION OF RESEARCH AND DOCTORAL TRAINING AT THE UNIVERSITY OF HELSINKI

RC-SPECIFIC TUHAT COMPILATIONS OF PUBLICATIONS DATA 2005-2010

PosPus/Sulkunen


E1 Popular article, newspaper article

2005

2006

2007

2008

2009
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RC-SPECIFIC TUHAT COMPILATIONS OF PUBLICATIONS DATA 2005-2010

PosPus/Sulkunen

Yesilova, K 2009, "Ydinperheen kriisi?", Vartija : ihminen, uskonto, yhteiskunta., no. 5-6.

2010
Leppo, A 2010, 'Päihdeongelmistä olevia naisia täytyy auttaa', Helsingin Sanomat.

E1 Popular contribution to book/other compilations

2006

2007

2008
Alapuro, R, Siisiäinen, M 2008, 'NPO no kuni'. Finnando o sekaiseli ni mihcibilli hyaku no shakai kalekaku, Kojin no Tomo, Tokyo, pp. 210-212.

2010
Hellman, M 2010, 'Nya livsmönster, nya utmaningar', Alkohol och äldre., Nordens välfärdscenter.

E2 Popular monograph

2007

2008
INTERNATIONAL EVALUATION OF RESEARCH AND DOCTORAL TRAINING AT THE UNIVERSITY OF HELSINKI

RC-SPECIFIC TUHAT COMPILATIONS OF OTHER SCIENTIFIC ACTIVITIES 2005-2010

PosPus/Sulkunen

1 Analysis of activities 2005-2010

- Associated person is one of Michael Dieter Egerer, michael.egerer@helsinki.fi, Hanne Heikkinen, matilda.heikkinen@helsinki.fi, Pia Jallioja, Anu Katainen, Anu.K.Katainen@helsinki.fi, Tiitaa Makkonen, Erik Kiihkmnen, Erik.Kiihkmnen@helsinki.fi, Johanna Korkeamaki, johanna.korkeamaki@helsinki.fi, Pekka Kosonen, petka.kosonen@helsinki.fi, Petri Johannes Kosonen, petra.kosonen@helsinki.fi, Anna-Leppa, anna.leppa@helsinki.fi, Johanna Niinikoski, Antti Mauu, antti.mauu@helsinki.fi, Yara Charlotte Obstbaum, yara.obstbaum@helsinki.fi, Rikka Peräni, rikka.peranen@helsinki.fi, Kati Rintala, Antti Antti Rovatskia, antti.rovska@helsinki.fi, Torje Martikainen, Hanna-Paulina Sieppola, pauliina.h.sieppola@helsinki.fi, Jerni Sillama, Mikko Elina Snielj, mikko.snielj@helsinki.fi, Pekka Sulkunen, pekka.sulkunen@helsinki.fi, Tuuska Tapio Tammi, tuusika.tammi@helsinki.fi, Ahltsa Ahmed, ahnlaahmed@helsinki.fi, Risto Alapura, Risto.Alapura@helsinki.fi, Karin Creutz-Kämp, karin.creutz@helsinki.fi, Kai Eriksson, kai.eriksson@helsinki.fi, Peter Holley, peter.holley@helsinki.fi, Samu Lindström, samu.lindstrom@helsinki.fi, Meri-Liisa Luhtakallio, meri.luhtakallio@helsinki.fi, Eeva Luhtakallio, eeva.luhtakallio@helsinki.fi, Laura Luhtakallio, laura.luhtakallio@helsinki.fi, Mikael Remik, mikael.remsik@helsinki.fi, Freek Van der Vel, freek.vanderwel@helsinki.fi, Minna Vuohko, minna.vuohko@helsinki.fi, Tuomas Vä-Anttila, tuomas.va-anttila@helsinki.fi, Kisu Johannes Erävaara, Kisu.Eera@helsinki.fi, Ilpo Antero Helen, ilpo.helen@helsinki.fi, Katja Yesilova, katja.yesilova@helsinki.fi, Sari-Veula Salminen, sari-salminen@helsinki.fi

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity type</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Supervisor or co-supervisor of doctoral thesis</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prizes and awards</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Editor of research journal</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peer review of manuscripts</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Editor of series</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Editor of special theme number</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Membership or other role in research network</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Membership or other role in national/international committee, council, board</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Membership or other role in public Finnish or international organization</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Membership or other role of body in private company/organisation</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participation in interview for written media</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participation in radio programme</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participation in TV programme</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participation in interview for web based media</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2 Listing of activities 2005-2010

Supervisor or co-supervisor of doctoral thesis
Erkki Kilpinen, Erkki.Kilpinen@helsinki.fi
Doctoral supervision, Erkki Kilpinen, 01.01.2006 → 31.12.2006
Supervision, Erkki Kilpinen, 01.01.2007 → 31.12.2007
Supervision of doctoral thesis, Erkki Kilpinen, 01.01.2007 → 31.12.2007, Finland
Doctoral supervision, Erkki Kilpinen, 01.01.2009 → 31.12.2009
Dissertation supervisor, Erkki Kilpinen, 01.01.2010 → 31.12.2010, Finland
Supervisor of dissertation, Erkki Kilpinen, 01.01.2010 → 31.12.2010, Finland

Pekka Sulkunen, pekka.sulkunen@helsinki.fi
Completed Doctoral Dissertations that I have supervised, Pekka Sulkunen, 2009
Completed Doctoral Dissertations that I have supervised, Pekka Sulkunen, 2010

Markku Lonkila, Markku.Lonkila@helsinki.fi
Co-supervising the dissertation, Markku Lonkila, 01.01.2002 → 30.05.2006, Finland
Väitöskirjatutkimuksen ohjaaja, Ilpo Antero Helen, 15.02.1999 → 10.09.2007
Väitöskirjatutkimuksen ohjaaja, Ilpo Antero Helen, 15.03.2002 → 10.02.2009
Väitöskirjatutkimuksen ohjaaja, Ilpo Antero Helen, 10.04.2003 → 31.05.2009
Supervision of doctoral thesis, Ilpo Antero Helen, 01.03.2007 → 31.12.2007, Finland

Suvi-Tuulia Salmenniemi, Suvi.Salmenniemi@helsinki.fi
Participation in thesis supervision, Suvi-Tuulia Salmenniemi, 2010
Supervision of PhD thesis, Suvi-Tuulia Salmenniemi, 2010 → ..., Finland

Prizes and awards
Anna Leppo, anna.leppo@helsinki.fi
Seppo Aro-palkinto 2010, Anna Leppo, 2010, Finland

Peter Holley, peter.holley@helsinki.fi
The Finnish Cultural Foundation, Peter Holley, 27.02.2009, Finland
The Kone Foundation, Peter Holley, 30.11.2010, Finland

Samu Lindström, Samu.Lindstrom@helsinki.fi
Helsingin yliopiston sosiaalitieteiden laitoksen ehdokas Westermarck-seuran myöntämän gradupalkinnon saajaksi vuonna 2010., Samu Lindström, 2010 → ...

Editor of research journal
Erkki Kilpinen, Erkki.Kilpinen@helsinki.fi
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**PosPus/Sulkunen**

Behavioral and Brain Sciences, Erkki Kilpinen, 01.01.2005 → 31.12.2005, United States
Subject Matters: A Journal of Communications and the Self, Erkki Kilpinen, 01.01.2005 → 31.12.2005, United Kingdom
History of Political Economy, Erkki Kilpinen, 01.01.2007 → 31.12.2007, United States
Organization Studies, Erkki Kilpinen, 01.01.2007 → 31.12.2007, United Kingdom
Pragmatismi filosofiaassa ja yhteiskuntatieteissä, Erkki Kilpinen, 01.01.2007 → 31.12.2007, Finland
Subject Matters, Erkki Kilpinen, 01.01.2007 → 31.12.2007, United Kingdom
Subject Matters, Erkki Kilpinen, 01.01.2010 → 31.12.2010, United Kingdom

**Anna Leppo**, anna.leppo@helsinki.fi

**Pekka Sulkunen**, pekka.sulkunen@helsinki.fi
Acta Sociologica, Pekka Sulkunen, 2005
Contemporary Drug Problem, Pekka Sulkunen, 2005
Critical Public Health, Pekka Sulkunen, 2005

**Risto Alapuro**, Risto.Alapuro@helsinki.fi
Acta Sociologica, Risto Alapuro, 01.01.2005 → 31.12.2005, Denmark
Boréales, Revue du Centre de Recherches Inter-Nordiques, Risto Alapuro, 01.01.2005 → 31.12.2005, France
Genèses, Sciences sociales et histoire, Risto Alapuro, 01.01.2005 → 31.12.2005, France
International Labor and Working Class History, Risto Alapuro, 01.01.2005 → 31.12.2005, United States
Acta Sociologica, Risto Alapuro, 01.01.2006 → 31.12.2006, Denmark
Boréales, Revue du Centre de Recherches Inter-Nordiques, Risto Alapuro, 01.01.2006 → 31.12.2006, France
Genèses, Sciences sociales et histoire, Risto Alapuro, 01.01.2006 → 31.12.2006, France
International Labor and Working Class History, Risto Alapuro, 01.01.2006 → 31.12.2006, United States
Redescriptions. Yearbook of Political Thought and Conceptual History, Risto Alapuro, 01.01.2006 → 31.12.2006, Finland
Revue d'Histoire Nordique/ Nordic Historical Review, Risto Alapuro, 01.01.2006 → 31.12.2006, France
Acta Sociologica, Risto Alapuro, 01.01.2007 → 31.12.2007, Denmark
Boréales, Revue du Centre de Recherches Inter-Nordiques, Risto Alapuro, 01.01.2007 → 31.12.2007, France
Genèses, Sciences sociales et histoire, Risto Alapuro, 01.01.2007 → 31.12.2007, France
Genèses, Sciences sociales et histoire, Risto Alapuro, 01.01.2007 → 31.12.2007, France
International Labor and Working Class History, Risto Alapuro, 01.01.2007 → 31.12.2007, United States
Revue d'Histoire Nordique/ Nordic Historical Review, Risto Alapuro, 01.01.2007 → 31.12.2007, France

**Kai Eriksson**, kai.eriksson@helsinki.fi
The European Legacy, Kai Eriksson, 01.01.2005 → 31.12.2005, United Kingdom
PsychNology, Kai Eriksson, 01.01.2007 → 31.12.2007

**Eeva Luhtakallio**, Eeva.Luhtakallio@helsinki.fi
SosioLOGIA-lehden toimituskunta, Eeva Luhtakallio, 2004 → 2010, Finland
SosioLOGIA-lehti, Eeva Luhtakallio, 01.01.2005 → 31.12.2005, Finland
SosioLOGIA, Eeva Luhtakallio, 01.01.2006 → 31.12.2006, Finland
SosioLOGIA, Eeva Luhtakallio, 01.01.2007 → 31.12.2007, Finland

**Tuomas Yli-Anttila**, Tuomas.Yla-Anttila@helsinki.fi
## International Evaluation of Research and Doctoral Training at the University of Helsinki

### PosPus/Sulkunen

Kansaisyhteiskunta-lehti, Tuomas Ylä-Anttila, 01.04.2010 → …

Ilpo Antero Helen, Ilpo.Helen@helsinki.fi

Science, Technology & Human Values, Ilpo Antero Helen, 01.01.2005 → 31.12.2005, United States

Social Science & Medicine, Ilpo Antero Helen, 01.01.2005 → 31.12.2005, United Kingdom

Sosiallääketieteilinen alakauslehti, Ilpo Antero Helen, 01.01.2005 → 31.12.2005, Finland

tiede & edistys, Ilpo Antero Helen, 01.01.2005 → 31.12.2005, Finland

Distinktion – The Scandinavian journal of social theory, Ilpo Antero Helen, 01.01.2006 → 31.12.2006, Denmark

Science, Technology & Human Values, Ilpo Antero Helen, 01.01.2006 → 31.12.2006, United States

Sosiallääketieteilinen alakauslehti, Ilpo Antero Helen, 01.01.2006 → 31.12.2006, Finland

Vest Journal for science and technology studies, Ilpo Antero Helen, 01.01.2006 → 31.12.2006

tiede & edistys, Ilpo Antero Helen, 01.01.2006 → 31.12.2006, Finland

Psykologia, Ilpo Antero Helen, 01.01.2007 → 31.12.2007, Finland

Social Science & Medicine, Ilpo Antero Helen, 01.01.2007 → 31.12.2007, United Kingdom

Sociology of Health and Illness, Ilpo Antero Helen, 01.01.2007 → 31.12.2007, United Kingdom

tiede & edistys, Ilpo Antero Helen, 01.01.2007 → 31.12.2007, Finland

Katja Yesilova, Katja.Yesilova@helsinki.fi

Acta Sociologica, Katja Yesilova, 01.01.2007 → 31.12.2007

Suvi-Tuulia Salmenniemi, Suvi.Salmenniemi@helsinki.fi

Member of the editorial staff of Idäntutkimus (Finnish Review of East European Studies), Suvi-Tuulia Salmenniemi, 2003 → …, Finland

### Peer review of manuscripts

Erkki Kilpinen, Erkki.Kilpinen@helsinki.fi

Behavioral and Brain Sciences, Erkki Kilpinen, 01.01.2005 → 31.12.2005, United Kingdom

BBS-associate, Erkki Kilpinen, 01.01.2005 → 31.12.2006

Behavioral and Brain Sciences, Erkki Kilpinen, 01.01.2007 → 31.12.2007

History of Political Thought, Erkki Kilpinen, 20.08.2007

Behavioral and Brain Sciences, Erkki Kilpinen, 01.01.2008 → 31.12.2008

Organization Studies, Erkki Kilpinen, 21.08.2008, United States

Sosiologia, Erkki Kilpinen, 18.08.2008

Behavioral and Brain Sciences, Erkki Kilpinen, 01.01.2009 → 31.12.2009

Janus, Erkki Kilpinen, 20.08.2009, Finland

Sociological Theory, Erkki Kilpinen, 15.08.2009, Canada

Behavioral and Brain Sciences, Erkki Kilpinen, 01.01.2010 → 31.12.2010, United Kingdom

Cambridge Journal of Economics, Erkki Kilpinen, 08.2010 → …, United Kingdom

Sociological Theory, Erkki Kilpinen, 09.2010 → …, United States

Pekka Sulkunen, pekka.sulkunen@helsinki.fi

Referee in scientific journal "Addiction Research and Theory" (4), Pekka Sulkunen, 2010

Referee in scientific journal "Addiction" (2), Pekka Sulkunen, 2010

Referee in scientific journal "Critical Public Health" (3), Pekka Sulkunen, 2010

Referee in scientific journal "Scandinavian Journal of Public Health" (1), Pekka Sulkunen, 2010

Referee in scientific journal "Theory Culture & Society" (1), Pekka Sulkunen, 2010

Akhlaq Ahmad, Akhlaq.Ahmad@helsinki.fi
INTERNATIONAL EVALUATION OF RESEARCH AND DOCTORAL TRAINING AT THE UNIVERSITY OF HELSINKI

RC-SPECIFIC TUHAT COMPILATIONS OF OTHER SCIENTIFIC ACTIVITIES 2005-2010

**PosPus/Sulkunen**

Comparative study on the public-service ethics of the EU member states, Akhlaq Ahmad, 08.2006 → 12.2006, Finland

Karin Creutz-Kämppi , karin.creutz@helsinki.fi

Peter Holley , peter.holley@helsinki.fi

Kirsu Johanna Eräranta , kirsu.jararanta@helsinki.fi

Katy Yesilova , katy.yesilova@helsinki.fi

Suvi-Tuulia Salmenniemi, suvi-tuulia.salmenniemi@helsinki.fi

Editor of series

Erkki Kilpinen , erkki.kilpinen@helsinki.fi

Editor of special theme number

Tuomas Ylä-Anttila , tuomas.yla-anttila@helsinki.fi

Membership or other role in research network

Anu Katainen , anu.katainen@helsinki.fi

Erick Kilpinen , erick.kilpinen@helsinki.fi

Anu Katainen , anu.katainen@helsinki.fi

Anna Leppo , anna.leppo@helsinki.fi

Merkka Sulkunen , merkka.sulkunen@helsinki.fi

Pekka Sulkunen , pekka.sulkunen@helsinki.fi

**Editor of series**

Nordic Studies in Pragmatism, Erick Kilpinen, 15.11.2010 → 2011, Finland

Sosiologia-lehti: Politiitisen ja moraalisen sosioologian erikoisnumero, Tuomas Ylä-Anttila, 01.11.2010 → 28.02.2011

**Membership or other role in national/international committee, council, board**

Anu Katainen , anu.katainen@helsinki.fi

Anna Leppo , anna.leppo@helsinki.fi

Merkka Sulkunen , merkka.sulkunen@helsinki.fi

Pekka Sulkunen , pekka.sulkunen@helsinki.fi

The Finnish Foundation for Alcohol Studies, Pekka Sulkunen, 2001 → 2011

Finnish Academy of Science and Letters, Pekka Sulkunen, 2003 → ...


European Sociology Association, Pekka Sulkunen, 01.09.2007 → 30.09.2011

Member of the research evaluation panel of the Uppsala University (Kof-7), Pekka Sulkunen, 04.2007, Sweden

European Alcohol Research Foundation (ERAB), Pekka Sulkunen, 2010 → ...

Institute of Legal Studies, Pekka Sulkunen, 2010 → ...

National Associations of the International Sociological Association, Pekka Sulkunen, 2010
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Panel member / Maëlle Panche’s doctoral dissertation “De la rue au foyer”, Pekka Sulkunen, 2010

Risto Alapuro, Risto.Alapuro@helsinki.fi
European Science Foundation, Risto Alapuro, 01.01.2007 → 31.12.2007

Eeva Luhtakallio, Eeva.Luhtakallio@helsinki.fi
Paikallisyksikötutkimushankkeen ohjausryhmä, Sisäasiainministeriö, Eeva Luhtakallio, 01.01.2005 → 06.06.2005, Finland

Ilpo Antero Helen, Ilpo.Helen@helsinki.fi
Vital Politics II conference, London School of Economics; the organising committee, Ilpo Antero Helen, 01.11.2005 → 30.09.2006, United Kingdom
ENSN steering committee, Ilpo Antero Helen, 12.06.2007 → 11.06.2012, United Kingdom
Qualitative Research on Mental Health II conference; scientific committee, Ilpo Antero Helen, 01.10.2007 → 31.12.2007, Finland

Director of executive board, Ilpo Antero Helen, 15.01.2010 → ..., Finland

Membership or other role in public Finnish or international organization

Pekka Sulkunen, pekka.sulkunen@helsinki.fi
Alkoholitutkimussäätiön hallitus ja työvaliokunta, Pekka Sulkunen, 01.09.2000 → 31.12.2010, Finland
Advisory Board of Sopuavain Project (Prevention of Youth Violence), Pekka Sulkunen, 01.01.2004 → 31.12.2006, Finland
Advisor and responsible leader of evaluation of HUUGO (Programme on the prevention of drinking problems in work environments), Researchers: Sanna Rönkä and Toivo Hurme, Pekka Sulkunen, 01.01.2005 → 31.12.2007, Finland

Risto Alapuro, Risto.Alapuro@helsinki.fi
Alfred Kordelinin yleinen edistys- ja sivistysrahasto, Risto Alapuro, 01.01.2005 → 31.12.2005, Finland
Advisory Board of Koneen Säätiö, Risto Alapuro, 01.01.2005 → 31.12.2005, Finland
Presidentti Urho Kekkosen 70-vuotisjuhlasäätiö, Risto Alapuro, 01.01.2005 → 31.12.2005, Finland
Alfred Kordelinin yleinen edistys- ja sivistysrahasto, Risto Alapuro, 01.01.2006 → 31.12.2006, Finland
Presidentti Urho Kekkosen 70-vuotisjuhlasäätiö, Risto Alapuro, 01.01.2006 → 31.12.2006, Finland
Alfred Kordelinin yleinen edistys- ja sivistysrahasto, Risto Alapuro, 01.01.2007 → 31.12.2007, Finland
European Science Foundation, European Young Investigators Awards, Risto Alapuro, 01.01.2007 → 31.12.2007, Finland
Presidentti Urho Kekkosen 70-vuotisjuhlasäätiö, Risto Alapuro, 01.01.2007 → 31.12.2007, Finland
Tieto-Finlandian raati, Risto Alapuro, 01.01.2007 → 31.12.2007, Finland

Markku Lonkilä, Markku.Lonkilä@helsinki.fi
Lauarin kirjattaminen Venäjän tiedekatemanille Boris Sergeevich Gladarevin väitöskirjan referaatista ”Mobilnaya telefoniya kak agent transformacii povsednevnyh praktik (na primere molodyh peterburgskih polzovatelei, 2003 r.)”, Markku Lonkilä, 01.01.2006 → 31.12.2006, Russia

Eeva Luhtakallio, Eeva.Luhtakallio@helsinki.fi
Osuuskunta Vastapainon julkaisutiedotusvalta, Eeva Luhtakallio, 01.01.2005 → 31.12.2005, Finland
Paikallisyksikötutkimushankkeen ohjausryhmä / Sisäasiainministeriön kuntaosastot, Eeva Luhtakallio, 2005 → ..., Finland
Osuuskunta Vastapaino / hallituksen eli toimittajatehdasjuhlan jäsenen, Eeva Luhtakallio, 01.01.2006 → 31.12.2006, Finland
Valtiohallituksen tiedonkeruu, Eeva Luhtakallio, 01.01.2006 → 31.12.2006, Finland

Tuomas Ylä-Anttila, Tuomas.Yla-Anttila@helsinki.fi
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PosPus/Sulkunen

Kansalaisten maailmannäyttämö Ry:n raportin Minne matka, Suomi? ohjausryhmän jäsen, Tuomas Ylä-Anttila, 01.01.2006 → 31.12.2006, Finland


Membership or other role of body in private company/organisation

Pekka Sulkunen, pekka.sulkunen@helsinki.fi
Inspector of the Kesktuomalainen osakunta (The Nation of Central Finland), Pekka Sulkunen, 2003 → ..., Sweden

Eeva Luhtakallio, Eeva.Luhtakallio@helsinki.fi
Osuuskunta Vastapainon hallitus, Eeva Luhtakallio, 2004 → ..., Finland

Participation in interview for written media

Erkki Kilpinen, Erkki.Kilpinen@helsinki.fi
Pragmatism and the Social Sciences, Harvard University, Erkki Kilpinen, 01.01.2005 → 31.12.2011, United States

Pekka Sulkunen, pekka.sulkunen@helsinki.fi
Helsingin Sanomat, Pekka Sulkunen, 19.11.2005, Finland

Lääkäriseura Coxxyx, Pekka Sulkunen, 10.01.2005, Finland

Advisory meeting with journalist / Ministry of Foreign Affairs, Helsinki, Pekka Sulkunen, 01.11.2006, Finland


Helsingin Sanomat, Mielipide, Pekka Sulkunen, 03.03.2007, Finland

Akhlaq Ahmad, Akhlaq.Ahmad@helsinki.fi
Sosiaaliset verkostot auttavat muuttajia työllistymään, Akhlaq Ahmad, 29.11.2005, Finland

Kuka palkka musta miestä, Akhlaq Ahmad, 2006, Finland

Risto Alapuro, Risto.Alapuro@helsinki.fi
Keskustelutaisuus (Table ronde) osana tapahtumakokonaisuutta "Montmarte et Europe", Pariliisi, Risto Alapuro, 15.06.2000 → 31.12.2011, Finland


Seminaari "Orko oikastopopulaarsille maaperällä Suomessa?", jär. Suomen toimikunta Euroopan turvallisuuden edistämiseksi (STETE), Risto Alapuro, 14.08.2002 → 31.12.2011, Finland

Pohjoinen historia ja historia hankkiun toimikunta, Risto Alapuro, 15.11.2003 → 31.12.2011, Finland

Ruben Stillerin keskusteluhjelma (Nelonen), Risto Alapuro, 28.03.2003 → 31.12.2011, Finland

Kansallisluotsa ja ikänäkysy-symposiumi Lahden kansanopistolla, Risto Alapuro, 02.06.2004 → 31.12.2011, Finland

Keskusteluhjelma T-klubi (YLE Teema), Risto Alapuro, 01.01.2004 → 31.12.2011, Finland


Markku Lonkila, Markku.Lonkila@helsinki.fi
Päätäkaupunkiseudun korkeakoulujen järjestämä Studia Generalis-luentosarja ’Petarin tietä pitkin’, Markku Lonkila, 03.04.2000 → 31.12.2011, Finland

Sitraan Suomi 2015 -ohjelman seminaari, Markku Lonkila, 08.05.2000 → 31.12.2011, Finland

Eeva Luhtakallio, Eeva.Luhtakallio@helsinki.fi
HS/NYT-liite, Eeva Luhtakallio, 24.03.2000 → 31.12.2011, Finland

Yle 1, Eeva Luhtakallio, 01.01.2000 → 31.12.2011, Finland
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PosPus/Sulkunen


Attac ry:n panelekeksitettul Suomen globaaliaraportteista - paneelin puheenjohtajan, Eeva Luhtakallio, 15.05.2004 → 31.12.2011, Finland


Helsingin Sanomat, 01.05.2005 → 31.12.2011, Finland

Suomen sosiaalfoorumi, Eeva Luhtakallio, 09.04.2005 → 31.12.2011, Finland

Helsingin Sanomat / Vieraskynä, Eeva Luhtakallio, 11.05.2006 → 31.12.2011, Finland

Globalisaation haasteet -dokumentti- ja keskustelusarja Ranskan kulttuurikeskuksessa, Eeva Luhtakallio, 03.09.2007 → 31.12.2011, Finland

Haastattelu liittyen Vasemmistofoorumiin ja osallistuvaan demokratiaan, Eeva Luhtakallio, 04.2007 → ..., Finland

Kirjanjulkistamisluaisus, Eeva Luhtakallio, 06.11.2007 → 31.12.2011, Finland

Länsiriväyllä, Eeva Luhtakallio, 01.01.2007 → 31.12.2011, Finland

Suomen sosiaalfoorumi, Eeva Luhtakallio, 21.04.2007 → ..., Finland

Experten vill ha utredning – och det snabbt / Hufvudstadsbladet, Eeva Luhtakallio, 12.04.2008, Finland

Haastattelu KD-lehdessä; RÖsanvalion ja vastademokratia, Eeva Luhtakallio, 01.2009 → ..., Finland

Helsingin Sanomat: Ilmasto-splatter räjähti tekijöiden silmille, Eeva Luhtakallio, 10.10.2010, Finland

Helsingin Sanomat: Ranskan on totuttu sanomaan ei, Eeva Luhtakallio, 29.10.2010, Finland

Tuomas Ylä-Anttila , Tuomas.Yla-Anttila@helsinki.fi

Finland’s Swedish television / OBS, haastattelu, Tuomas Ylä-Anttila, 17.02.2004 → 31.12.2011, United Kingdom

A lecture in the series International Organizations, Tuomas Ylä-Anttila, 03.05.2005 → 31.12.2011, Finland


Äitiys- ja aikuiskulttuurien järjestely, Eeva Luhtakallio, 06.03.2007 → 31.12.2011, Finland

Ikäihmisten yliopisto, Helsingin yliopisto, 06.03.2007 → 31.12.2011, Finland

Globalisaatiokriittisen liikkeen tulevaisuus, Tuomas Ylä-Anttila, 14.07.2008

Aktivissi muuti politisen julkisuuden, Tuomas Ylä-Anttila, 04.09.2010

Kirsi Johanna Erääranta , Kirsi.Eraranta@helsinki.fi


Katja Yesilova , Katja.Yesilova@helsinki.fi


Kotivinkki, Katja Yesilova, 01.11.2003 → 31.12.2011, Finland


Haastattelu Meisän Perhe-lehdessä, Katja Yesilova, 11.09.2009 → ...

Haastattelu Mielenterveys-lehdessä, Katja Yesilova, 09.09.2009 → ...

Haastattelu Vauva-lehdessä, Katja Yesilova, 07.09.2009 → ...
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Haastattelu Yliopisto-lehteen, Katja Yesilova, 04.2009 → …

Participation in radio programme

Erikk Kilpinen, Erkki.Kilpinen@helsinki.fi
YLE: Tiede uutisia, Erkki Kilpinen, 01.03.2009

Pekka Sulkunen, pekka.sulkunen@helsinki.fi
YLEradio: Helsinki 8.5.2006. Haastattelu (Juha Kulmanen) suorassa keskustelulähetyksessä, Pekka Sulkunen, 08.05.2006, Finland

Akhlaq Ahmad, Akhlaq.Ahmad@helsinki.fi
Aamu peili, Akhlaq Ahmad, 19.11.2005, Finland

Eeva Luhtakallio, Eeva.Luhtakallio@helsinki.fi
Interview concerning the Kauhajoki school killings, Eeva Luhtakallio, 23.09.2008, France
VL: Suomen tiedoilla, Pekka Sulkunen, 24.09.2008, Finland
VL: Tutkija Eeva Luhtakallio: "Suomalaiset riehuvat netissä, ranskalaiset kaduilla.", Eeva Luhtakallio, 25.10.2010

Tuomas Ylä-Anttila, Tuomas.Yla-Anttila@helsinki.fi
Aktivistit ja poliisi VR:n makasiinella, Tuomas Ylä-Anttila, 05.02.2008
Radio Ylen aikainen, Tuomas Ylä-Anttila, 02.05.2006 → 31.12.2011, Finland
Kemijärven massaliike puolustaa sellutehdosta, Tuomas Ylä-Anttila, 05.02.2008
Globalisaatio ja globalisaatioliike, Tuomas Ylä-Anttila, 07.09.2010
Haastattelu YLE radio 1, Tuomas Ylä-Anttila, 13.09.2010
VL: Tutkija Tuomas Ylä-Anttila: "Kemijärven Liike puolustaa sellutehdasta.", Tuomas Ylä-Anttila, 07.10.2010

Katja Yesilova, Katja.Yesilova@helsinki.fi
Radiohaastattelu Radio Suomen Taustapeili-ohjelmassa, Katja Yesilova, 25.03.2010

Participation in TV programme

Karin Creutz-Kämppi, karin.creutz@helsinki.fi
Obs., Karin Creutz-Kämppi, 02.09.2010, Finland

Eeva Luhtakallio, Eeva.Luhtakallio@helsinki.fi
A-Zoom -ajankohtaisohjelma, Eeva Luhtakallio, 09.10.2009, Finland
YLE Aamu-tv: Facebookissa houkutellaan osallistumaan mielenosoituksiin, Eeva Luhtakallio, 16.10.2009, Finland
A-studio, Eeva Luhtakallio, 14.06.2010, Finland

Aamu-tv: Suomalaisaktivismi hakee muotoaan verkossa, Eeva Luhtakallio, 25.10.2010, Finland

Tuomas Ylä-Anttila, Tuomas.Yla-Anttila@helsinki.fi
A-studion kesäversa -haastattelu, Yle TV1, Tuomas Ylä-Anttila, 31.05.2006 → 31.12.2011, Finland
Aktiivinen kansainvälinen -ohjelma, Yle TV1, Tuomas Ylä-Anttila, 12.03.2006 → 31.12.2011, Finland
Aktiiviset ja poliisi oivat yhteen VR:n makasiinella, Tuomas Ylä-Anttila, 02.05.2006
Uudenmaan uutiset, Yle TV2, Tuomas Ylä-Anttila, 02.05.2006 → 31.12.2011, Finland
YLE:n aamu-TV, Tuomas Ylä-Anttila, 30.11.2006, Finland
Harmaiden pantterien liike Suomeen?, Tuomas Ylä-Anttila, 03.12.2008
Kemijärven massaliike ja kansalaisliikkeen merkitys politiikassa, Tuomas Ylä-Anttila, 05.02.2008
INTERNATIONAL EVALUATION OF RESEARCH AND DOCTORAL TRAINING AT THE UNIVERSITY OF HELSINKI

RC-SPECIFIC TUHAT COMPILATIONS OF OTHER SCIENTIFIC ACTIVITIES 2005-2010

PosPus/Sulkunen

Katja Yesilova, Katja.Yesilova@helsinki.fi
Haastattelu YLEn aamu-tv:ssä, Katja Yesilova, 09.03.2009

Participation in interview for web based media

Akhlaq Ahmad, Akhlaq.Ahmad@helsinki.fi
Maahanmuuttajat suomen työmarkkinoilla, Akhlaq Ahmad, 15.05.2006, Finland

Tuomas Ylä-Anttila, Tuomas.Yla-Anttila@helsinki.fi
Mihin katosi poliitikka?, Tuomas Ylä-Anttila, 29.09.2010
Appendix B.b.

Maria Forsman, Chief Information Specialist, DSocSc
Helsinki University Library 7.7.2011

The bibliometric analyses by Helsinki University Library (HULib)

Background: The bibliometric analyses – especially citation analyses – have raised a lot of discussion and critics among researchers in social sciences and humanities. Researchers view that bibliometric analyses are often unfair to these fields of sciences because they do not give a good enough picture of the publishing. Citation databases – Web of Science and Scopus – cover only weakly the main publications in these fields. Also, in humanities and social sciences monograph is still the main form of publishing, and it does not include in these article databases.

At the University of Helsinki, the above mentioned concerns have been taken into account in the evaluation. The Evaluation Office has ordered analyses from the Helsinki University Library (HULib) for the participating researcher communities that are weakly represented in Web of Science. The database for the HULib analyses is TUHAT (https://tuhat.halvi.helsinki.fi/portal/en/) including all the publications that the researchers have considered important.

Based on this data, information specialists at HULib have carried out the following analyses:

1) Number of authors/publication/year as a table; a pie of authors/publication in the period 2005-2010;
2) Language of publication/year; a pie of language of publication in the period 2005-2010;
3) Articles/journal/year; journals have been compared by ISSN with the Norwegian, Australian and ERIH (2007-2008) journal ranking lists; number of articles in ranked journals;
4) Publisher/monograph type (according to TUHAT database); monographs have been compared with the Norwegian publisher ranking list. According to this, it has been counted how many monographs are published by a leading scientific publisher (2) or a scientific publisher (1).
5) Conference publications (from TUHAT database) especially in computer sciences; compared with the Australian conference ranking list.

Where relevant, some additional analyses and notes concerning the publication culture of a scientific field have been added. Overall, these analyses complement the other evaluation material and lists of the publications of the participating researcher communities.

If the publications of the RCs were less than 50 or/and the internal coverage less than 40 percentage, the WoS analyses were considered not reliable. These RCs were 58 altogether.

In addition, both Leiden and Library analyses were done to the RCs if WoS analyses covered less than 40 per cent of the peer review (A+C) publications of the RC. These RCs were 8 altogether.

The appendix includes the analyses of the RC under discussion.
Analysis of publications by Helsinki University Library – 66 RCs altogether

Biological, Agricultural and Veterinary Sciences
Luukkanen, Olavi – VITRI
Valsta, Lauri – SUVALUE

Natural Sciences
Abrahamsson, Pekka – SOFTSYS
Kangasharju, Jussi – NODES
Ukkonen, Esko – ALKO
Väänänen, Jouko – HLG

Humanities
Aejmelaeus, Anneli – CSTT
Anttonen, Pertti – CMVG
Dunderberg, Ismo – FC
Havu, Eva – CoCoLaC
Heikkilä, Markku – RCSP
Heinämaa, Sara – SHC
Henriksson, Markku – CITI
Janhunen, Juha – LDHFTA
Kajava, Mika – AMNE
Klippi, Anu – Interaction
Knuuttila, Simo – PPMP
Koskenniemi, Kimmo – BAULT
Lauha, Aila – CECH
Lavento, Mika – ARCH-HU
Lukkarinen, Ville – AHCI
Lyytikäinen, Pirjo – GLW
Mauranen, Anna – LFP
Mänttäri, Henri – HIST
Nevalainen, Terttu – VARIENG
Pettersson, Bo – ILLC
Pulkkinen, Tuija – Gender Studies
Pyrhönen, Heta – ART
Ruokanen, Miika – RELDIAL
Saarinen, Risto – RELSOC
Sandu, Gabriel – LMPS
Tarasti, Eero – MusSig
Vehmas-Lehto, Inkeri – TraST
Östman, Jan-Ola – LMS

Social Sciences
Airaksinen, Timo – PPH
Engeström, Yrjö – CRADLE
Granberg, Leo – TRANSRURBAN
Haila, Anne – Sociopolis
Hautamäki, Jarkko – CEA
Heinonen, Visa – KUMU
Helén, Ilpo – STS
Hukkinen, Janne – GENU
Jallinoja, Riitta – SBII
Kaartinena, Timo – SCAR
Kettunen, Pauli – NordSoc
Kivinen, Markku – FCRES
Koponen, Juhan – DEVERELE
Koskenniemi, Martti – ECI
Kultti, Klaus – EAT
Lahelma, Elina – KUFE
Lanne, Markku – TSEM
Lavonen, Jari – RCMSER
Lehtonen, Risto – SocStats
Lindblom-Ylänne, Sari – EdPsychHE
Nieminen, Hannu – MECOL
Nuotio, Kimmo – Law
Nyman, Göte – METEORI
Ollikainen, Markku – ENFIFO
Pirttilä-Backman, Anna-Maija – DYNASOBIC
Rahkonen, Keijo – CulCap
Roos, J P – HELPS
Simola, Hannu – SOCE-DGI
Sulkunen, Pekka – PosPus
Sumelius, John – AG ECON
Vaattovaara, Mari – STRUTSI
Vainio, Martti – SigMe

The next appendix includes the analyses of the RC under discussion.

PUBLICATION DATA 2005-2010

RC/PosPus/Sulkunen

Category: 1. The research of the participating community represents the international cutting edge in its field.

Number of authors in publications/year

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of authors</th>
<th>2005</th>
<th>2006</th>
<th>2007</th>
<th>2008</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>Grand Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 au</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>265</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 au</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 au</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 au</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 au</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 au</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 au</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>384</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Number of authors

1 au: 69%
2 au: 19%
3 au: 8%
4 au: 2%
5 au: 1%
7 au: 1%
10 au: 0%
57% of the publications are in Finnish, 37% in English and 5% in Swedish. 1% is in other languages.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Journal</th>
<th>2005</th>
<th>2006</th>
<th>2007</th>
<th>2008</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>Grand Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yhteiskuntapolitiikka</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nordic Studies on Alcohol and Drugs</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nordic Alkohol- och Narkotikatidskrift</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helsingin Sanomat</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Naistutkimus</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sosiaalilääketieteellinen aikakauslehti</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acta Sociologica</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haaste</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tiede &amp; edistys</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Addiction Research and Theory</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Janus : sosiaalipolitiikan ja sosialityön tutkimuksen aikakauslehti</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nuorisotutkimus</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Le monde diplomatique</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Niin &amp; näin : filosofinen aikakauslehti</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Addiction</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drugs : education, prevention &amp; policy</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Europe - Asia Studies</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>European Societies</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eurosphere Working Paper Series, Online Working Paper</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Historiallinen Aikakauskirja</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International Journal of Drug Policy</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Journal of Scandinavian Studies in Criminology and Crime Prevention</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kansanvalta.fi : Vaikuttajan tietopankki</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kommentti : nuorisotutkimuksen verkkokanava</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NIGD - Network Institute for Global Democratization : news and information</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Politiikka</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Semiotica</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tiedepolitiikka</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Työväentutkimus</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ylioppilahti</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Action, Criticism &amp; Theory for Music Education</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agency and power: The contractual illusion</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Books from Finland</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communication and critical/cultural studies.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connections</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crime, Media, Culture</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Critical Public Health</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cross-cultural Communication</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cultures and Organizations</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Democratizatsiya</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dialogi : Sosiaali- ja terveysalan tutkimus- ja kehittämiskeskuk</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ephemerata : theory &amp; politics in organization</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>European Journal of Criminality</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>European Journal of Cultural Studies</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>European Legacy</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evaluation</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Futura</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Journal ranking

Norway ranking
Level 2 = highest scientific, Level 1= scientific

Australian ranking
A*
Typically an A* journal would be one of the best in its field or subfield in which to publish and would typically cover the entire field/subfield. Virtually all papers they publish will be of a very high quality. These are journals where most of the work is important (it will really shape the field) and where researchers boast about getting accepted. Acceptance rates would typically be low and the editorial board would be dominated by field leaders, including many from top institutions.

A
The majority of papers in a Tier A journal will be of very high quality. Publishing in an A journal would enhance the author’s standing, showing they have real engagement with the global research community and that they have something to say about problems of some significance. Typical signs of an A journal are lowish acceptance rates and an editorial board which includes a reasonable fraction of well known researchers from top institutions.

B
Tier B covers journals with a solid, though not outstanding, reputation. Generally, in a Tier B journal, one would expect only a few papers of very high quality. They are often important outlets for the work of PhD students and early career researchers. Typical examples would be regional journals with high acceptance rates, and editorial boards that have few leading researchers from top international institutions.

C
Tier C includes quality, peer reviewed, journals that do not meet the criteria of the higher tiers.

ERIH ranking 2007-2008

Purpose of The European Reference Index for the Humanities (ERIH) is to develop and to maintain an impact assessment tool for European research journals. Journal classification processes are conducted by discipline-specific expert panels. In the ERIH 2007 Initial List there are three categories:

A = international publications, both European and non-European, with high visibility and influence among researchers in the various research domains in different countries, regularly cited all over the world.

B = international publications, both European and non-European, with significant visibility and influence in the various research domains in different countries.
C = European publications with a recognized scholarly significance among researchers in the respective research domains in a particular readership group in Europe; occasionally cited outside the publishing country, though the main target group is the domestic academic community.

Amount of ranked articles (Norway)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Norway</th>
<th>Journal articles</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Level 2</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level 1</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Amount of ranked articles (Australian)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Australia</th>
<th>Journal articles</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Level A*</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level A</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level B</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level C</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The research group has published 210 articles. 16 articles are published in Sosiologia that is the main journal of Finnish sociologists, although it does not include in international ranking lists. 13 articles are published in Yhteiskuntapolitiikka (Social Policy) that is also a referee journal and an important forum for social scientists.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Publisher</th>
<th>Issue</th>
<th>Pages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nordisk Studier om Alkohol og Drugs</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nordisk Alkohol- og Narkotikaforskningsrapport</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nordenmark</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ania Sociologia</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Addiction Research and Theory</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norsk &amp; ånden - Håndverk og teater</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Addiction</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drugs - education, prevention &amp; policy</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>European Alcohol Studies</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>European Societies</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>European Working-Paper Series, Online Working-Paper</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International Journal of Drug Policy</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nordic Journal of Crime and Policy</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Semiotika</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian, Criticism &amp; Theory for Music Education</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communication and critical/cultural studies</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crime, Media, Culture</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Critical Public Health</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cultures and Organizations</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epilepsy: theory &amp; politics in organization</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>European Journal of Criminology</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>European Journal of Cultural Studies</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>European Legacy</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evaluation</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global Social Policy</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Politics</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Historical Tidsskrift for Finland</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of Political Economy</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>A*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IdentiSchriften</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International Journal of Politics</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International Journal of Children's Rights</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International Journal of Consumer Studies</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Journal for cultural research</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Journal of Health, Organisation and Management</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Journal of Medical Humanities</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kulturhistorisk Tidskrift</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Memoria risorse / rivista di storia contemporanea.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norsk Medis &amp; Society</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nicotine &amp; Tobacco Research</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nordic Social Research</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nordisk Tidsskrift for Kriminalvidenskap</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nysk Vegna</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nyn Årg</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swedish Journal of Caring Sciences</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>A*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scandinavian Political Studies</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>A*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social Science &amp; Medicine</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>A*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sociology</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>A*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sociology of Health and Illness</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suomen Antropologi</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suomed Saksakirjat</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vuorinomeen, udeinto, yhteiskunta.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rising</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Book publishers**

- C1 Published scientific monograph
- C2 Edited book, compilation, conference proceeding or special issue of journal
- D4 Published development or research report
- E2 Popular monograph
Publisher ranking (based on Norwegian ranking list)
2 = leading scientific
1 = scientific
no = non-scientific or not ranked

The research group has published 47 books, of which 9 are scientific monographs and 15 edited scientific books. 4 of them are published by a leading scientific publisher and 7 by a scientific publisher.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Publisher</th>
<th>2 published</th>
<th>3 published</th>
<th>4 published</th>
<th>5 published</th>
<th>Grand Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gaudeamus</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HEUNI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kuopion yliopisto, Minna Canth-instituutti</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nordic Council for Alcohol and Drug Research</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oikeuspolitiitin tutkimuslaitos</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Routledge</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stakes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baltic Sea Centre Foundation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brottsförebyggande rådet, Brå</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edita Publishing Oy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eurosphere</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helsingin yliopisto, Sosiaalitieteiden laitos</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helsingin yliopisto, sosioingian laitos</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helsingin Yliopiston ylioppilaskunta</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Itä-Suomen lääninhallitus / Nuorisotoimi</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Juha-Heikki Boozing Societas</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kemi-Tornion Ammattikoulu</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kuluttajatutkimuskeskus</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nomos Verl.-Ges</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nordens välfärdscenter</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nordic Council of Ministers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nuorisotutkimusverkosto</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opetusministeriö</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palgrave Macmillan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Politiisammattikorkeakoulu</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAGE PUBLICATIONS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Siisäasiaministeriö</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Society for the study of addiction</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Söderströms förlag</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tampereen yliopisto, Journalismin tutkimusysikkö</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teknillisen Korkeakoulun Ylioppilaskunta</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valtiovarainministeriö</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vastapaino</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yliopistopaino</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ylioppilaiden terveydenhoitosäätiö</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grand Total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>